Utility of radial endobronchial ultrasonography combined with transbronchial lung cryobiopsy in patients with diffuse parenchymal lung diseases: a multicentre prospective study
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ABSTRACT
Background Radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) has been used in conjunction with transbronchial lung cryobiopsy (TBLC) to diagnose diffuse parenchymal lung disease (DPLD) and to decrease the risk of bleeding complications. The diagnostic utility of different R-EBUS signs, however, remains unknown.

Objectives This study aimed to determine whether different R-EBUS signs could be used to more accurately diagnose DPLD and whether bronchial bleeding could be prevented with use of R-EBUS during TBLC.

Method Eighty-seven patients with DPLD were included in this multicentre prospective study, with 49 patients undergoing R-EBUS. R-EBUS signals were characterised as displaying either dense or blizzard signs. Pathological confidence of specimens obtained from TBLC was compared between patients with dense versus blizzard signs, and severity of bronchial bleeding was determined based on whether R-EBUS was performed or not.

Results All patients with dense signs on R-EBUS showed consolidation on high-resolution CT (HRCT) imaging. Pathological confidence of lung specimens was significantly higher in patients with dense signs versus those with blizzard signs (p<0.01) and versus those who did not undergo R-EBUS (p<0.05). Patients who underwent TBLC with R-EBUS were more likely to experience no or mild bronchial bleeding than patients who did not undergo R-EBUS (p<0.01), with shorter procedure times (p<0.01).

Conclusions The dense R-EBUS sign corresponded with consolidation on HRCT. High-quality lung specimens may be obtainable when the dense sign is observed on R-EBUS, and R-EBUS combined with TBLC may reduce risk of bronchial bleeding and shorten procedure times.

Key messages
► This multicentre prospective study investigated the utility and safety of radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) combined with transbronchial lung cryobiopsy (TBLC) for improvement of diagnostic yield and for controlling bronchial bleeding in patients with diffuse parenchymal lung disease.
► Pathological confidence of lung specimens was significantly higher in patients with dense signs versus those with blizzard signs and versus those who did not undergo R-EBUS, with more minor bronchial bleeding in patients who underwent R-EBUS than in those who did not undergo R-EBUS.
► The reader will find that the dense sign may increase the confidence level in pathological diagnoses of TBLC samples in patients showing consolidation on high-resolution CT and that R-EBUS may decrease the risk of bronchial bleeding.

INTRODUCTION
Transbronchial lung cryobiopsy (TBLC) is increasingly being used to diagnose diffuse parenchymal lung diseases (DPLD) and to improve diagnostic confidence during multidisciplinary diagnoses. TBLC and surgical lung biopsy (SLB) based multidisciplinary discussion (MDD) diagnoses have been reported to have excellent concordance, with a 70.8% histopathological agreement. On the other hand, MDD diagnoses from TBLC and SLB have also been reported to have poor concordance, with a 38% agreement in patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD). SLB is the gold standard for histological diagnosis in patients with suspected...
ILD; however, in order to reduce mortality risk during SLB, high confidence in the TBLC-based pathological diagnosis is required.

The incidence of reported complications related to TBLC varies widely, with bronchial bleeding being the most common potentially severe complication. Serious bronchial bleeding has been reported in up to 42% of patients undergoing TBLC, with rates of moderate bronchial bleeding reported to range from 1.8% to 47%. Management of bronchial bleeding is of paramount importance for lung sampling during TBLC, and improved diagnostic yields and prevention of bleeding are necessary for successful TBLC procedures.

Radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) has been widely used for diagnosis of solitary pulmonary lesions. In patients with ground-glass nodules, the so-called ‘blizzard’ and ‘mixed blizzard’ signs observed during R-EBUS have been reported to improve diagnostic yields during transbronchial lung biopsy. Recently, concomitant usage of R-EBUS during TBLC in patients with clinical and radiological features of DPLD has also been reported to increase utility, safety and diagnostic yield. Unfortunately, TBLC poses a high risk of bronchial bleeding, with 72.7% of patients with ILD experiencing this complication. Use of R-EBUS during TBLC, however, has been reported to reduce the frequency of bleeding complications in these patients.

We have previously reported the safety and utility of TBLC with endobronchial balloon use, and our TBLC protocol was validated in a prospective multicentre study. The characteristics and diagnostic utility of specific R-EBUS signs obtained during TBLC for evaluation of patients with DPLD, however, remain unknown. Therefore, in this study, the utility of R-EBUS combined with TBLC was evaluated for improvement of diagnostic yield and for controlling bronchial bleeding in patients with DPLD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

This study was conducted at the Department of Respiratory Medicine at Japanese Red Cross Medical Center, Saitama Red Cross Hospital, and Iizuka Hospital from July 2018 through August 2019. Inclusion criteria were as follows: age >20 years, suspected or diagnosed DPLDs, and ability to give informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: allergies to lidocaine, midazolam, flumazenil, or pethidine; high risk of bronchial bleeding; unsuitability for withholding of anticoagulation therapy for 1–7 days; or unstable severe comorbidities, including unstable angina, congestive heart failure, or severe bronchial asthma. Consecutive patients were enrolled if a pulmonologist determined that TBLC was needed for diagnostic purposes. The utility of R-EBUS was evaluated in 49 patients with DPLD and compared with 38 patients with DPLD who did not undergo R-EBUS.

Bronchoscopy procedure

A flexible bronchoscope (EB-580T or EB-580S (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan), or BF-1T290, BF1T-260, or BF-260 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan)) was used for bronchoscopies. A flexible endotracheal tube (SACETT Suction Above Cuff Endotracheal Tube 8.0–8.5mm; Smiths Medical International, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) was used for airway control, and an endobronchial balloon (Fogarty catheter, E-080–4F; Edwards Life-Sciences, Irvine, California, USA) was used for bronchial blockade and haemostasis in all patients. R-EBUS was determined to be performed in conjunction with TBLC when high-resolution CT (HRCT) findings were indicative of DPLD and TBLC was performed for focal lesions in the bronchus, or when HRCT findings revealed several types of lesions, for example, ground-glass opacity (GGO) and consolidation in the same patient. A 1.4mm, 20-MHz radial probe (PB2020-M; Fujifilm, or UM-S20-17S; Olympus) was used for R-EBUS. An epinephrine-saline mixture (1:10000 epinephrine) was routinely administered at a dose of 2mL just before and after TBLC.

R-EBUS procedure and signs

First, the bronchus for TBLC was selected in accordance with HRCT findings. The R-EBUS probe was inserted into the selected bronchus until the pleural surface was reached. The R-EBUS probe was then slowly withdrawn from the pleura to the hilum while confirming lesion locations using X-ray imaging, and the characteristics of R-EBUS signals were evaluated. This method helped clinicians to choose appropriate biopsy sites for TBLC and to identify blood vessels close to lesions. During R-EBUS, the blizzard sign, a whistling acoustic shadow of air-containing lung tissues, was identified according to previous reports. The ‘dense’ sign was identified as a darker and more homogeneous signal with irregularly distributed mottling and occasional linear hyperechoic areas. TBLC sites were selected as those in which R-EBUS demonstrated blizzard or dense signs and an absence of blood vessels, with radiographic guidance. HRCT features associated with TBLC sites were also evaluated in patients with dense or blizzard signs.

TBLC and endobronchial balloon

Under intravenous deep anaesthesia with pethidine, midazolam, or fentanyl, as well as 2% lidocaine, the flexible bronchoscope was advanced to the bronchus chosen for TBLC. A 1.9 mm diameter flexible cryoprobe (ERBECRYO 2 system; Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH, Tubingen, Germany) was then introduced. The cryoprobe was withdrawn from the pleural surface at least 1–2cm proximally, and the biopsy site was determined with or without R-EBUS signals, with radiographic guidance (figure 1). The cryoprobe was cooled for 6 to 7s, and the cryoprobe with the attached lung specimen was removed en bloc with the bronchoscope. The endobronchial balloon was prophylactically inflated with 2–3mL of air in all patients, and, after 60s of inflation, the balloon was deflated. The specimen was placed in saline and inflated, by applying a negative pressure using a 20mL syringe with saline for 60 to 120s, for histopathological evaluation. A major displacement of the inflated endobronchial balloon was defined as displacement without block bleeding, and a

minor displacement was defined as displacement that maintained a haemostatic effect.

**Adverse events**

Adverse events were categorised as follows: (1) bronchial bleeding, (2) pneumothorax, (3) pneumonia, (4) respiratory failure or (5) acute exacerbation of interstitial pneumonia. Adverse events were graded as serious, severe, moderate or mild. For all types of adverse events, ‘serious’ adverse events were defined as those that were life-threatening, and ‘severe’ adverse events were defined as those requiring surgical or radiological interventions or invasive mechanical ventilation. ‘Moderate’ or ‘mild’ adverse events were defined according to the severity of individual types of adverse events. ‘Moderate’ bronchial bleeding was defined as bleeding requiring administration of a cold saline or epinephrine-saline mixture three times or more; otherwise, it was defined as ‘mild’. Bronchial bleeding due to TBLC was considered highly likely; therefore, a prophylactic epinephrine-saline mixture, which functioned as a local vasoconstrictor, was administered once, just before TBLC. The epinephrine-saline mixture was also routinely administered just after the TBLC. When bronchial bleeding occurred after TBLC, the epinephrine-saline mixture was administered a third time. If bronchial bleeding persisted, the mixture was administered as needed.

**Pathological evaluation and MDD diagnoses**

The pathological confidence level of tissue specimens was graded according to previous reports.\(^{21,22}\) Pathological confidence was classified as follows: level A (definite pathological diagnosis); level C (difficult to diagnose); or level B (between levels A and C, and a probable diagnosis). The final diagnosis was achieved through MDD.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as medians with ranges or absolute numbers with percentages. Differences between groups were compared using Student’s t-test. \(\chi^2\) or Fisher’s exact tests were used for comparison of categorical variables. Data were analysed using JMP 10 V.10.0.2 (SAS Institute) and EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan). A two-tailed p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Patient and public involvement**

This research was performed without patient or public involvement.

**RESULTS**

**R-EBUS signs**

Data from 87 patients with DPLD were analysed in this study. In the 49 patients who underwent R-EBUS with TBLC, dense signs were observed in 18 patients, and blizzard signs were observed in 29 patients. Two patients displayed neither of these R-EBUS signals. Representative R-EBUS images are shown in figure 2, and representative ultrasonographic images demonstrating dense and blizzard signs, respectively, with corresponding HRCT and histopathological findings, are shown in figures 3 and 4.
Patient characteristics
Patient characteristics are shown in table 1. All patients with dense signs demonstrated consolidation at TBLC sites on HRCT imaging. There were significant differences in lobar locations of TBLCs (p<0.01).

Pathological evaluation and diagnosis of MDD
There were no significant differences in the median areas of TBLC specimens between patients who had dense or blizzard signs; however, pathological confidence levels were significantly higher in patients who had dense signs than in patients who had blizzard signs (p<0.01) (analysis 1 in table 2). Similarly, there were no significant differences in median areas of TBLC specimens between patients with dense signs undergoing R-EBUS and patients who did not undergo R-EBUS; however, pathological confidence levels of specimens were significantly higher in patients who had dense signs than in patients who did not undergo R-EBUS (p<0.05) (analysis 2 in table 2). R-EBUS combined with TBLC for specimens with pathological confidence levels of A and B had a diagnostic yield of 83.4% in patients with a dense sign and 79.3% in patients with a blizzard sign. Table 3 shows the MDD diagnoses obtained after review of clinical, radiological and pathological features.

Adverse events
No or only mild bronchial bleeding occurred significantly more frequently in patients who underwent TBLCs with dense signs undergoing R-EBUS and patients who did not undergo R-EBUS; however, pathological confidence levels of specimens were significantly higher in patients who had dense signs than in patients who did not undergo R-EBUS (p<0.05) (analysis 2 in table 2). R-EBUS combined with TBLC for specimens with pathological confidence levels of A and B had a diagnostic yield of 83.4% in patients with a dense sign and 79.3% in patients with a blizzard sign. Table 3 shows the MDD diagnoses obtained after review of clinical, radiological and pathological features.
R-EBUS than in patients who did not undergo R-EBUS (p<0.01; table 4). No severe or serious adverse events, including bronchial bleeding, pneumothorax, pneumonia, acute exacerbations of ILD, or respiratory failure, were reported in any study patients.

### DISCUSSION
This study demonstrated the utility of R-EBUS combined with TBLC for diagnosis of DPLD and for reduction of bronchial bleeding. It suggested that identification of the dense sign on R-EBUS can help clinicians to obtain high-quality lung specimens. In addition, concomitant usage of R-EBUS during TBLC may reduce the risk of bronchial bleeding by avoidance of lung tissue sampling near major vessels.

Previous studies have suggested that R-EBUS during TBLC may increase the diagnostic yield and reduce the risk of adverse events because this procedure may help clinicians to choose the most appropriate areas for lung sampling.14 16 23 24 The diagnostic yield of R-EBUS combined with TBLC for the diagnosis of DPLD has been reported to range from 79% to 92.5%.14 23; however, there have been few reports related to the diagnostic utility of the R-EBUS procedure combined with TBLC for DPLD. An R-EBUS signal corresponding to a ‘solid’ sign has been reported in patients with lung cancer,25 and the diagnostic yield of R-EBUS-guided transbronchial biopsy was higher in patients with solid malignancies than in those with non-solid malignancies.26 To our knowledge, this study was the first to describe a similar ‘dense’ sign on R-EBUS in patients with DPLD, which we believe may result in an increased diagnostic yield of TBLC when combined with R-EBUS compared with TBLC without R-EBUS.
In patients with lung cancer, GGO lesions evaluated with R-EBUS have been shown to demonstrate blizzard or mixed blizzard signs, and R-EBUS has been shown to play an important role in locating GGOs prior to lung biopsies. In contrast, solid lesions observed on CT imaging appear darker and more homogeneous on R-EBUS in patients with solitary pulmonary nodules. Recently, blizzard and mixed blizzard signs have been evaluated in patients with clinical and radiological findings suggestive of DPLD; however, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous reports of dense R-EBUS signals in DPLD patients who display a consolidation pattern on HRCT. In this study, the dense sign was only observed in patients who displayed consolidation on HRCT, with a consolidation pattern being more common among patients with a dense sign than a blizzard sign. Therefore, a dense sign was a characteristic R-EBUS pattern in patients with consolidation on HRCT.

The diagnostic yield of R-EBUS during bronchoscopy in patients with solid peripheral pulmonary malignancies has been shown to be higher than in patients with non-solid malignancies; however, R-EBUS signals in DPLD patients with a consolidation pattern on HRCT have not previously been reported. The pathological confidence of lung specimens from patients with associated dense signs was higher than in patients with blizzard signs and in those who did not undergo R-EBUS; therefore, the dense sign on R-EBUS may increase the diagnostic yield.

A dense sign was only observed in patients showing consolidation on HRCT. When the R-EBUS probe was positioned in the middle of a lesion in the proper bronchus, a dense sign was revealed; however, when the R-EBUS probe was positioned around the lesion or inserted into the wrong bronchus, some patients also demonstrated a blizzard sign, even when showing consolidation on HRCT. The density of the internal structure of lesions may account for these differences in R-EBUS signals. This study suggests that identifying R-EBUS signals is important for obtaining high-quality lung specimens and that TBLC sites should be chosen to correspond with dense R-EBUS signs in patients with suspected DPLD and consolidation on HRCT. Therefore, the presence of the dense sign should be ascertained at TBLC sites in patients showing consolidation on HRCT.

Usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), which is characterised by geographical heterogeneity in subpleural and/or paraseptal distributions of fibrosis, generally requires SLB for diagnosis. According to 2018 idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) guidelines, TBLC is not recommended for the diagnosis of UIP/IPF. Therefore, in the present study, the higher pathological confidence of specimens in patients with dense signs than in patients with blizzard signs or in those who did not undergo R-EBUS may be due to a lack of patients with IPF in the dense sign group. However, the UIP pattern has been reported to be identifiable with high pathological confidence in patients undergoing TBLC, and the diagnostic yield of the UIP pattern has been reported to be up to 66% during TBLC. In addition, interobserver variability between pathologists was similar for the diagnosis of the UIP pattern using either TBLC or SLB. Therefore, we believe that the type of underlying disease had little influence on the pathological confidence of specimens in this study. Nevertheless, TBLC has been reported to increase the diagnostic confidence in the MDD of IPF, and further study is needed to identify the diagnostic yield of the UIP pattern using TBLC.

In a prospective observational study of 114 patients suspected of having ILD, there were a small number of patients who experienced minor or moderate bronchial bleeding during R-EBUS combined with TBLC. Another retrospective study demonstrated that it may be possible to prevent bronchial bleeding by using R-EBUS during TBLC. In the present study, R-EBUS facilitated identification of major vessels close to lesions and establishment of an exact target location for TBLCs.

Use of an endobronchial balloon in all patients was another key method for maintaining haemostasis in this study, with no significant differences in the rates of displacement or ruptures of endobronchial balloons, numbers of cryobiopsies, or locations of TBLCs in patients who did or did not undergo R-EBUS. Therefore, the statistically significantly shorter procedure times in patients who underwent R-EBUS may be secondary to the statistically significantly less frequent occurrence of moderate bronchial bleeding in this group. Prevention of bronchial bleeding and shortening of procedure times may be other advantages to the use of R-EBUS with TBLC.

### Table 2

Comparison of pathological evaluations of transbronchial lung cryobiopsies in patients with dense versus blizzard signs on radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) and versus patients who did not undergo R-EBUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dense sign</th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=18)</td>
<td>Blizzard sign</td>
<td>Without R-EBUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median area (mm²)</td>
<td>12 (4–40)</td>
<td>15 (1–48)</td>
<td>18 (2–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological confidence</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>10 (55.6)</td>
<td>4 (13.8)</td>
<td>13 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td>19 (65.5)</td>
<td>23 (60.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>6 (20.7)</td>
<td>2 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as medians (ranges) or numbers (percentages).
There were several limitations to the present study. First, selection bias may have been present because different pulmonologists at each institution determined the necessity for R-EBUS; accordingly, a randomised trial is needed for a more thorough investigation of this question. Second, none of the patients in this study underwent SLB; therefore, comparisons of R-EBUS combined with TBLC and SLB were not possible.

**Table 3** Multidisciplinary discussion diagnoses in patients with dense and blizzard signs who underwent radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) and in patients who did not undergo R-EBUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With R-EBUS (n=38)</th>
<th>Without R-EBUS (n=38)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dense sign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (15.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptogenic organising pneumonia</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute fibronous and organising pneumonia</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified interstitial pneumonia</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking-related interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic eosinophilic pneumonia</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoïdosis</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary amyloidosis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-induced interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>3 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation pneumonitis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse alveolar haemorrhage</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicentric Castleman disease</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral pneumonia</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>2 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as numbers (percentages).

*Suspected granulomatous disease, and drug-induced lung disease without obvious histological findings.

**CONCLUSION**

This multicentre prospective study demonstrated the utility of R-EBUS combined with TBLC for the diagnosis of DPLD. Lung specimens with high pathological confidence may be obtained during TBLC when patients show the dense sign on R-EBUS. The R-EBUS procedure may also minimise the risk of bronchial bleeding during TBLC, by avoidance of major vessels, and may shorten the procedure time.
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**Table 4** Bronchial bleeding in patients who did or did not undergo radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) in conjunction with transbronchial lung cryobiopsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With R-EBUS (n=49)</th>
<th>Without R-EBUS (n=38)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial bleeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/mild</td>
<td>45 (91.8)</td>
<td>25 (65.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4 (8.2)</td>
<td>13 (34.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as numbers (percentages).

**Table 5** Characteristics of bronchoscopic interventions in patients who did or did not undergo radial endobronchial ultrasonography (R-EBUS) in conjunction with transbronchial lung cryobiopsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>With R-EBUS (n=49)</th>
<th>Without R-EBUS (n=38)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure time (min)</td>
<td>31 (18–49)</td>
<td>37 (18–71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryobiopsies per patient</td>
<td>3 (1–4)</td>
<td>3 (1–5)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement of endobronchial balloon</td>
<td>(n=116)</td>
<td>(n=87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major displacement</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper lobe</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle lobe</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lobe</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor displacement</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper lobe</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle lobe</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lobe</td>
<td>8 (6.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture</td>
<td>3 (2.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as medians (ranges) or numbers (percentages).
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