Trending peripheral venous PCO_2 in patients with respiratory failure using mathematically arterialised venous blood gas samples

Merle Weber, Grant Cave

To cite: Weber M, Cave G. Trending peripheral venous PCO_2 in patients with respiratory failure using mathematically arterialised venous blood gas samples. *BMJ Open Resp Res* 2021;**8**:e000896. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000896

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000896>).

Received 15 February 2021
Accepted 11 May 2021

ABSTRACT

Background Trending venous blood gases (VBGs) has been suggested as an alternative to arterial blood gases (ABGs) in patients with respiratory failure, but there are limits to its utility. The aim of this study was to compare the trending of venous carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO_2) (pCO_{2v}) with mathematically arterialised pCO_2 (pCO_{2ca}) and to further evaluate whether pCO_{2ca} follows change in arterial pCO_2 (pCO_{2a}) more accurately.

Methods We analysed two data sets. The first was a retrospective study of patients with respiratory failure admitted to the intensive care unit. Venous samples were mathematically arterialised using the vTAC method. The change in pCO_2 between two consecutive samples (ΔpCO_2) for pCO_{2v} was compared with the change in calculated pCO_{2ca} values. In the second data set taken from previously published work, we analysed 82 trend points (difference between consecutive samples) for change in pCO_2 . There were pCO_{2v} , pCO_{2a} and pCO_{2ca} values for each trend point. The primary outcome measures were the 95% limits of agreement (LOAs) between different sampling methods for ΔpCO_2 .

Results In the first data set, 46 patients had 203 VBG results giving 157 trend points for ΔpCO_2 analysis. The 95% LOAs for ΔpCO_{2ca} and ΔpCO_{2v} were -9.28 to 11.12 mm Hg.

In the second data set, 95% LOAs for ΔpCO_2 were -9.46 to 9.48 mm Hg for ΔpCO_{2a} and ΔpCO_{2v} , -8.94 to 8.58 mm Hg for ΔpCO_{2ca} and ΔpCO_{2v} , and -4.54 to 4.91 mm Hg for ΔpCO_{2a} and ΔpCO_{2ca} .

Conclusion This study suggests that trending pCO_{2v} is not an accurate way to trend pCO_{2a} in patients with respiratory failure. ΔpCO_{2ca} via vTAC trended differently to ΔpCO_{2v} . Our data suggest pCO_{2ca} more accurately trends pCO_{2a} .

BACKGROUND

Blood gas analysis with measurement of carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO_2) is essential in the assessment of a critically ill patient with respiratory failure. Arterial blood gases (ABGs) are the accepted reference technique for the assessment of pCO_2 ; however, they are painful, technically challenging and require expertise to obtain. Venous blood gases (VBGs) are often obtained with any

Key messages

- Does mathematically arterialised venous carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO_2) trend pCO_2 differently to venous pCO_2 alone, and if so, which follows arterial pCO_2 more accurately?
- Limits of agreement for change in pCO_2 between venous samples and arterial samples/mathematically arterialised venous blood were wide. The change in pCO_2 with mathematical arterialisation more closely followed arterial blood than venous sampling.
- Previous work has established that mathematical arterialisation of venous blood improves correlation with arterial blood. This is the first work to examine whether this improvement translates into improved ability to trend pCO_2 .

initial venous puncture¹ and in our experience are often used alone in the initial assessment of ventilatory status.

There is agreement in the literature for the use of VBG instead of ABG for the assessment of pH, lactate, and bicarbonate for both central² and peripheral VBGs.^{3–7}

VBGs are a useful screening tool for respiratory function with $\text{pCO}_2 > 45$ mm Hg being 100% sensitive for detecting the presence of hypercarbia^{6,8} and a $\text{pCO}_2 < 30$ mm Hg being 100% predictive to rule out the presence of hypercarbia.⁹ However, venous (pCO_{2v}) and arterial pCO_2 (pCO_{2a}) are not interchangeable for further assessment as the limits of agreement (LOAs) are unacceptably wide ranging from -17.4 to $+26$ mm Hg^{4,6,8,9} (although some authors suggest closer agreement).^{3,10} In addition, pCO_{2v} and pCO_{2a} do not have a consistent relationship to permit a simple conversion factor.⁷

Several authors have suggested that pH^{1,4,11} or pCO_2 ¹ may be useful for trending change in respiratory status, although reliance on pCO_{2v} instead of ABG is likely to lead to unnecessary ventilator adjustment in intubated patients.¹ One study looking at trending suggested that

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Intensive Care Unit, Hawke's Bay District Health Board, Hastings, Hawke's Bay, New Zealand

Correspondence to

Dr Merle Weber;
merleweber33@gmail.com

the agreement for absolute change was reasonable for venous pH, but not for $p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ due to the wide LOAs.¹²

Recently, a method has been developed that allows the estimation of ABG values from venous samples based on mathematical modelling of oxygen utilisation and thus carbon dioxide production in the tissues based on haemoglobin, peripheral oxygen saturations and venous oxygen saturations.¹³ Using an estimate of the respiratory quotient and oxygen extraction, the $p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$ is then estimated from the $p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$. Mathematically arterialised or calculated VBG can be calculated to within clinically acceptable criteria of the arterial blood gas values for pH and $p\text{CO}_2$.^{14–19} The remaining variability is similar to that seen within serial arterial blood sampling and within laboratory acceptable performance criteria.^{15 17 18} Calculated arterial values from peripheral venous blood have been found to be more accurate than those taken from central venous blood¹⁵ and caution is recommended when transforming central venous blood samples.²⁰

This study aims to answer the questions: does mathematical arterialised $p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ ($p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$) trend $p\text{CO}_2$ differently to $p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ alone, and if so, which follows $p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$ more accurately?

METHOD

Data set 1

We retrospectively collected venous blood gases to compare trends between $p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$. Adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit between July 2018 and June 2020 at Hawke's Bay Hospital with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or respiratory failure were eligible for admission. Hawke's Bay Hospital is a regional New Zealand hospital serving a population of 165 000. Approval for audit was obtained and as these results were retrospectively collected then de-identified prior to analysis, patient consent was not required. Data collection included age, sex, presence of cardiac failure and concern for shock. In the event of repeat presentations for a single patient during the admission period for the study, only data from the first admission were extracted. Patients were excluded if there were less than two VBG samples available (no trend) or peripheral SpO_2 near the time of VBG collection was not available. Twenty patients were recorded as being on non-invasive ventilation (NIV), two were intubated and data were unavailable regarding NIV use for 12. Our laboratory uses an ABL800 FLEX blood gas machine (Radiometer Medical ApS, Brønshøj, Denmark).

Venous to arterial conversion of $p\text{CO}_2$ was performed using vTAC software (OBI Medical, Jacob Møllers Gade 4, Hadsund, Denmark). In the absence of literature defining an acceptable limit for the difference in $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ between measurement methods, we defined the acceptable $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ as 5 mm Hg. One small study suggested a difference of 6.6 mm Hg being the upper limit of clinical acceptability for difference in point estimate of $p\text{CO}_2$.¹¹

The primary outcome of interest was 95% LOAs between $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$, displayed graphically on Bland-Altman plots.

Data set 2

Data were provided by the authors of a previous study in which multiple data points in individual patients were measured. These data were further analysed for $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$, $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$.¹⁸ Patients with previously diagnosed COPD admitted to the Department of Respiratory Diseases at Aalborg Hospital, Denmark due to exacerbation, were included in the study. Informed oral and written consent was obtained from patients in all cases. Patients were only studied on weekdays over a single week and were therefore recruited on Monday–Wednesday to maximise the number of study days, making this a convenience sample. On each day during this period, if an arterial blood sample was ordered as part of routine clinical practice on the ward round in a recruited patient, the on-duty biotechnician responsible for taking the arterial sample would then take an additional peripheral venous sample. The corresponding venous and arterial samples were taken within a few minutes of each other. Pulse oximetry SpO_2 was performed as part of the usual routine by the biotechnician and noted. These samples were then evaluated for $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ comparison between $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$, $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$. This data set used an ABL835 FLEX blood gas machine (Radiometer Medical ApS, Brønshøj, Denmark).

As we used previously collected data for this, there was no power calculation made for this arm of the study.

Statistical analysis

Bland-Altman plots of mean versus difference with 95% LOA for any two of $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$, $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$ are presented to compare agreement for $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ (figure 1).

Adjustment for multiple comparisons was not made after finding essentially identical SDs for all data sets using the first observation only and all observations as discrete data points.

The number of patients in whom the difference between any two measures of $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ between $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{a}$, $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{v}$ and $\Delta p\text{CO}_2\text{ca}$ was greater than 5, 7.5 and 10 mm Hg, respectively, is presented in table 1.

The analysis for the first data set was powered for a 95% CI around the upper and lower bounds of the LOA of 1.5 mm Hg with 100 changepoints analysed.²¹

Baseline descriptive statistics are presented as mean and 95% CIs unless otherwise indicated.

Patient and public involvement

Ours is a retrospective cohort study involving the mining of de-identified laboratory data. Neither patients nor the public were involved in the recruitment or conduct of the study, nor are there plans to disseminate results to patients.

Figure 1 Bland-Altman plots for the change (delta) in pCO₂ between compared measurements. pCO₂, carbon dioxide partial pressure.

Table 1 Proportion of samples where difference in ΔpCO_2 was greater than 5, 7.5 and 10 mm Hg, respectively, for two different sampling methods

Difference in ΔpCO_2 values	Calculated arterial/venous ΔpCO_2	Calculated arterial/venous ΔpCO_2	Arterial/venous ΔpCO_2	Arterial/calculated arterial ΔpCO_2
Data set	1st data set	2nd data set	2nd data set	2nd data set
>5 mm Hg	34/156 (21.8%)	17/82 (20.7%)	22/82 (26.8%)	2/82 (2.4%)
>7.5 mm Hg	14/156 (9.0%)	10/82 (12.2%)	12/82 (14.6%)	0/82 (0%)
>10 mm Hg	5/156 (3.2%)	4/82 (4.9%)	4/82 (4.9%)	0/82 (0%)

pCO₂, carbon dioxide partial pressure.

Table 2 Baseline variables, first blood gas parameters

Parameters	Data set 1	Data set 2
Age in years (median range)	69 (35–87)	67 (62–75)
Female (%)	83	56
Initial pH		
Venous	7.26 (7.23–7.29)	7.4 (7.39–9.42)
Calculated arterial	7.28 (7.25–7.31)	7.43 (7.41–7.44)
Arterial	–	7.43 (7.42–7.55)
Initial values		
pCO ₂ v (mm Hg)	72 (66–78)	57 (53–61)
pCO ₂ ca (mm Hg)	66 (60–71)	52 (48–56)
pCO ₂ a (mm Hg)	–	51 (49–53)
pO ₂ arterial (mm Hg)		70 (65–74)
Bias*		
ΔpCO ₂ v/ΔpCO ₂ ca (mm Hg)	0.9	–0.2
ΔpCO ₂ v/ΔpCO ₂ a (mm Hg)	–	0
ΔpCO ₂ a/ΔpCO ₂ ca (mm Hg)	–	0.2

*Bias=difference between the means of the two variables.

pCO₂, carbon dioxide partial pressure; pCO₂a, arterial pCO₂; pCO₂ca, mathematically arterialised pCO₂; pCO₂v, venous pCO₂; pO₂, oxygen partial pressure.

RESULTS

Baseline variables for parameters on the first blood gases taken as well as bias for ΔpCO₂ between groups are shown in [table 2](#).

Data set 1

In our retrospective data set, 46 patients were eligible for study admission with a total of 203 VBG samples collected resulting in 157 trend points (ΔpCO₂) being available for analysis. For example, a patient with three VBG samples had two trend points available for analysis with values calculated between the first and second sample and the second trend point between the second and third sample. This data set included patients on high-flow nasal cannula and NIV. The most common diagnoses were COPD, right heart failure, obesity-related hypoventilation and pneumonia. Only three patients had any potential concern for shock—defined as blood pressure <90 mm Hg systolic or vasopressor requirement at time of sampling. The change in pCO₂ between two consecutive samples for pCO₂v was compared with the change in calculated pCO₂ca values.

The 95% LOAs for ΔpCO₂ca and ΔpCO₂v were –9.28 to +11.12 mm Hg. In this data set, there were 34 out of 156 samples that were outside our designated 5 mm Hg limit, 14 samples had >7.5 mm Hg difference, and 5 samples had >10 mm Hg difference ([table 1](#)).

Data set 2

In this data set, 54 patients were studied over the period June 2010–June 2011 in normal working hours (08:00–15:00). Forty-four patients received nasal oxygen, in 20 patients this was delivered by a high-flow humidification

device (Optiflow, Fisher and Paykel). The remaining 10 patients received NIV support including oxygen delivery (Vivo30, Breas). Two patients switched between oxygen delivery methods during the study. As the protocol was such that each patient was studied over a single week then a varying number of days were studied for each patient with 13 patients studied on a single occasion, 13 on 2 days, 17 on 3 days, 9 on 4 days, and a single patient on both 5 and 6 days.

From these data, 136 paired samples resulting in 82 trend points (ΔpCO₂) were available for analysis for change in pCO₂ for pCO₂a, pCO₂v and pCO₂ca. The 95% LOAs were –4.54 to 4.91 mm Hg for ΔpCO₂a and ΔpCO₂ca, –9.46 to 9.48 mm Hg for ΔpCO₂a and ΔpCO₂v, and –8.94 to 8.58 mm Hg for ΔpCO₂ca and ΔpCO₂v. The ΔpCO₂a had a strong linear correlation with both ΔpCO₂ca and ΔpCO₂v (R²=0.946 and 0.823, respectively).

When compared with the change in pCO₂ of arterial samples, two of the mathematically calculated (ΔpCO₂ca) samples were greater than 5 mm Hg different to the arterial samples vs 22 of the venous pCO₂ samples. Similarly, when comparing ΔpCO₂v with ΔpCO₂ca, there were 17 samples in the venous group that were outside the 5 mm Hg range ([table 1](#)).

DISCUSSION

While pCO₂v is known to have unacceptably wide LOAs for the estimation of pCO₂a levels in patients with respiratory failure, it has been suggested that pCO₂v could be used for trending. This suggestion is not supported by either our data or the limited available literature.¹² In both data sets, pCO₂ca and pCO₂v measured ΔpCO₂

differently with moderately wide LOA. Similarly, wide LOAs between ΔpCO_2v and ΔpCO_2a were seen in the second data set. In this second data set, pCO_2ca trended pCO_2a within limits that may be clinically acceptable.

It has been suggested that pH may be used as a surrogate marker to trend respiratory function in patients with respiratory failure combined with clinical assessment.^{12,22} We have chosen not to analyse pH in this study for two reasons. The 95% CIs for pH when used in assessing respiratory function have been reported as ± 0.1 .^{3–6,22} Via the Henderson-Hasselbach equation ($pH = 6.1 + \log (HCO_3^- / (0.03 \times pCO_2))$), a change in pCO_2 in the range of ± 10 mm Hg from a pCO_2 of 40 mm Hg (without metabolic abnormality) would be required to cause this change in pH without concurrent metabolic abnormality. Additionally, the logarithmic basis of pH calculation and greater acute proportional change in pCO_2 than bicarbonate²³ make acute change in pH in hypercapnoeic respiratory failure driven largely by proportional change in pCO_2 (online supplemental appendix 1). Given the choice to define adequacy of agreement in terms of absolute rather than relative change in pCO_2 , we have not further analysed pH.

This work along with current evidence suggests that the change in pCO_2ca is a more accurate marker of the trend in pCO_2a than venous pH or pCO_2v . It is of interest that analysis incorporating the peripheral pCO_2v may potentially be a more accurate representation of the patient's 'true' physiology than arterial samples in the setting of acute change in ventilation. Transient changes in ventilation as might occur with sampling anxiety-related hyperventilation appear to be reflected within seconds in pCO_2a , while this effect is mitigated in venous blood.²⁴ As a method where this mitigating effect is maintained and which also corrects for variability in tissue oxygen metabolism, pCO_2ca via vTAC holds theoretical advantages.

There are limitations to this study. The two groups analysed were different, data set 1 appearing to have more acute and severe respiratory failure with higher baseline pCO_2 and lower pH. This may reflect the different time of sampling with samples in data set 1 being taken in the emergency department and intensive care unit versus patients established in the ward in data set 2. Without arterial samples, the LOA for ΔpCO_2 from data set 1 can only demonstrate that in this population pCO_2ca and pCO_2v gas tracked CO_2 differently. Data set 2, with concurrent VBG and ABG samples, allowed direct comparison of all three values - arterial, venous and calculated. The narrower LOAs between ΔpCO_2ca and ΔpCO_2a suggest that ΔpCO_2ca tracks change in pCO_2a better than ΔpCO_2v in this population. Correlation of ΔpCO_2 for VBG/ABG and vTAC (calculated arterial) values in the most acute phase of respiratory failure represents an opportunity for future research. Of note, other studies of critically ill patients with wide ranges of diagnoses admitted to intensive care, pulmonary medicine or emergency departments retain small LOAs < 5 mm Hg when comparing single timepoint pCO_2ca with pCO_2a .^{15–19,25}

Peripheral saturations obtained were as close to the time of documented sampling as possible. Given the first set of data is retrospective, it is possible the actual saturation at the time of sampling may be different to that recorded. Previous assessments of an adequately 'well perfused limb' for the measurement of peripheral saturations for the purpose of calculating arterialised values from venous samples required a clearly recognisable pulse and a normal capillary response.¹⁵ We cannot confirm this retrospectively, but few patients had any concern for shock described in their clinical notes. Another study of pCO_2ca using routinely available blood samples found results in line with experimentally controlled conditions, despite no attempt to ensure reasonable peripheral perfusion beyond routine clinical practice.¹⁶

We did not correct for the use of multiple data points from individual patients but do not believe there was any difference in the within and between patient variance that altered the LOA. Data using the first observation from each patient only had essentially identical SDs to those used for the presented LOA, and there was no significant within/between groups difference in variance on one-way analysis of variance. A non-parametric presentation of results is also included in table 1.

Central venous saturations were unlikely to have featured significantly in this patient population. Delay in time from VBG sampling to blood gas analysis may well have occurred, but up to 15 min delay has shown no significant change in calculated values.¹⁷ While bubbles in VBG sampling tubes can affect values, there is no reason to believe our sample does not represent everyday clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that trending pCO_2v is not an accurate way to trend pCO_2a in patients with respiratory failure. ΔpCO_2ca via vTAC trended differently to ΔpCO_2v . Our data suggest pCO_2ca more accurately trends pCO_2a . Further research on this aspect of mathematical arterialisation of VBGs is warranted.

Contributors GC and MW conceived the study. MW collected data, assisted with data analysis, wrote the first draft and led the writing of subsequent drafts. GC analysed data and provided oversight.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The Institutional Board and Ethics Committee that approved this study were the Hawke's Bay District Health Board Audit Registration Committee and the Ethical Committee of the North Jutland Region of Denmark.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability

of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Grant Cave <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9992-8252>

REFERENCES

- Schütz N, Roth D, Schwameis M, *et al*. Can venous blood gas be used as an alternative to arterial blood gas in intubated patients at admission to the emergency department? A retrospective study. *Open Access Emerg Med* 2019;11:305–12.
- Middleton P, Kelly A-M, Brown J, *et al*. Agreement between arterial and central venous values for pH, bicarbonate, base excess, and lactate. *Emerg Med J* 2006;23:622–4.
- Malatesha G, Singh NK, Bharija A, *et al*. Comparison of arterial and venous pH, bicarbonate, PCO₂ and PO₂ in initial emergency department assessment. *Emerg Med J* 2007;24:569–71.
- Lim BL, Kelly A-M. A meta-analysis on the utility of peripheral venous blood gas analyses in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the emergency department. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2010;17:246–8.
- Kelly A-M, Klim S. Agreement between arterial and venous pH and PCO₂ in patients undergoing non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department. *Emerg Med Australas* 2013;25:203–6.
- McCanny P, Bennett K, Staunton P, *et al*. Venous vs arterial blood gases in the assessment of patients presenting with an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Emerg Med* 2012;30:896–900.
- Byrne AL, Bennett M, Chatterji R, *et al*. Peripheral venous and arterial blood gas analysis in adults: are they comparable? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respirology* 2014;19:168–75.
- Kelly A-M, Kerr D, Middleton P. Validation of venous PCO₂ to screen for arterial hypercarbia in patients with chronic obstructive airways disease. *J Emerg Med* 2005;28:377–9.
- Ibrahim I, Ooi SBS, Yiong Huak C, Chan YH, *et al*. Point-Of-Care bedside gas analyzer: limited use of venous PCO₂ in emergency patients. *J Emerg Med* 2011;41:117–23.
- Zeserson E, Goodgame B, Hess JD, *et al*. Correlation of venous blood gas and pulse oximetry with arterial blood gas in the undifferentiated critically ill patient. *J Intensive Care Med* 2018;33:176–81.
- Rang LCF, Murray HE, Wells GA, *et al*. Can peripheral venous blood gases replace arterial blood gases in emergency department patients? *CJEM* 2002;4:7–15.
- Kelly A-M, Klim S. Can a change in pH and PCO₂ be used to monitor progress in patients undergoing noninvasive ventilation? A prospective cohort study. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2014;21:69–72.
- Rees SE, Toftegaard M, Andreassen S. A method for calculation of arterial acid-base and blood gas status from measurements in the peripheral venous blood. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2006;81:18–25.
- Lumholdt M, Damgaard KA, Christensen EF, *et al*. Mathematical arterialisation of peripheral venous blood gas for obtainment of arterial blood gas values: a methodological validation study in the clinical setting. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2019;33:733–40.
- Toftegaard M, Rees SE, Andreassen S. Evaluation of a method for converting venous values of acid-base and oxygenation status to arterial values. *Emerg Med J* 2009;26:268–72.
- Rees SE, Hansen A, Toftegaard M, *et al*. Converting venous acid-base and oxygen status to arterial in patients with lung disease. *European Respiratory Journal* 2009;33:1141–7.
- Tygesen G, Matzen H, Grønkjær K, *et al*. Mathematical arterialization of venous blood in emergency medicine patients. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2012;19:363–72.
- Rees SE, Rychwicka-Kielek BA, Andersen BF, *et al*. Calculating acid-base and oxygenation status during COPD exacerbation using mathematically arterialised venous blood. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2012;50:2149–54.
- Ekström M, Engblom A, Ilıc A, *et al*. Calculated arterial blood gas values from a venous sample and pulse oximetry: clinical validation. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0215413.
- Shastri L, Boulain T, Rees SE, *et al*. Comparison of two methods for converting central venous values of acid-base status to arterial values in critically ill patients. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2021;203:106002.
- Bland M. How can I decide the sample size for a study of agreement between two methods of measurement? Available: <https://www-users.york.ac.uk/~mb55/meas/sizemeth.htm>
- Kelly A-M. Can VBG analysis replace ABG analysis in emergency care? *Emerg Med J* 2016;33:1–2.
- Baillie JK. Simple, easily memorised "rules of thumb" for the rapid assessment of physiological compensation for respiratory acid-base disorders. *Thorax* 2008;63:289–90.
- Shastri L, Kjærgaard S, Thyrestrup PS, *et al*. Is venous blood a more reliable description of acid-base state following simulated hypo- and hyperventilation? *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2021;29:35.
- Thomsen LP, Klein A-C, Vitali-Serdoz L, *et al*. Evaluation of mathematical arterialization of venous blood in intensive care and pulmonary ward patients. *Respiration* 2021;100:164–72.