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ABSTRACT
Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) continues to be a global problem and continues to be addressed through national strategies to improve diagnostics, develop new antimicrobials and promote antimicrobial stewardship. Patients who attend general (ambulatory) practice with symptoms of respiratory tract infections (RTIs) are invariably assessed by some sort of clinical decision rule (CDR). However, CDRs rely on a cluster of non-specific clinical observations. A narrative review of the literature was undertaken to ascertain the value of C reactive protein (CRP) point-of-care testing (POCT) to guide antibacterial prescribing in adult patients presenting to general practitioner (GP) practices with symptoms of RTI. Studies that were included were Cochrane reviews, systematic reviews, randomised controlled trials, cluster randomised trials, controlled before and after studies, cohort studies and economic evaluations. An overwhelming number of studies demonstrated that the use of CRP tests in patients presenting with RTI symptoms reduces index antibacterial prescribing. GPs and patients report a good acceptability for a CRP POCT and economic evaluations show cost-effectiveness of CRP POCT over existing RTI management in primary care. POCTs increase diagnostic precision for GPs in the better management of patients with RTI. With the rapid development of artificial intelligence, patients will expect greater precision in diagnosing and managing their illnesses. Adopting systems that markedly reduce antibiotic consumption is a no-brainer for governments that are struggling to address the rise in AMR.

BACKGROUND
Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) continues to be a high priority global issue and there is a direct relationship between the prescribing of antimicrobials and the development of AMR. Respiratory tract infections (RTIs) are among the most common acute conditions leading to general practitioner (GP)

OBJECTIVE
This paper seeks to review the evidence for the use of CRP point-of-care testing (POCT) in reducing antimicrobial prescribing in primary care by prescribers who see patients presenting with symptoms of RTI. CRP-POCT has been adopted by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and PHE and there have been many new insights and repetitive reviews in the last 5 years. However, there has been little adoption of these recommendations in clinical practice. While the growth of antibiotic resistance has continued it has not captured the

In adults presenting with sore throat of less than 2 weeks duration used the FeverPAIN Score. NICE guidelines suggest that scores of 0 or 1 are unlikely to benefit from antibiotics, while scores of ≥4 should be considered for an antibiotic prescription.

Sinus puncture, the reference standard for acute bacterial rhinosinusitis was used to compare with a CDR from a study in Swedish emergency room patients with symptoms lasting less than 3 months, identifying four criteria: purulent rhinorrhoea with unilateral predominance, local pain with unilateral predominance, bilateral purulent rhinorrhoea and presence of pus in the nasal cavity. In this algorithm, two or four of positive findings are 95% sensitive and 77% specific for acute bacterial rhinosinusitis. A CDR based on the Williams criteria was recommended by the Canadian Sinusitis Symposium guidelines.

In the largest prospective cohort of primary care patients with LRTI, serious adverse outcomes (death, hospital admission or late-onset pneumonia) occurred in 1.1% of the patients. Patients radiographed within 1 week of the index consultation, showed four independent predictors of radiograph-confirmed pneumonia: temperature ≥37.8°C, crackles on auscultation, oxygen saturation <95% and pulse >100 beats/minute. The CRB-65 rule was developed to predict the risk of admission to the intensive care unit and mortality in hospitalised patients with community-acquired pneumonia. CRB-65 has superseded the complex Pneumonia Severity Index, which used 20 different clinical variables and the CURB-65 that includes the measurement of urea, which limits is timeliness and value in primary care. However, in a meta-analysis of validation studies, CRB-65 overpredicted the probability of 30-day mortality in the community setting across all strata of predicted risk, low, intermediate and high. Modified scoring systems have been studied with similar results, like the CORB75 (similar to the classical CRB-65, but adding oxygen saturation and increasing the age to 75 years). The STARWAVe clinical algorithm is a clinical rule to improve antibiotic use in children presenting with acute respiratory tract infection (ARTI) and cough. A study that examined all published sign and symptom models for prediction of pneumonia in primary care were externally validated by individual patient data of previously performed diagnostic studies. A recent meta-analysis of studies evaluating the diagnostic value of clinical features to identify radiographic pneumonia among adults in the primary care setting, the clinical variable with the best pooled positive likelihood ratio (PLR) was the respiratory rate ≥20 breaths/minute (PLR 3.47), followed by temperature ≥38°C, and pulse rate >100 beats/minute. Cough, sputum, crackles, dyspnoea and chest pain were limited as single predictors for the diagnosis of pneumonia and none of these criteria reached the PLR of 2.5. Laboratory tests showed the highest pooled PLRs with C reactive protein (CRP) >20 mg/L associated with a PLR of 3.76.
COPD—acute exacerbations

For many years, guidelines have recommended the use of antibiotics for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) based on the Anthonisen Study, in a randomised placebo-controlled trial in patients with exacerbations of severe COPD, comprising three patient-reported items: increased dyspnoea, increased sputum volume and increased sputum purulence. Antibiotics showed a significant benefit that was largely accounted for by patients with type 1 exacerbations (those with the three criteria), whereas there was no significant difference between antibiotic and placebo in patients who only had one of the defined symptoms.

UK Guidelines for treating RTI in Primary Care include:

Cough (acute): antimicrobial prescribing NICE guideline,28 NICE Clinical guideline for LRTI, using CRB65 and PHE guidance on Managing Infections in Primary Care.10

BIOMARKERS IN RTI IN PRIMARY CARE

CRP POCT had been implemented in Scandinavian countries for about three decades but without proper guidelines on indications and cut-off values to be used. This had resulted in certain degrees of overuse of CRP testing (particularly when used in upper RTI). Without guidelines antibacterials can be prescribed following poor interpretation of CRP levels. However, CRP POCT was introduced in the Netherlands with guideline-based cut-offs and indications and (in most cases) individual training and instructions programmes (in collaboration with ISO-accredited diagnostic centres and laboratories).31 The use of POCT in Denmark increased by 45.8% during 2004–2013. CRP tests increased by 132%, and bacteriological cultures by 101.7%. POCT preceded 28% of antibiotic prescriptions in 2004 increasing to 44% in 2013. The use of POCT varied more than fivefold among individual practices in 2013.32

The influence of biomarkers on antimicrobial prescribing rates in patients presenting in primary care with symptoms of RTI

A 2015 survey of countries that employed CRP POCT as a diagnostic and/or prognostic tool in general practice showed those countries that used CRP POCT to some or a wide extent were: Finland, Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Germany, Czech Republic, Hungary, Austria, Slovenia, Latvia and Estonia.33 34 Interestingly, these countries are the lowest 12 prescribers of antibacterials in the latest ESAC survey (figure 1).35 We have sought to review the evidence for the use of point-of-care (POC) biomarkers in reducing antimicrobial prescribing in primary care by prescribers who see patients presenting with symptoms of RTI. We felt that an update of our 2016 paper was timely and that, with the addition of all relevant publications since 2015, represents the current status of CRP POCT for patients presenting in primary care with symptoms of RTI.36

Methods for review

We undertook a narrative review of the evidence on CRP POCT for adults presenting to GPs with symptoms of RTI in order to:

► Determine whether CRP POCT can reduce antibiotic prescribing.
► Ascertain the safety and acceptability of CRP POCT for patients and GPs.
► Determine the cost-effectiveness of CRP POCT in a National Health Service (NHS) setting.

Papers reviewed were in English and published between March 2017 and December 2019. Search terms and string were: CRP or C-reactive protein or biomarkers or procalcitonin and infections or infection respiratory tract or antibiotics or antimicrobials and primary care and point of care testing. Databases searched were: EMBASE, Excerpta Medica, (Ovid), Journals@Ovid Full Text, (Ovid), PubMed, MEDLINE (Ovid), Springer-Link, Wiley Interscience Journals, NHS Evidence, The Cochrane Collaboration. All bibliographies for selected articles were searched for further articles to include within the review. The subsequent evaluation and reporting was undertaken in line with current guidance for literature review and a narrative, or semisystematic methodology, was adopted as papers were considered that had either quantitative or qualitative design.37

RESULTS

► English (199).
► English and humans (177).
► Published in the last 5 years (147).
► Review (34).

The 21 most relevant studies included systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials, cluster randomised controlled trials, and observational and economic evaluations as shown in online supplementary table 1. The most comprehensive evidence of the value of CRP POCT in patients presenting with symptoms of RTI in primary care in reducing antimicrobial prescribing is reported in a systematic review (Cochrane Review) that concluded ‘Performing a point-of-care CRP test in ambulatory care accompanied by clinical guidance on interpretation reduces the immediate antibiotic prescribing in both adults and children’.38 Nineteen studies used CRP POCT and included 11 RCTs and 8 non-randomised studies reporting on 16064 patients in total. The Forest plots from this study show highly significant difference towards CRP POCT for antibiotic prescribing at index consultation for all patients, RCTs; all patients, non-randomised studies, RCTs; adults only, if cut-off guidance applied; and RCTs, children only, if cut-off guidance applied.

There was no available evidence to suggest an effect on other patient outcomes or healthcare processes. This is a pivotal publication and included studies until March 2017.
A systematic review of studies reporting reduction of antibiotic prescriptions for ARTIs in primary care found that communication skills training and POCT were the most effective interventions and that trials with initially lower prescription rates were less likely to be successful. A narrative review of what factors affect antibiotic prescribing for ARTIs in primary care concluded that widespread adoption of successful strategies in primary care is imperative.

A cluster randomised to usual care, internet-based training on CRP POCT, internet-based training on enhanced communication skills and interactive booklet, or both interventions combined. Internet-based training in enhanced communication skills remained effective in the longer term for reducing antibiotic prescribing. The early improvement seen with CRP training wanes, and this training becomes ineffective for lower RTIs, the only current indication for using CRP testing. However, due to a less intensive follow-up there was very poor take-up of booklets and POCT in the second phase of the study.

Using CRP POCT in LRTI a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial in participants aged at least 1 year with a documented fever or a chief complaint of fever resulted in a modest but significant reduction in antibiotic prescribing, with patients with high CRP being more likely to be prescribed an antibiotic, and no evidence of a difference in clinical outcomes.

A thematic analysis of data from preintervention and postintervention patients and healthcare workers found widespread positive attitudes towards CRP POCT among patients and healthcare workers. Patients’ views were influenced by an understanding of CRP POCT as a comprehensive blood test that provides specific diagnosis that corresponds to notions of good care. Healthcare workers use the test to support their negotiations with patients and to legitimise ethical decisions in an increasingly restrictive antibiotic policy environment.

In an audit-based study aimed at assessing GPs’ reliance on patient history, examination findings and the influence of the utilisation of POCTs in antibiotic prescribing for sore throat and LRTI, a negative POCT result was negatively associated with antibiotic prescribing and GPs using POCTs attached less weight to clinical criteria.

In a small feasibility study, patients who would have received antibiotics for RTI were referred by a GP practice to a local pharmacy for CRP POCT. Patients who had a CRP of less than 100 were given a leaflet and told to visit the GP if symptoms did not resolve within 3 weeks. Sixty-three per cent of patients had a CRP value of <5 mg/L and were deemed to have self-limiting illness and not requiring an antibiotic. Ten per cent of the patients had a CRP over 100 mg/L and were recommended to receive an antibiotic. Most CRP tests took an additional 5–10 min from the initial consultation with the GP to the patient’s total consultation time. Almost all patients found the test useful and would recommend it as it provided reassurance that the symptoms were not serious.

In another pilot study to investigate CRP POCT in a community pharmacy patients accessed the scheme by either referral from GPs, pharmacy staff or self-referral. This study showed high degrees of patient satisfaction with concurrent reduction in unnecessary antibiotic prescribing by 86%.

In a prospective observational study, Dutch GPs were surveyed about specific antibiotic prescribing following Dutch College of General Practitioners (DCGP) guidance on the use of CRP POCT. The largest variation in prescribing occurred in patients who presented with CRP values between 20 mg/L and 100 mg/L. Most GPs followed the DCGP guidelines and used low CRP values as a negative indicator not to prescribe an antibiotic.

Paediatrics

In a study to assess whether use of POC CRP by the GP reduces antibiotic prescriptions, children with suspected non-serious LRTI were included and randomised to either use of POC CRP or usual care. Antibiotic prescription rates were measured and compared between groups using generalising estimating equations. The study did not reach the required number of patients and while a small reduction of antibiotics was found, statistical significance was not reached.

In another study in children with non-severe acute infections, CRP POCT did not influence antibiotic prescribing concluding that systematic CRP POCT without guidance is not an effective strategy to reduce antibiotic prescribing for non-severe acute infections in children in primary care. Eliciting parental concern and providing a safety net without POC CRP testing conversely increased antibiotic prescribing. GPs possibly need more training in handling parental concern without appropriately prescribing antibiotics.

In a qualitative study, Dutch GPs’ perceptions of the addition of point-of-care CRP testing to the diagnostic process in children with suspected LRTI differed from their perceptions of this in adults. GPs noted that they used POCT CRP in adults for diagnostic certainty, and as a tool to communicate a non-prescription decision. GPs indicated they seldom used POCT CRP in children to convince parents that antibiotics were not necessary. Themes identified included: patient characteristics; vulnerability of the child; clinical presentation; availability of evidence; the impact of the procedure; and use of point-of-care CRP testing as a communication tool.

These studies are at variance with findings that CRP POCT in children is feasible in primary care and is likely to be acceptable. However, it will not reduce antibiotic prescribing and hospital referrals until GPs accept its diagnostic value in children.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

A multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial involving patients with a diagnosis of COPD CRP-guided prescribing of antibiotics for exacerbations of COPD in
primary care clinics resulted in a lower percentage of patients who reported antibiotic use and who received antibiotic prescriptions from clinicians, with no evidence of harm.35

Health economics
Using a decision-analytical model to estimate the cost-effectiveness of testing, compared with standard care, in adults presenting in primary care with symptoms of ARTI, POC CRP testing as implemented in routine practice was less cost-effective than when adhering to clinical guidelines.54 A budget impact model calculated that CRP POCT was more expensive than usual care.35 However, in both studies there were no estimates of the implications for antibiotic resistance nor for Clostridium difficile infection.

Barriers and facilitators to CRP POCT adoption
A qualitative study explored the views of general practice staff on the use of CRP POCT for the management of lower RTIs in England who felt the test could help general practice staff improve patient care and education if incorporated into routine care, but this would need enthusiasts with dedicated POCT instruments or smaller, cheaper, more portable instruments.56

A mathematical model for designing networks of CRP POCT could optimise the cost and travel distance for patients to access testing across a given region.57

A mixed-methods UK study with CRP POCT confirmed costs and funding as important barriers in addition to physical and operational constraints and cited training and the value of a local champion as enablers.58

In a US study to ascertain which POCTs would be most beneficial to add to clinical practice, incorporating CRP POCT with clinical guidelines was felt to strengthen the utility of this test, when there is diagnostic uncertainty.59 A qualitative study highlighted reimbursement and incentivisation, quality control and training, laboratory services, practitioner attitudes and experiences, effects on clinic flow and workload, use in pharmacy and gaps in evidence as barriers to implementation.60 In a South African study, clinicians saw POCTs as potentially useful for positively addressing both clinical and social drivers of the over-prescribing of broad-spectrum antibiotics.61

Governmental reviews
The use of CRP POCT may reduce unnecessary antibiotic prescribing (which carries a risk of adverse effects and the development of antibiotic resistance), but seems unlikely in the absence of a funded implementation programme.1

HTA assessments in EU and Ireland have confirmed the value of CRP POCT in helping to reduce antibiotic prescribing in primary care that might address the AMR crisis.62

DISCUSSION
The accurate and rapid assessment of whether patients need antibiotics when they present to primary care with symptoms of RTI continues to challenge primary care clinicians and researchers. On the one hand there are advocates of using simple non-invasive non-specific clinical observations grouped into CDRs. On the other hand, there are protagonists that advocate bringing more accurate and specific but slightly more invasive tests into clinical practice to improve the prognostic accuracy at primary care clinicians’ fingertips and particularly, tests that will produce results within 5 min. Blood tests now offer precision for the diagnosis and management of many diseases that were previously the province of the hospital clinical specialist but are now included in the General Medical Service contracts. These include; diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia, endocrine disorders, (HbA1c, cholesterol, FBC, calcium, glucose, renal and liver function, thyroid function tests, serum vitamin B12 and folate, lithium).63

Improving diagnostics to address AMR was the subject of a special report commissioned by the UK government in 2015 that concluded ‘For material progress to happen over the next 5 years healthcare systems need to leapfrog to using rapid diagnostics wherever possible, before using an antibiotic’.64

The European Joint Programming Initiative on Antimicrobial Resistance Transnational Working Group ‘Antimicrobial Resistance - Rapid Diagnostic Tests’ is proposing to consider a ‘mix-and-match’ package for the implementation of POCT. It constitutes a multisectoral collaboration between medical, technological and industrial opinion leaders involved in in vitro diagnostics development, medical microbiology and clinical infectious diseases. The mix-and-match implementation package is designed to encourage the implementation of rapid infectious disease and AMR POCT in transnational medical environments for use in the fight against increasing AMR.65

There has been plenty of advocacy but action is now needed as ‘………antimicrobial stewardship efforts and improving access to diagnostics are vital to avert the antimicrobial crisis……’.66 There is overwhelming evidence that CRP POCT can offer a significant strengthening of primary care clinicians’ diagnostic precision in addressing whether or not a patient presenting with symptoms of RTI needs antibiotics or not. The main barriers appear to be financial constraints from the central government and the reluctance of, or lack of incentives for, primary care clinicians to adopt new diagnostic processes. At the moment there is much emphasis from worldwide leaders (many microbiologists and infectious diseases specialists) on the development of new tests for aetiology. This may be helpful, but most antibacterials are prescribed in general practice. What is particularly needed in general practice is a simple, rapid test that separates seriously ill from non-seriously ill patients, despite the actual aetiological agent. So, the emphasis should be on the implementation of available POCTs that have proven to be helpful in this respect.
With the rapid development of artificial intelligence, patients will expect greater precision in diagnosing and managing their illnesses. Adopting systems that markedly reduce antibiotic consumption is a no-brainer for governments that are struggling to address the rise in AMR.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY
This paper is an update of a narrative review that was published in 2015. A full systematic review was not undertaken as a number of the references reviewed used a qualitative methodology. A pivotal systematic review was published in 2019, however this systematic review had a publication cut-off in March 2017, whereas our paper included published papers till December 2019.
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