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ABSTRACT

Introduction Inhaled marijuana has been infrequently identified as a potential risk factor for the development of spontaneous pneumomediastinum (SPM), a rare finding of free air in the mediastinum likely caused by barotrauma during breathing manoeuvres. The mechanism of inhalation drug use is often not ascertained by physicians, thus little is known about how different smoking techniques precipitate pulmonary injury. We aimed to evaluate the frequency of marijuana use in patients with non-traumatic pneumomediastinum over a 12-month period, identifying additional relevant clinical features or risk factors, and determining the extent to which clinicians record smoking techniques.

Methods We performed a retrospective chart review over a 1-year period, identifying patients presenting to the hospital with a diagnosis of pneumomediastinum in the absence of trauma, malignancy or iatrogenic cause.

Results We identified 21 cases, 14 of which (66.7%) were associated with marijuana use, average age was 22.5 years (range 18–30), with male predominance (64.2%). Daily or more use was reported in 50% of cases. Concurrent risk factors including vomiting (57.1%) and coughing (42.9%) were commonly present. The mechanism of smoking was described in only two cases (14.3%).

Discussion Inhaled marijuana may be an underappreciated risk factor for the development of SPM, caused by air leakage around the bronchovascular sheaths during successive inhalation through a high-resistance smoking apparatus or forced exhalation against a closed glottis. Physicians should be aware of this association in order to provide appropriate counselling. Further research is needed to direct the safe use of smoking devices and techniques.

INTRODUCTION

Pneumomediastinum (PM) is diagnosed by the radiographic finding of free air in the mediastinum, and is often called spontaneous pneumomediastinum (SPM) in the absence of trauma or a clear secondary cause. The incidence of this condition is appreciated risk factor for the development of SPM, though causality has not been robustly shown.3,4 SPM is typically benign, though it can be complicated by pneumothorax, cardiac tamponade or tracheal compression.5 Extensive workup to rule out hollow organ rupture (eg, swallow studies or endoscopy) is not warranted except in cases of suspected trauma to the oesophagus.6 SPM can be induced by asthma attacks, forceful straining, childbirth, weight lifting, diabetic ketoacidosis, coughing or vomiting. Many of the above causes are thought to result from alveolar rupture in the setting of increased intrathoracic pressure. Though these are technically secondary causes, the non-traumatic aetiologies are often characterised as spontaneous.7

Marijuana is an increasingly common substance that is used both for recreational and medicinal purposes. Inhalational use of marijuana has been associated with respiratory symptoms such as chronic cough, sputum production, dyspnoea and hoarseness,8 and has recently been described as a possible risk factor for chronic bullous lung disease and secondary pneumothorax, though causality has not been robustly studied.9–11 Fewer than 10 published case reports document the use of marijuana in cases of SPM,12–17 of which only one describes the size and dimensions of the smoking apparatus and the technique used.12 Twenty published case series and retrospective cohort studies have documented over a thousand total cases of PM,18 yet only four studies cite marijuana use as a specific predisposing or precipitating factor.19–22
fewer than 30 total patients. We aimed to evaluate the frequency of marijuana use in patients diagnosed with SPM and the extent to which physicians elicit a detailed smoking history. We further characterised the clinical presentation, presence of additional risk factors and management of these patients.

METHODS
This retrospective chart review was performed at Rhode Island Hospital, an urban 719-bed tertiary care centre, in Providence, Rhode Island, USA. We included patients diagnosed with radiograph-confirmed PM between 1 January 2016 and 31 December 2016. We excluded patients who had PM due to identifiable secondary causes of PM including trauma, lung malignancy, ventilator-associated lung injury, recent surgical procedure, recent intubation or other iatrogenic aetiologies as determined by chart review. The remaining cases were reviewed for reports of inhaled marijuana. These patient charts were reviewed in detail for demographics, clinical presentation and management. Mechanism, duration, frequency and temporal relationship with marijuana use to onset of symptoms were recorded if documented by providers. Patients who were documented to use daily or multiple times a week were classified as ‘frequent use’, and all other cases classified as ‘infrequent use’. We recorded whether clinicians documented that they had given specific counselling to the patient on either the
avoidance of inhalational marijuana or the relationship between smoking and their clinical symptoms.

RESULTS

We identified 147 patients with a coded diagnosis of PM/interstitial emphysema, of which 126 met exclusion criteria. Of the 21 remaining cases of PM, 14 had documentation of inhaled marijuana (66.7%). Demographics, concurrent risk factors, clinical presentation and management are summarised in Table 1 (patient-specific details in online supplementary file 1). All but one patient had one or more established risk factors for the development of SPM including smoking tobacco, illicit drug use, coughing or vomiting. Two patients had suspected cannabis hyperemesis syndrome. Half of patients reported daily or more frequent use. Three patients reported symptoms directly during or after smoking marijuana, while one described the onset of symptoms after exhaling against a closed glottis (though did not specify if during marijuana use). Temporality was not described in other cases. One patient reported the use of a ‘large bong’ while another reported using a ‘face mask’ but no further details were documented. Chest pain was the most common symptom (78.6%). Tachycardia of >100 bpm was present in 57% of patients (range: 110–140). The majority of patients were admitted (71.4%) with an average length of stay of 2.2 days (SD 1.5). All patients had a chest X-ray while additional imaging including chest CT and formal barium or gastrografin swallow evaluations were performed in 71.4% and 57.1% of patients, respectively. No patients underwent endoscopy or bronchoscopy. Counselling specifically related to marijuana use was documented in 50% of cases, the majority of which focused on cessation of marijuana. Two patients were counselled to avoid strained breathing manoeuvres.

DISCUSSION

Over the course of 12 months, 14 of 21 cases (66.7%) of SPM occurred in the setting of marijuana use, with half of patients reporting daily or more frequent use, suggesting that marijuana may be an underappreciated risk factor. All but one patient had at least one other established risk factor (eg, vomiting, coughing) suggesting that marijuana inhalation may have contributed, and was the most likely precipitating factor in at least three patients where a temporal relationship was documented. The occurrence of multiple risk factors suggests a possible multifactorial or additive effect.

The pathophysiology of marijuana-related SPM is likely due barotrauma during breathing manoeuvres, which can occur with the introduction of a relative pressure gradient between the alveoli and their vascular structures. An increase in alveolar pressure ultimately leads to increased alveolar pressure, rupture of the alveolar septa, collapse of the adjacent vascular structures and air dissection around the peribronchial and perivascular sheaths. This phenomenon is called the ‘Macklin effect’ and can be achieved via inspiration against a closed airway (Muller’s manoeuvre) after forced exhalation or exhalation against a closed glottis or airway (Valsalva manoeuvre). Both are common manoeuvres in marijuana users.

In the case of the Valsalva manoeuvre, or forcible exhalation or breath holding against a closed glottis, a pressure gradient is created by raising intrathoracic pressure,
leading to alveolar overdistension and rupture into the lower pressure perivascular space.\textsuperscript{15} Alternatively, with Muller’s manoeuvre, attempted inspiration against a closed glottis or successive inhalation through a high-resistance smoking apparatus (such as a bong) results in a drop in intrathoracic and perialveolar pressure, an increase in alveolar air volume, a subsequent transmural pressure gradient, alveolar distension and rupture, and transmission of air from the pleura into the mediastinum.\textsuperscript{15,24} Both manoeuvres result in shearing damage and air leakage along the vessels and bronchi into the mediastinal structures.\textsuperscript{15,24}

Positive pressure devices have been linked to barotrauma and PM, though SPM can occur even in the absence of a smoking device.\textsuperscript{10} There are no peer-reviewed studies on the effects of different methods of smoking cannabis on lung injury.\textsuperscript{25} In our study, physicians document the mechanism of smoking (via a ‘large bong’ and a ‘face mask’) in only two cases, and in one case a patient described exhaling against a closed glottis.

In addition to barotrauma, a direct toxic effect of the inhaled substance and heat may contribute to pulmonary damage and PM, though never proven pathologically.\textsuperscript{26,27} Marijuana may also contribute to the development of SPM by way of inducing cyclic vomiting. Two of the patients had suspected cannabinoid hyperemesis syndrome. However, in two other patients, vomiting occurred after the onset of the characteristic chest pain, suggesting SPM had already developed.

This study has a number of limitations. As a small retrospective case series, broader epidemiological conclusions cannot be drawn. Patients not disclosing marijuana use and providers failing to document social histories may result in under-reporting of this association. Neither the duration nor exact frequency of smoking was precisely quantified due to lack of reporting, though are of epidemiologic interests as future studies may seek to determine if a cumulative effect of smoking is present.

Our retrospective study identifies marijuana use as a possible risk factor in the development of SPM in 14 of 21 (66.6%) patients. The frequent presence of multiple risk factors suggests a possible additive effect. The mechanism of injury may be caused by or potentiated by acute or chronic barotrauma from breathing manoeuvres used during smoking, chemical toxicity, or by inducing cyclic vomiting. Given current advances in the legalisation of recreational marijuana and the increasing use of medical marijuana, further investigation into the risks of specific smoking manoeuvres and delivery device used is necessary. It is prudent for physicians to obtain a detailed smoking history including the duration, frequency, mechanism of use and temporal relationship with smoking in patients presenting with SPM in order to provide appropriate counselling.
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