A cohort study to identify simple clinical tests for chronic respiratory failure in obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing
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ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic respiratory failure complicating sleep-disordered breathing in obese patients has important adverse clinical implications in terms of morbidity, mortality and healthcare utilisation. Screening strategies are essential to identify obese patients with chronic respiratory failure.

Method: Prospective data were collected from patients with obesity-related sleep-disordered breathing admitted for respiratory assessment at a UK national sleep and ventilation centre. Hypercapnia was defined as an arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide of >6 kPa.

Results: 245 obese patients (56±13 years) with a body mass index of 48±12 kg/m², forced vital capacity (FVC) of 2.1±1.1 L, daytime oximetry (SpO2) of 91±6% and abnormal overnight oximetry were included in the analysis. Receiver operator curve analysis for the whole group showed that an FVC ≤3 L had a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 41% in predicting hypercapnia, and an SpO2 ≤95% had a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 63% in predicting hypercapnia. Gender differences were observed and receiver operator curve analysis demonstrated ‘cut-offs’ for (1) SpO2 ≤95% for men and ≤93% for women and (2) FVC ≤3.5 L for men and ≤2.3 L for women, in predicting hypercapnia.

Conclusions: The measurement of FVC and clinic SpO2 in obese patients with abnormal overnight limited respiratory studies predicted hypercapnia. This may have clinical utility in stratifying patients attending sleep clinics.

BACKGROUND

Increasing trends in obesity are well publicised with a worldwide increase in body mass index (BMI) of 0.4 kg/m² per annum.1 Within the UK, almost a quarter of all adults are currently classified as obese, with an annual cost to the National Health Service (NHS) of £5.1 billion.2 BMI is strongly associated with all-cause mortality, and this imposes an extra significant burden on the healthcare system.3–5 Over 50% of patients with a BMI greater than 40 kg/m² have obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA), and up to one-third of morbidly obese patients exhibit obesity hypoventilation syndrome.6–8

Key messages

▸ Simple physiological tools of clinic SpO2 and FVC can be used to predict hypercapnia with high sensitivity, in patients with a BMI>30kg/m² and evidence of sleep disordered breathing.
▸ For men an SpO2 <95% and FVC <3.5L is predictive of hypercapnia, for women these cut-offs are <93% and 2.3L.
▸ This may assist in streamlining the clinical assessment of obese patients with sleep disordered breathing.
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reliably identify those high-risk obese patients with oximetry confirmed sleep-disordered breathing who have coexistent chronic respiratory failure so that the appropriate treatment can be initiated promptly. We hypothesised that clinic oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and forced vital capacity (FVC) would be clinically useful measurements in predicting chronic respiratory failure in obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

Patients with sleep-disordered breathing admitted to a secondary and tertiary referral sleep and ventilation centre were included in the analysis. All data were gathered prospectively from a bespoke clinical database (CareVue, Philips, USA). All patients were initiated on nocturnal positive airway pressure therapy, including CPAP and NIV, as per the standard unit clinical protocol.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Patients with BMI >30 kg/m² and evidence of sleep-disordered breathing during overnight monitoring were included. Patients with spirometric evidence of obstructive airways disease (forced expiratory volume in 1 s/FVC ratio, FEV₁/FVC ratio <70%) or evidence of Cheyne-Stokes respiration during nocturnal monitoring were excluded.

**Population demographics and physiological measurements**

Arterial blood gas sampling, spirometry and clinic SpO₂ measurements were performed with patients in a seated position breathing room air at least 4 h after waking. Analysis of arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), arterial bicarbonate concentration (HCO₃⁻) and pH were performed using a standard analyser (ABL 90, Radiometer, West Sussex, UK). FEV₁ and FVC were measured using a handheld spirometer (Micromedical, Carefusion Ltd, Germany) according to a standard protocol. SpO₂ was measured using pulse oximetry (Massimo UK, Hants, UK). Daytime somnolence was assessed using the Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS). Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), assessed using the Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS). FEV₁ and FVC were measured using a handheld spirometer (Micromedical, Carefusion Ltd, Germany) according to a standard protocol. SpO₂ was measured using pulse oximetry (Massimo UK, Hants, UK). Daytime somnolence was assessed using the Epworth Sleepiness Score (ESS).

**Statistical analysis**

Data analyses were performed using SPSS (V.19, IBM Corporation Ltd, USA). Data are presented as mean±SD for parametric data. Data were tested for normality and parametric analyses conducted. Data were analysed across all patients with eucapnia and hypercapnia using independent t tests. Further analyses were conducted to assess the utility of physiological parameters in predicting PaCO₂ level ≥6 kPa. Relationships between spirometric measurements and gas exchange were investigated using regression analyses. The clinical utility of SpO₂ and FVC in predicting hypercapnia was assessed using receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) analyses. For all analyses, p value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient diagnoses**

In total, 245 obese patients (130 men, 53%) were studied between January 2006 and March 2012. In total, 91% had a BMI >35 kg/m², 72% had a BMI >40 kg/m², 51% had a BMI >45 kg/m² and 34% had a BMI >50 kg/m². In total, 164 (67%) were hypercapnic, with PaCO₂ above 6 kPa. Patients with eucapnic OSA had a 4% oxygen desaturation index (ODI) of 24.5±19.5 events/h with nocturnal SpO₂ of 94.1±3.2%, and the percentage of analysis time with SpO₂ below 90% was 13.5±20.4%. In patients with hypercapnia, the 4% ODI was higher at 42.6±35.5 events/h (hypercapnic vs eucapnic group; p=0.003). Nocturnal SpO₂ was lower at 89.0±7.4% (hypercapnic vs eucapnic group; p=0.0003), and the percentage of analysis time with SpO₂ below 90% was higher at 36.3±32.1% (hypercapnic vs eucapnic group; p<0.001).

**Clinical outcome data**

There were 12 deaths in the cohort (6.8%) during a mean follow-up period of 2.7 years; of these, 9 (75%) were hypercapnic. Non-survivors, compared with survivors, were older (60±10 years vs 55±13 years; p=0.006), had a longer length of hospital stay during inpatient nocturnal ventilatory support set-up (5.8±3.0 days vs 3.4±3.3 days; p=0.02), higher haematocrit (44.6±7.1% vs 40.0±14.6%; p=0.03), higher admission PaCO₂ (7.37±1.15 kPa vs 6.55±1.12 kPa; p=0.02), lower FEV₁ (1.13±0.65 L vs 1.90±0.99 L; p=0.008) and lower FVC (1.33±0.69 L vs 2.25±1.13 L; p=0.006). There was a trend for the frequency of diabetes mellitus to be greater in the hypercapnic group compared with the eucapnic group (40% vs 28%; p=0.07). There was also a significant difference in PaCO₂ between genders (men 6.3±1.1 kPa vs women 6.9±1.1 kPa; p<0.001). Data for each group are shown in the table.

**Patients with hypercapnia versus eucapnia**

The eucapnic group differed from the hypercapnic group. In particular, the hypercapnic group had significantly lower FEV₁ (2.48±0.98 L vs 1.56±0.84 L; p<0.001), FVC (2.92±1.12 L vs 1.84±0.97 L; p<0.001), clinic SpO₂ (94.7±2.6% vs 89.8±6.1%; p<0.001) and PaO₂ (9.86±1.49 kPa vs 8.2±1.37 kPa; p<0.001) compared with the patients with...
eucapnia. As expected, PaCO2 and HCO3− levels were higher in the hypercapnic groups (5.43±0.38 kPa vs 7.18 ±0.91 kPa; p<0.001 and 25.5±1.9 vs 31.8±3.6 mmol/L; p<0.001, respectively, table 2).

Relationships between gas exchange, body composition and lung volume at different levels of BMI
There were weak correlations observed across the whole cohort between clinic SpO2 and FVC (r=+0.43, p<0.001) and % predicted FVC (r=+0.23, p<0.001). There were also weak correlations between BMI and clinic SpO2 (r=−0.22, p=0.001), and between BMI and PaCO2 (r=+0.25, p<0.001). As expected, there were inverse correlations observed between PaCO2 and SpO2 (r=−0.56, p<0.001), and between PaCO2 and FVC (r=−0.53, p<0.001), but the relationship between PaCO2 and % predicted FVC was again weak (r=−0.32, p<0.001). These weak relationships were maintained when the patients were grouped according to BMI (tables 3 and 4).

Clinic SpO2 and FVC to predict hypercapnia
Multiple linear regression was performed using all the variables for which the bivariate correlation with PaCO2 was significant. These variables were used then to identify the physiological variables that predicted PaCO2 >6 kPa. Clinic SpO2 and FVC were retained in the linear model and stepwise logistic regression was subsequently performed. Clinic SpO2 (OR 0.55, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.75) and FVC (OR 0.76, CI 0.67 to 0.85) were retained in the logistic regression model as predictors of hypercapnia. The utility of SpO2 and FVC in predicting hypercapnia was assessed using ROC analyses. For patients with a BMI >30 kg/m² and a ‘cut-off’ level for clinic SpO2 of <95%, the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.80 with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 63% (p<0.001). A ‘cut-off’ level for FVC of <3 L had an AUC of 0.79 with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 41% in predicting hypercapnia (p<0.001). The positive predictive value (PPV) of FVC <3 L was 65% with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 95%. For SpO2, the PPV was 34% and the NPV 94%. Using lower cut-off levels improved the specificity but with a marked reduction in sensitivity (table 5); however, using sensitivities of above 80% allows for a low false negative rate of less than 20%. Based on the logistic regression model described above, the combination of SpO2 and FVC for patients with a BMI >30 kg/m² with evidence of sleep-disordered breathing yielded an AUC of 0.83 in predicting hypercapnia (figure 1). Using the bootstrap cross validation method, a combined cut-off level of SpO2 of <93% along with FVC <2.0 L (AUC 0.83, CI 0.78 to 0.88) can be used to predict hypercapnia with a sensitivity of 53% (CI 45% to 60%), a specificity of 92% (CI 83% to 97%, positive likelihood ratio 6 CI 3 to 13, negative likelihood ratio 0.5 CI 0.4 to 0.6). Finally, and most importantly, we observed that from independent male and female ROC analyses, the cut-off levels for SpO2 and FVC were <95% (AUC 0.73, CI 0.64 to 0.82) and <93% (AUC 0.84, CI 0.76 to 0.93), and 3.5L (AUC 0.75, CI 0.66 and 0.83) and <2.3L (AUC 0.75, CI 0.62 to 0.87), respectively (table 6 and figure 2).

Table 1 Demographic, anthropometric and comorbidity data across the different groups of sleep-disordered breathing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Eucapnic (PaCO2&lt;6 kPa) (n=83)</th>
<th>Hypercapnic (PaCO2 ≥6 kPa) (n=162)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay (days)</td>
<td>53±12</td>
<td>56±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>44.1±9.7</td>
<td>42±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESS</td>
<td>14±6</td>
<td>14±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic SpO2 (%)</td>
<td>94.7±2.6</td>
<td>89.8±6.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
<td>26 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>23 (28)</td>
<td>64 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic hypertension</td>
<td>45 (54)</td>
<td>91 (56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values expressed as mean±SD or number (%).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Significantly different from eucapnic group p&lt;0.001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, body mass index; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Score; SpO2, oxygen saturations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Spirometric and arterial blood gas measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eucapnic (n=83)</th>
<th>Hypercapnic (n=162)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>2.48±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>2.92±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>0.85±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1% predicted</td>
<td>77.6±23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC % predicted</td>
<td>74.7±21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.41±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2 (kPa)</td>
<td>9.86±1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2 (kPa)</td>
<td>5.43±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO3− (mmol/L)</td>
<td>25.5±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base excess</td>
<td>1.0±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematocrit (%)</td>
<td>44.0±5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values expressed as mean±SD.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Significant difference compared with eucapnic group (p&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; HCO3−, arterial bicarbonate ion concentration; PaCO2, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO2, arterial partial pressure of oxygen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION
In this prospective observational cohort study, we have demonstrated that simple spirometric measurements of lung volume and clinic SpO₂ predict chronic respiratory failure in obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing. We have also shown, as expected, gender differences for predicting hypercapnic respiratory failure. Surprisingly, previous data have only shown weak correlations between body composition, lung volume and chronic respiratory failure.16 17 However, these studies have not considered the clinical physiological predictive power of utilising FVC and daytime clinic oxygen levels (SpO₂) in obese patients with confirmed evidence of sleep-disordered breathing to enhance screening for chronic respiratory failure. Indeed, we considered that this is a major caveat of the previous studies, and this is the first study to have shown in a large group of obese patients that a clinic SpO₂ level below 95% for a man and 93% for a woman and an FVC less than 3.5 L for a man and 2.3 L for a woman has high sensitivity to detect hypercapnia, albeit with low specificity. Such tests with a high NPV will ensure that few patients with hypercapnia are missed, albeit at the expense of arterial blood gas measurements being performed on a few patients with eucapnia.

Critique of the method
These data were collected from a single centre specialising in the management of patients with sleep-disordered breathing and chronic respiratory failure. Although this was a selected cohort of obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing, unlike previous studies,18 the current study has identified that the simple clinical tests of FVC and SpO₂ are not only reduced in patients with chronic respiratory failure, but also FVC and SpO₂ can predict hypercapnic respiratory failure. From the current study, all the patients had sleep-disordered breathing, and it is the presence of a restricted lung volume that identifies the patients with chronic respiratory failure. Although the important associations that we have observed do not necessarily imply a causal link between anthropometric parameters, spirometric parameters and hypercapnic respiratory failure in obese patients, the results are physiologically coherent. The simplest method to test this as a causal mechanism between gas exchange, body composition, sleep-disordered breathing and lung volumes would be to undertake detailed measurements of respiratory polysomnography, pulmonary mechanics and lung volumes before and after significant weight loss, such as prebariatric and postbariatric surgery.19 20

Although it is well-recognised that vasoconstriction, dark skin and body movements influence transcutaneous oxygen and carbon dioxide measurements,21 22 all the physiological measurements were taken according to international and local guidelines and repeated in line with these standards.14 23 A simple screening tool based on absolute, rather than % predicted FVC values, would maximise the distribution and application of the test, obviating the need for calculating the % predicted FVC. Therefore, % predicted FVC was not included in the multiple linear regression model and absolute FVC measurements were used instead. As expected, gender differences in height would have affected absolute lung volume measurements, but we developed absolute ‘cut-offs’ for SpO₂ and FVC that predict hypercapnia in men and women, respectively. This simple approach to screening would facilitate the incorporation of absolute FVC measurement into clinical practice.

Table 3 Relationships between SpO₂ and daytime PaCO₂, body composition and lung volume at different levels of BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Correlation with clinic SpO₂</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>PaCO₂</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;40 kg/m²</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;50 kg/m²</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; FVC, forced vital capacity; PaCO₂, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; SpO₂, oxygen saturation.

Table 4 Relationships between daytime PaCO₂ and clinic SpO₂, body composition and lung volume at different levels of BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Correlation with PaCO₂</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;40 kg/m²</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI&gt;50 kg/m²</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; FVC, forced vital capacity; PaCO₂, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; SpO₂, oxygen saturation.
Differences between patients with hypercapnia and eucapnia

To our knowledge, this is the largest UK dataset comparing patients with eucapnia and hypercapnia with sleep-disordered breathing. Interestingly, patients with eucapnia and hypercapnia had similar levels of daytime somnolence demonstrating that the standard clinical assessment, using the ESS, does not discriminate between those patients with and without chronic respiratory failure. However, as expected, the clinic SpO2 and PaO2 were lower in the hypercapnic group and SpO2 time below 90% higher, and the relationships between these variables were consistent with previous reports.

The patients with chronic respiratory failure had a longer length of stay for establishment of nocturnal respiratory support than those with eucapnia, as a consequence of the extended titration of nocturnal NIV compared with CPAP, which is more straightforward and often can be performed on an outpatient basis.

Relationship between daytime gas exchange, body composition and lung volume

In patients with sleep-disordered breathing, univariate analysis demonstrated that clinic SpO2 was correlated, albeit weakly, with BMI, FVC and PaCO2. In addition, PaCO2 was weakly correlated with BMI and FVC. These data provide support for the evidence of these weak direct and inverse associations between body composition, lung volume and hypercapnia. More importantly, multivariate linear regression analysis demonstrated that FVC and SpO2 were retained in the model predicting hypercapnia, and the ROC analysis demonstrated that FVC and SpO2 had sufficient sensitivity to be clinically useful predictors of chronic respiratory failure, albeit the specificity was low. These data have shown that an abnormal overnight oximetry study combined with a clinic SpO2 and an FVC measurement could be used as part of a sleep screening programme. The rationale for any future study would be to predict hypercapnic respiratory failure by employing a sleep screening strategy of overnight home oximetry to identify patients with sleep-disordered breathing combined with an FVC and a clinic SpO2 cut-off level. A clinically relevant primary event-related outcome in terms of health service research would be time to diagnose and treat hypercapnic respiratory failure with, secondary end-points including cost utility analysis and patient satisfaction.

Clinical implications

With the increasing incidence of obesity, general practitioners, as well as respiratory sleep clinics, have to be fully cognisant of the respiratory effects of obesity, including patients presenting with chronic respiratory failure. Such patients need to be established on NIV and potential delays and clinical pathway breaches will occur unless a streamlined system is in operation. A number of units already dispatch, via a courier and postal service, an oximeter prior to the clinic appointments. In those in whom nocturnal oximetry is available, the addition of simple SpO2 and FVC measurements can be performed by ancillary staff; the clinician can stratify those who would require an arterial blood gas to confirm chronic respiratory failure or can be used as additional verification for borderline oximetry results. Although arterial blood gas
measurement and analysis is a relatively straightforward physiological test to perform, it is painful for the patient, requires skill of the clinician to perform and access to costly analysis equipment, which may not be available in all clinic settings. By using simple non-invasive screening tests of FVC and clinic SpO2, hypercapnia can be predicted in obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing prior the clinic appointment and arrangements made for arterial blood gas sampling to be performed either prior to or during a clinic attendance. This would not only limit the number of patients undergoing arterial blood gas measurements, which would clinically benefit the patient and financially benefit the service, but also ensure the most appropriate treatment is delivered to the patient in a timely fashion.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, these novel data demonstrated that absolute FVC and daytime clinic SpO2 in obese patients with sleep-disordered breathing can be used as a screening strategy to predict chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure. An FVC of less than 3.5 L in a man and 2.3 L in a woman and a daytime SpO2 below 95% for a man and 93% for a woman has a high sensitivity to predict chronic respiratory failure. These tests have the potential to significantly streamline the management of obese patients with oximetry diagnosed sleep-disordered breathing.
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