Higher BMI is associated with higher expiratory airflow normalised for lung volume (FEF_{25–75}/FVC) in COPD

Eric Abston,¹ Alejandro Comellas,^{1,2} Robert Michael Reed,³ Victor Kim,⁴ Robert A Wise,⁵ Roy Brower,⁵ Spyridon Fortis,^{1,2} Reinhard Beichel,^{1,6,7} Surya Bhatt,⁸ Joseph Zabner,^{1,2} John Newell,^{7,9} Eric A Hoffman,^{1,6,7,9} Michael Eberlein^{1,2}

To cite: Abston E, Comellas A, Reed RM, *et al.* Higher BMI is associated with higher expiratory airflow normalised for lung volume (FEF_{25–75}/FVC) in COPD. *BMJ Open Resp Res* 2017;4:e000231. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2017-000231

Received 30 June 2017
Revised 4 September 2017

ABSTRACT

Introduction The obesity paradox in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), whereby patients with higher body mass index (BMI) fare better, is poorly understood. Higher BMIs are associated with lower lung volumes and greater lung elastic recoil, a key determinant of expiratory airflow. The forced expiratory flow (25–75) (FEF_{25–75})/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio reflects effort-independent expiratory airflow in the context of lung volume and could be modulated by BMI.

Methods We analysed data from the COPDGene study, an observational study of 10 192 subjects, with at least a 10 pack-year smoking history. Data were limited to subjects with BMI 20–40 kg/m² (n=9222). Subjects were stratified according to forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) (%predicted)-quintiles. In regression analyses and Cox proportional hazard models, we analysed the association between BMI, the FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio, the imaging phenotype, COPD exacerbations, hospitalisations and death.

Results There was no correlation between BMI and FEV₁ (%predicted). However, a higher BMI is correlated with a higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio. In CT scans, a higher BMI was associated with less emphysema and less air trapping. In risk-adjusted models, the quintile with the highest FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio was associated with a 46% lower risk of COPD exacerbations (OR 0.54, p<0.001) and a 40% lower risk of death (HR 0.60, p=0.02), compared with the lowest quintile. BMI was not independently associated with these outcomes.

Conclusions A higher BMI is associated with lower lung volumes and higher expiratory airflows when normalised for lung volume, as quantified by the FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio. A higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio is associated with a lower risk of COPD exacerbations and death and might quantify functional aspects of the paradoxical effect of higher BMIs on COPD.

INTRODUCTION

There exists a poorly understood obesity paradox in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),¹ where obese patients with COPD tend to fare better than non-obese patients with similar degree of airflow obstruction.² Observational studies show that over

Key messages

- ▶ The obesity-paradox in COPD, whereby patients with higher body mass index (BMI) fare better, is poorly understood.
- ▶ In an ancillary study to COPDGene a higher BMI is associated with lower lung volumes and higher expiratory airflows when normalized for lung volume, as quantified by the FEF_{25–75}/FVC-ratio and a higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC-ratio is independently associated with a lower risk of COPD exacerbations and death.
- ▶ The FEF_{25–75}/FVC-ratio quantifies functional aspects of the paradoxical effect of BMI on COPD and further understanding of the physiological mechanism could lead to novel non-pharmacological therapies based on the analogies to chest wall strapping.

time obese patients with COPD experience lower mortality and fewer hospital admissions.^{3,4} Obesity has also been associated with lower mortality in patients with acute exacerbations.⁵

The mechanisms underlying this obesity paradox in COPD are unclear. Higher body mass index (BMI) in patients with COPD is associated with lower functional residual capacity (FRC) and residual volume (RV),⁶ likely related to the mass effects of adipose tissue acting on the chest wall or abdomen.⁷ In addition to affecting the chest wall, higher BMI is associated with greater static lung elastic recoil, and in some studies with increased expiratory flow,^{8–10} as lung elastic recoil of the lung is the key determinant of maximal expiratory airflow.

The ratio of mid-vital capacity expiratory airflow (forced expiratory flow (25–75) (FEF_{25–75})) divided by the forced vital capacity (FVC) corresponds to effort-independent expiratory airflow adjusted for lung volume. We hypothesised that (1) a higher BMI is

CrossMark

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Michael Eberlein;
michael-eberlein@uiowa.edu

Figure 1 Histogram of the distribution of body mass index for the study population.

associated with a higher FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio and (2) that the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio, as a possible functional correlate of the physiological effects of obesity, modulates the risk for COPD exacerbations and death.

METHODS

We evaluated data from the COPDGene study—an observational cohort study of 10 192 participants across 21 centres in the USA (2008–2011). Participants were non-Hispanic Whites and African-Americans with at least a 10 pack-year smoking history.¹¹ Each participant provided informed written consent. The COPDGene protocol has been previously described and is available at www.copdgene.org.¹¹ Methods pertinent to the data analysed in this study are as follows: subjects completed spirometry according to the American Thoracic Society standards.¹¹ High-resolution CT scans were performed at full inspiration and at end exhalation. Quantitative measures of emphysema were defined as the percentage of lung volume on the inspiratory CT with attenuation less than –950 Hounsfield units (HU).¹² Gas trapping was defined as the percentage of lung volume on the expiratory CT with attenuation less than –856 HU.¹² The data were limited in this study to subjects with a BMI between 20 and 40 kg/m² (n=9222) in order to limit the effects of spurious values and at physiological extremes of the BMI spectrum. **Figure 1** shows a BMI histogram for the entire study population.

The study subjects were stratified according to forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV_1) (%predicted)-quintiles. The relationship between BMI, CT-imaging phenotype (CT volumetry, emphysema and air trapping), spirometry (FEV_1 , FVC and FEV_1/FVC) and the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio for the entire study population and within each FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintile was analysed by Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) and by using a fractional polynomial approach to evaluate for a possible non-linear association. We used logistic regression to evaluate the relationship between the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio, BMI and the occurrence of COPD exacerbations.

The outcome variable, COPD exacerbation, was examined as a binary variable. A univariate analysis was performed to assess for variables that were associated with COPD exacerbation at a threshold of $p=0.1$ as previously described,¹³ and those variables identified were then included in stepwise backward multivariate logistic models to adjust for confounders.

To evaluate the relationship between the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio, BMI and the occurrence of COPD exacerbations in the study follow-up period, the COPDGene Longitudinal Follow-Up (LFU) dataset was utilised.¹⁴ The LFU dataset consists of telephone survey data obtained every 3–6 months after the initial study. Information on subjects including COPD exacerbations and hospitalisations was obtained. Follow-up COPDGene mortality data were analysed to evaluate the relationship between the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio and mortality in the follow-up period. Cox proportional hazard models were used for the mortality analysis. We tested interactions between FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio and BMI, and the significance of differences between nested models was tested using the likelihood ratio test. Subjects with missing data were excluded from the respective analyses.

RESULTS

Patient demographics including metrics of disease severity, comorbid conditions, imaging parameters and spirometric values is shown in the table 1 in the online supplementary file 1, stratified by FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintiles. Comorbid conditions were more common in those with more severe COPD. BMI was not significantly different between FEV_1 -quintiles. All metrics of disease severity correlated with FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintiles.

No consistent relationship between FEV_1 (%predicted) and BMI were found when analysing the entire sample ($p=0.6$), or within most FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintiles (**figure 2A**). However, a higher BMI was associated with lower FVC (%predicted) (**figure 2B**). Consequently, a higher BMI was associated with higher FEV_1/FVC ratios, both in the whole study population and in each FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintile ($p<0.001$) (**figure 2C**). A higher BMI was associated with higher FEF_{25-75} , both in the entire study population and in each quintile of FEV_1 ($p=0.001$) (**figure 3A**). There was a positive association between the FEF_{25-75}/FVC ratio and BMI, both in the entire study population and in each quintile of FEV_1 (%predicted) ($p=0.001$) (**figure 3B**).

A higher BMI was associated with lower total lung capacity (TLC) (%predicted) and functional residual capacity (FRC) (%predicted) derived from CT volumetry (**figure 4**). A higher BMI correlated inversely with per cent emphysema ($p<0.001$) within most FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintile and for the entire study population (**figure 5A**). The slope of the line fitting this association was much steeper in the FEV_1 (%predicted)-quintile

Figure 2 The relationship between body mass index (BMI) and per cent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) (A) and per cent predicted forced vital capacity (FVC) (B) and the FEV₁/FVC ratio (C), stratified by FEV₁ quintiles and for the entire study population (BMI 20–40). Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) are shown and significance of the correlation is indicated by the corresponding p value.

with the lowest FEV₁. This indicates that comparatively smaller differences in BMI correlated with much larger differences in emphysema in subjects with more severe disease than in quintiles with a more normal FEV₁. A higher BMI correlated inversely with per cent air trapping (p<0.001) on expiratory CT scans within each

FEV₁(%predicted)-quintile and for the entire study population (figure 5B). This reduction in air trapping with increasing BMI (from 20 to 40) was strongest in the FEV₁(%predicted)-quintile with the lowest FEV₁.

As the FEV_{25–75}/FVC ratio could reflect the physiological impact of BMI on lung function we next evaluated

Figure 3 The relationship between body mass index (BMI) and forced expiratory flow (25-75) (FEF₂₅₋₇₅) (A) and (FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC) (B), stratified by forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) quintiles and for the entire study population (BMI 20–40). Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) are shown and significance of the correlation is indicated by the corresponding p value.

the association between FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio, BMI and clinical outcomes in COPD. In unadjusted models a higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio was associated with a lower risk of self-reported COPD exacerbations at study entry and a lower occurrence of COPD exacerbations during the study follow-up period (table 1A). Furthermore, a higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio was associated with a lower risk of hospitalisations and a lower risk of death during the study follow-up period. When adjusting for BMI the FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio remained independently associated with the above clinical outcomes, whereas BMI itself did not remain consistently associated with these outcomes (table 1B). In comprehensive risk-adjusted models, a higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio remained independently associated with a lower risk of COPD exacerbations, hospitalisation and mortality in the follow-up period.

DISCUSSION

In a large cohort of current and former smokers, we found that that FEV₁ was largely unaffected by BMI. However, when analysing expiratory airflow in the context of the

corresponding lung volumes via the FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio, a positive association between the FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio and BMI became evident. A higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio would either predict higher elastic recoil or greater small airway sizes for the same lung volume, and consistent with this we found higher BMI was associated with lesser emphysema and less air trapping. A higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio was associated with a lower risk of COPD exacerbations and death and might be a parameter that quantifies possible physiological effects associated with a higher BMI on COPD outcomes.

Classically, FEV₁ has been used to quantify the disease severity in COPD. However, FEV₁ was not modulated by obesity-related changes in lung function in previous studies,⁹ and similarly we did not find a consistent association between BMI and FEV₁ in the current study. However, we found a positive correlation between BMI and FEF₂₅₋₇₅ and an inverse relation between BMI and FVC. A misclassification of the severity of airflow obstruction by stratifying according to FEV₁(%predicted) could have affected the study results, as a reduction of

Figure 4 The relationship between body mass index (BMI) and total lung capacity (TLC) (A) and functional residual capacity (FRC) (B), stratified by forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) quintiles and for the entire study population (BMI 20–40). Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) are shown and significance of the correlation is indicated by the corresponding p-value.

lung volumes due to a restrictive process, like obesity, can add to the reduction in FEV₁ from an obstructive disease.¹⁵ Thus, the reduced lung volumes from obesity might lead to an overestimation of the severity of the obstructive process. We performed a sensitivity analysis in which we adjusted the FEV₁ (%predicted) by the total lung capacity (TLC) (% predicted), as derived from CT scans at full inspiration. We created FEV₁ (%predicted)_{adjusted} = (FEV₁ (%predicted)/TLC (%predicted)). We then restratified subjects according to quintiles of FEV₁ (%predicted)_{adjusted}. This sensitivity analysis showed similar results (see figures 1 and 2 in the online supplementary file 1) and a higher BMI remained associated with a higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio, lesser emphysema and lesser air trapping.

Jere Mead developed a similar ratio (FEF_{25–75}/FVC multiplied by lung elastic recoil) to reflect the size of the airway structure in relation to the lung volume.¹⁶ He used this ratio to express the physiological variation in the geometry of the tracheobronchial tree and lung parenchyma due to different patterns of growth among both genders, which he termed dysanapsis.¹⁶ Thus,

the higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio with increasing BMIs we found in this study would either predict higher elastic recoil or larger airway sizes for the same lung volume. A key finding of this study was that, as predicted by the association with a higher FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio, a higher BMI correlated inversely with per cent air trapping on expiratory CT scans (figure 5B). Air trapping is correlated with the closing volume of small airways and an isolated deflating effect of obesity should not affect the closing volume of small airways. Imaging studies in COPD have demonstrated that small conducting airways narrow and disappear before the onset of emphysematous disease.¹⁷ If it is correct that widespread narrowing and loss of smaller conducting airways precedes the onset of emphysematous destruction, then a possible beneficial effect of obesity on small airway function could reduce the risk for progression to emphysema. Similar to observations made in the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis lung study,¹⁸ we found that a higher BMI was associated with less emphysema (figure 5). This could suggest that obesity modulates small airway function in COPD.

Figure 5 The relationship between body mass index (BMI) and per cent emphysema (A) and per cent air trapping (B), stratified by forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) quintiles and for the entire study population (BMI 20–40). Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) are shown and significance of the correlation is indicated by the corresponding p value.

The effects of obesity on pulmonary physiology have been carefully characterised both in healthy subjects and in those with COPD.⁹ The most prominent aspect of obesity-induced changes in the respiratory system is the reduction in expiratory reserve volume (ERV), followed by more modest reductions in other lung volumes.¹⁰ Obesity also reduces lung compliance and increases lung elastic recoil in several studies.^{8,19} There are analogies between the effects of obesity on the respiratory system and chest wall strapping (CWS).^{20–28} CWS is a technique to restrict chest and abdominal wall motion during respiration to force the lung to operate at lower lung volumes.^{20–28} CWS causes a decrease in lung volumes, an increase in expiratory flows and airway conductance, a decrease in lung compliance and an increase in lung elastic recoil.^{20–28} CWS reduces TLC by ~35% with similar changes in vital capacity, FRC and similarly to obesity ERV is reduced the most by 50%.^{20–28} Lung elastic recoil is increased by on average 180%.²⁰ CWS increases mid-vital capacity maximum expiratory flows to on average 150% of prestrapped rates.²⁰ The increased lung elastic recoil from CWS could increase the radial traction on small

airways via the interdependence between airways and parenchyma.^{20,29,30} This increase radial traction could dilate small airways resulting in lower closing volumes and higher airway conductance.^{20,29,30} Consistent with this possible mechanism, a study of normal subjects and in subjects with mild to moderate COPD showed that CWS increased the number of small airways detectable via an automated CT airway segmentation algorithm.^{31–33} Furthermore, transplanting significantly oversized donor lungs into a recipient with a smaller chest cavity is conceptually similar to CWS and was associated with higher mid-vital capacity expiratory airflows and higher FEV₁/FVC ratios.^{20,34–37} The FEV₁/FVC ratio is conceptually also a 'dysanapsis ratio'. Similar to the FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio, the FEV₁/FVC ratio varied with BMI (figure 2C). Thus, a higher BMI could be associated with a normal FEV₁/FVC ratio, even in the presence of obstructive airways disease. This may explain some of the observations regarding the 'GOLD–Unclassified Smokers' or 'preserved ratio impaired spirometry' phenotype that is characterised by a reduced FEV₁ with a preserved FEV₁/FVC ratio.³⁸ Supporting this possibility, the 'GOLD–Unclassified

Table 1: The association of FEV₂₅₋₇₅ / FVC ratio and BMI with COPD outcomes

Parameter	(A) Model 1, univariate			(B) Model 2, multivariate adjusted (as indicated in legend)		
Exacerbation* history†	OR	95%	p	OR‡	95%	p
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ /FVC-ratio Quintile 1 versus 5	0.11	0.09 to 0.14	<0.001	0.55	0.21 to 1.40	0.2
BMI Quintile 1 versus 5	1.28	1.10 to 1.50	0.002	1.08	0.65 to 1.79	0.7
Exacerbation* on follow up§	OR	95%	p	OR‡	95%	p
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ /FVC ratio Quintile 1 versus 5	0.28	0.25 to 0.32	<0.001	0.54	0.39 to 0.73	<0.001
BMI Quintile 1 versus 5	1.1	0.97 to 1.25	0.14	1.13	0.892 to 1.39	0.2
Hospitalised**	OR	95%	p	OR¶	95%	p
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ /FVC ratio Quintile 1 versus 5	0.09	0.07 to 0.21	<0.001	0.41	0.27 to 0.63	<0.001
BMI Quintile 1 versus 5	0.97	0.79 to 1.19	0.8	1.30	0.94 to 1.79	0.1
Mortality††	HR	95%	p	HR¶	95%	p
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ /FVC ratio Quintile 1 versus 5	0.17	0.13 to 0.23	<0.001	0.60	0.39 to 0.94	0.02
BMI Quintile 1 versus 5	0.62	0.50 to 0.78	<0.001	0.74	0.54 to 1.01	0.06

*Exacerbation analysis is stratified according to exacerbation yes/no.

†Exacerbation data from first study visit.

‡Adjusted for: BMI, age at enrolment, history of severe exacerbations, chronic bronchitis, asthma, American Thoracic Society (ATS) pack-year smoking, current smoking, fume exposure at work, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, congestive heart failure, sleep apnoea, history of blood clots, high blood pressure, Modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale, St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire score, forced expiratory volume in 1 s (%predicted) and 6 min walk distance.

§Exacerbation data from longitudinal follow-up data set.

¶Adjusted for: BMI, pack-year smoking, current smoking, oxygen use, per cent emphysema and Body mass index, airflow Obstruction, Dyspnoea and Exercise score.

**Hospitalisation analysis is stratified according to yes/no.

††Complete mortality data were available for 7534 subjects.

BMI, body mass index; FEF₂₅₋₇₅, forced expiratory flow (25–75); FVC, forced vital capacity.

Smokers' phenotype was associated with increased BMIs.³⁸

This study was limited in several aspects. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, we cannot determine a causal relationship between BMI and the imaging phenotype (lower TLC, FRC, less emphysema and air trapping). While the data is suggestive that obesity could have a CWS-like effect of increasing lung elastic recoil, we do not have measurements of lung elastic recoil or expiratory airflows at isovolume conditions. The FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio has been developed as a surrogate measure of airway size relative to lung size or lung dysanapsis. While FVC can be an appropriate surrogate of lung size in normal lungs, it can be reduced due to forced exhalation in subjects with COPD and emphysema, where FVC can be significantly lower than the slow vital capacity. In a sensitivity analysis, we have generated a 'dysanapsis' ratio that instead of FVC included TLC (derived from CT volumetry), as a measurement of lung size. There is close linear relationship between the FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC ratio and the FEF₂₅₋₇₅/TLC ratio (Spearman's rho was 0.97, $p < 0.0001$, see figure

3 in the online supplementary file 1). Also, BMI itself is an imperfect metric of adiposity, and different fat distribution patterns can result in varying respiratory effects with similar BMI. As gender can affect both fat distribution patterns and airway structure, we performed a sensitivity analysis stratified by gender, which showed similar results (see figure 4 in the online supplementary file 1). In this study, we limited the BMI to between 20 and 40, which excluded 970 subjects (approximately 10% of the study population). When we analysed the entire study cohort, the overall results were not different (see figures 5 and 6 in the online supplementary file 1).

There appears to be a plateau effect to the obesity-induced changes in lung function at the extremes of BMI. Mild to moderate obesity shares the greatest similarities with lung function changes observed with CWS, whereas extreme obesity, especially when FRC or ERV are reduced below certain thresholds can be associated with worsening lung function.³⁹ In this study, the effect of BMI on FEF₂₅₋₇₅ and FEF₂₅₋₇₅/FVC seems to be more pronounced from BMI 20 to 30, then from BMI 30 to 40 (figure 3).

Also, obesity is a complex chronic condition with varied patterns of fat depositions as well as many systemic and behavioural associations extending beyond mechanical effects of adipose tissue.^{9, 40} Finally, even though multi-variable modelling was used to account for possible confounding, it is understood that variables not available or missing variables in this data set may result in residual confounding.

In conclusion, increased BMI is associated with lower lung volumes, lesser emphysema and air trapping. The FEF_{25–75}/FVC ratio, as a dysanapsis ratio, seems to quantify the physiological impact of obesity on the COPD phenotype and is independently associated with COPD exacerbations and mortality. BMI affects the COPD phenotype in a manner that has similarities to CWS, which could provide a possible mechanistic basis for aspects of the BMI paradox seen in COPD.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

²Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Occupational Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Temple University, School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

⁵Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁶Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

⁷The Iowa Institute for Biomedical Imaging, University of Iowa, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

⁸Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

⁹Department of Radiology, University of Iowa, Iowa, USA

Collaborators Administrative Centre: James D Crapo, MD (PI); Edwin K Silverman, MD, PhD (PI); Barry J Make, MD; Elizabeth A Regan, MD, PhD. Genetic Analysis Centre: Terri Beaty, PhD; Ferdouse Begum, PhD; Robert Busch, MD; Peter J Castaldi, MD, MSc; Michael Cho, MD; Dawn L DeMeo, MD, MPH; Adel R Boueiz, MD; Marilyn G Foreman, MD, MS; Eitan Halper-Stromberg; Nadia N Hansel, MD, MPH; Megan E Hardin, MD; Lystra P Hayden, MD, MMSc; Craig P Hersh, MD, MPH; Jacqueline Hetmanski, MS, MPH; Brian D Hobbs, MD; John E Hokanson, MPH, PhD; Nan Laird, PhD; Christoph Lange, PhD; Sharon M Lutz, PhD; Merry-Lynn McDonald, PhD; Margaret M Parker, PhD; Dandi Qiao, PhD; Elizabeth A Regan, MD, PhD; Stephanie Santorico, PhD; Edwin K Silverman, MD, PhD; Emily S Wan, MD; Sungho Won. Imaging Centre: Mustafa Al Qaisi, MD; Harvey O Coxson, PhD; Teresa Gray; MeiLan K Han, MD, MS; Eric A Hoffman, PhD; Stephen Humphries, PhD; Francine L Jacobson, MD, MPH; Philip F Judy, PhD; Ella A Kazerooni, MD; Alex Klueber; David A Lynch, MB; John D Newell, Jr, MD; Elizabeth A Regan, MD, PhD; James C Ross, PhD; Raul San Jose Estepar, PhD; Joyce Schroeder, MD; Jerred Sieren; Douglas Stinson; Berend C Stoel, PhD; Juerg Tschirren, PhD; Edwin Van Beek, MD, PhD; Bram van Ginneken, PhD; Eva van Rikxoort, PhD; George Washko, MD; Carla G Wilson, MS. PFTQA Center, Salt Lake City, UT: Robert Jensen, PhD. Data Coordinating Center and Biostatistics, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO: Douglas Everett, PhD; Jim Crooks, PhD; Camille Moore, PhD; Matt Strand, PhD; Carla G Wilson, MS. Epidemiology Core, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO: John E Hokanson, MPH, PhD; John Hughes, PhD; Gregory Kinney, MPH, PhD; Sharon M Lutz, PhD; Katherine Pratte, MSPH; Kendra A Young, PhD. Ann Arbor VA: Jeffrey L Curtis, MD; Carlos H Martinez, MD, MPH; Perry G Pernicano, MD. Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX: Nicola Hanania, MD, MS; Philip Alapat, MD; Mustafa Atik, MD; Venkata Bandi, MD; Aladin Boriek, PhD; Kalpatha Guntupalli, MD; Elizabeth Guy, MD; Arun Nachiappan, MD; Amit Parulekar, MD. Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA: Dawn L. DeMeo, MD, MPH; Craig Hersh, MD, MPH; Francine L Jacobson, MD, MPH; George Washko, MD. Columbia University, New York, NY: R Graham Barr, MD, DrPH; John Austin, MD; Belinda D'Souza, MD; Gregory D N Pearson, MD; Anna Rozenstein, MD, MPH, FACP; Byron Thomashow, MD. Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC: Neil MacIntyre, Jr, MD; H Page McAdams, MD; Lacey Washington, MD. Health Partners

Research Institute, Minneapolis, MN: Charlene McEvoy, MD, MPH; Joseph Tashjian, MD. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD: Robert Wise, MD; Robert Brown, MD; Nadia N Hansel, MD, MPH; Karen Horton, MD; Allison Lambert, MD, MHS; Nirupama Putcha, MD, MHS. Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA: Michael E DeBaake VAMC. Houston: Richard Casaburi, PhD, MD; Alessandra Adami, PhD; Matthew Budoff, MD; Hans Fischer, MD; Janos Porszasz, MD, PhD; Harry Rossiter, PhD; William Stringer, MD. TX: Amir Sharafkhaneh, MD, PhD; Charlie Lan, DO. Minneapolis VA: Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA: Marilyn G Foreman, MD, MS; Eugene Berkowitz, MD, PhD; Gloria Westney, MD, MS. National Jewish Health, Denver, CO: Russell Bowler, MD, PhD; David A Lynch, MB. Reliant Medical Group, Worcester, MA: Richard Rosiello, MD; David Pace, MD. Temple University, Philadelphia, PA: Gerard Criner, MD; David Ciccolella, MD; Francis Cordova, MD; Chandra Dass, MD; Gilbert D'Alonzo, DO; Parag Desai, MD; Michael Jacobs, PharmD; Steven Kelsen, MD, PhD; Victor Kim, MD; A James Mamary, MD; Nathaniel Marchetti, DO; Aditi Satti, MD; Kartik Shenoy, MD; RobertM Steiner, MD; Alex Swift, MD; Irene Swift, MD; Maria ElenaVega-Sanchez, MD. University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL: Mark Dransfield, MD; William Bailey, MD; Surya Bhatt, MD; Anand Iyer, MD; Hrudaya Nath, MD; J Michael Wells, MD. University of California, San Diego, CA: Joe Ramsdell, MD; Paul Friedman, MD; Xavier Soler, MD, PhD; AndrewYen, MD. University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA: Alejandro P Comellas, MD; John Newell, Jr, MD; Brad Thompson, MD. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI: MeiLan K Han, MD, MS; Ella Kazerooni, MD; Carlos H. Martinez, MD, MPH. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN: Joanne Billings, MD; Abbie Begnaud, MD; Tadashi Allen, MD. University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA: Frank Sciruba, MD; Jessica Bon, MD; Divay Chandra, MD, MSc; CarlFuhman, MD; Joel Weissfeld, MD, MPH. University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX: Antonio Anzueto, MD; Sandra Adams, MD; Diego Maselli-Caceres, MD; Mario E Ruiz, MD.

Contributors Conception and design: EA, APC, ME. Analysis and interpretation: EA, APC, RMR, VK, RGB, SF, SPB, RRB, JDN, EAH and ME. Drafting the manuscript: EA and ME. Review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: EA, APC, RMR, VK, RAW, RGB, SF, SPB, RRB, JZ, JDN, EAH and ME.

Funding The project described was supported by award number R01HL089897 and award number R01HL089856 from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Each study site received Institutional Review Board approval to participate in the study.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Vandenbergh E, Van de Woestijne KP, Gyselen A. Weight changes in the terminal stages of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Relation to respiratory function and prognosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1967;95:556–66.
- Pinto LM, Alghamdi M, Benedetti A, et al. Derivation and validation of clinical phenotypes for COPD: a systematic review. *Respir Res* 2015;16:50.
- Cao C, Wang R, Wang J, et al. Body mass index and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e43892.
- Landbo C, Prescott E, Lange P, et al. Prognostic value of nutritional status in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160:1856–61.
- Lainscak M, von Haehling S, Doehner W, et al. Body mass index and prognosis in patients hospitalized with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2011;2:81–6.
- O'Donnell DE, Deesomchok A, Lam YM, et al. Effects of BMI on static lung volumes in patients with airway obstruction. *Chest* 2011;140:461–8.
- Salome CM, King GG, Berend N. Physiology of obesity and effects on lung function. *J Appl Physiol* 2010;108:206–11.

8. Ora J, Laveneziana P, Wadell K, *et al.* Effect of obesity on respiratory mechanics during rest and exercise in COPD. *J Appl Physiol* 2011;111:10–19.
9. O'Donnell DE, Ciavaglia CE, Neder JA. When obesity and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease collide. Physiological and clinical consequences. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014;11:635–44.
10. Ora J, Laveneziana P, Ofir D, *et al.* Combined effects of obesity and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on dyspnea and exercise tolerance. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:964–71.
11. Regan EA, Hokanson JE, Murphy JR, *et al.* Genetic epidemiology of COPD (COPDGene) study design. *COPD* 2010;7:32–43.
12. Hersh CP, Washko GR, Estépar RS, *et al.* Paired inspiratory-expiratory chest CT scans to assess for small airways disease in COPD. *Respir Res* 2013;14:42.
13. Wells JM, Washko GR, Han MK, *et al.* Pulmonary arterial enlargement and acute exacerbations of COPD. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:913–21.
14. Stewart JI, Moyle S, Criner GJ, *et al.* Automated telecommunication to obtain longitudinal follow-up in a multicenter cross-sectional COPD study. *COPD* 2012;9:466–72.
15. Gardner ZS, Ruppel GL, Kaminsky DA. Grading the severity of obstruction in mixed obstructive-restrictive lung disease. *Chest* 2011;140:598–603.
16. Mead J. Dysanapsis in normal lungs assessed by the relationship between maximal flow, static recoil, and vital capacity. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980;121:339–42.
17. McDonough JE, Yuan R, Suzuki M, *et al.* Small-airway obstruction and emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:1567–75.
18. Barr RG, Ahmed FS, Carr JJ, *et al.* Subclinical atherosclerosis, airflow obstruction and emphysema: the MESA Lung Study. *Eur Respir J* 2012;39:846–54.
19. Pelosi P, Croci M, Ravagnan I, *et al.* Total respiratory system, lung, and chest wall mechanics in sedated-paralyzed postoperative morbidly obese patients. *Chest* 1996;109:144–51.
20. Eberlein M, Schmidt GA, Brower RG. Chest wall strapping. An old physiology experiment with new relevance to small airways diseases. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014;11:1258–66.
21. Caro CG, Butler J, Dubois AB. Some effects of restriction of chest cage expansion on pulmonary function in man: an experimental study. *J Clin Invest* 1960;39:573–83.
22. Bradley CA, Anthonisen NR. Rib cage and abdominal restrictions have different effects on lung mechanics. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1980;49:946–52.
23. Butler J, Caro CG, Alcalá R, *et al.* Physiological factors affecting airway resistance in normal subjects and in patients with obstructive respiratory disease. *J Clin Invest* 1960;39:584–91.
24. Sybrecht GW, Garrett L, Anthonisen NR. Effect of chest strapping on regional lung function. *J Appl Physiol* 1975;39:707–13.
25. Douglas NJ, Drummond GB, Sudlow MF. Breathing at low lung volumes and chest strapping: a comparison of lung mechanics. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1981;50:650–7.
26. Klineberg PL, Rehder K, Hyatt RE. Pulmonary mechanics and gas exchange in seated normal men with chest restriction. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1981;51:26–32.
27. Stubbs SE, Hyatt RE. Effect of increased lung recoil pressure on maximal expiratory flow in normal subjects. *J Appl Physiol* 1972;32:325–31.
28. Scheidt M, Hyatt RE, Rehder K. Effects of rib cage or abdominal restriction on lung mechanics. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1981;51:1115–21.
29. Paré PD, Mitzner W. Airway-parenchymal interdependence. *Compr Physiol* 2012;2:1921–35.
30. Nakamura M, Sasaki H, Takishima T. Effect of lung surface tension on bronchial collapsibility in excised dog lungs. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1979;47:692–700.
31. Taher H, Bauer C, Winnike H, *et al.* Chest wall strapping induces small airway dilation and enhances expiratory airflow. *Am Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:A1206.
32. Taher H, Winnike H, Zabner J, *et al.* Chest wall strapping improves expiratory airflow and reduces air trapping and hyperinflation in mild to moderate COPD. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:A6865.
33. Bauer C, Eberlein M, Beichel RR. Graph-based airway tree reconstruction from chest CT scans: evaluation of different features on five cohorts. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2015;34:1063–76.
34. Eberlein M, Permutt S, Brown RH, *et al.* Supranormal expiratory airflow after bilateral lung transplantation is associated with improved survival. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:79–87.
35. Eberlein M, Permutt S, Chahla MF, *et al.* Lung size mismatch in bilateral lung transplantation is associated with allograft function and bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *Chest* 2012;141:451–60.
36. Eberlein M, Reed RM, Maidaa M, *et al.* Donor–recipient size matching and survival after lung transplantation. A cohort study. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2013;10:418–25.
37. Eberlein M, Reed RM. Donor to recipient sizing in thoracic organ transplantation. *World J Transplant* 2016;6:155–64.
38. Wan ES, Hokanson JE, Murphy JR, *et al.* Clinical and radiographic predictors of GOLD-unclassified smokers in the COPDGene study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184:57–63.
39. Pellegrino R, Gobbi A, Antonelli A, *et al.* Ventilation heterogeneity in obesity. *J Appl Physiol* 2014;116:1175–81.
40. Adams JP, Murphy PG. Obesity in anaesthesia and intensive care. *Br J Anaesth* 2000;85:91–108.