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ABSTRACT

Background Trending venous blood gases (VBGs) has been suggested as an alternative to arterial blood gases (ABGs) in patients with respiratory failure, but there are limits to its utility. The aim of this study was to compare the trend of venous carbon dioxide partial pressure ($\text{pCO}_2$) ($\text{pCO}_2v$) with mathematically arterialised $\text{pCO}_2$ ($\text{pCO}_2a$) and to further evaluate whether $\text{pCO}_2ca$ follows change in arterial $\text{pCO}_2$ ($\text{pCO}_2a$) more accurately.

Methods We analysed two data sets. The first was a retrospective study of patients with respiratory failure admitted to the intensive care unit. Venous samples were mathematically arterialised using the vTAC method. The change in $\text{pCO}_2$ between two consecutive samples ($\Delta\text{pCO}_2$) for $\text{pCO}_2v$ was compared with the change in calculated $\text{pCO}_2ca$ values. In the second data set taken from previously published work, we analysed 82 trend points (difference between consecutive samples) for change in $\text{pCO}_2$. There were $\text{pCO}_2v$, $\text{pCO}_2a$, and $\text{pCO}_2ca$ values for each trend point. The primary outcome measures were the 95% limits of agreement (LOAs) between different sampling methods for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2$.

Results In the first data set, 46 patients had 203 VBG results giving 157 trend points for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2$ analysis. The 95% LOAs for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$ and $\Delta\text{pCO}_2v$ were $-9.28$ to $11.12$ mm Hg. In the second data set, 95% LOAs for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2a$ were $-9.46$ to $9.48$ mm Hg for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2a$ and $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$ were $-8.94$ to $8.58$ mm Hg for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$ and $\Delta\text{pCO}_2v$, and $-4.54$ to $4.91$ mm Hg for $\Delta\text{pCO}_2a$ and $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$.

Conclusion This study suggests that trending $\text{pCO}_2v$ is not an accurate way to trend $\text{pCO}_2a$ in patients with respiratory failure. $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$ via vTAC trended differently to $\Delta\text{pCO}_2v$ and to $\Delta\text{pCO}_2a$ and $\Delta\text{pCO}_2ca$. Our data suggest $\text{pCO}_2ca$ more accurately trends $\text{pCO}_2a$.

BACKGROUND

Blood gas analysis with measurement of carbon dioxide partial pressure ($\text{pCO}_2$) is essential in the assessment of a critically ill patient with respiratory failure. Arterial blood gases (ABGs) are the accepted reference technique for the assessment of $\text{pCO}_2$; however, they are painful, technically challenging and require expertise to obtain. Venous blood gases (VBGs) are often obtained with any initial venous puncture\(^1\) and in our experience are often used alone in the initial assessment of ventilatory status.

There is agreement in the literature for the use of VBG instead of ABG for the assessment of $\text{pH}$, lactate, and bicarbonate for both central\(^2\) and peripheral VBGs.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^7\)

VBGs are a useful screening tool for respiratory function with $\text{pCO}_2 >45$ mm Hg being 100% sensitive for detecting the presence of hypercarbia\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^8\) and a $\text{pCO}_2 \leq 30$ mm Hg being 100% predictive to rule out the presence of hypercarbia.\(^9\) However, venous ($\text{pCO}_2v$) and arterial $\text{pCO}_2$ ($\text{pCO}_2a$) are not interchangeable for further assessment as the limits of agreement (LOAs) are unacceptably wide ranging from $-17.4$ to $26$ mm Hg\(^1\)\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\), although some authors suggest closer agreement.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^8\) In addition, $\text{pCO}_2v$ and $\text{pCO}_2a$ do not have a consistent relationship to permit a simple conversion factor.\(^7\) Several authors have suggested that $\text{pH}\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^11\) or $\text{pCO}_2$\(^1\) may be useful for trending change in respiratory status, although reliance on $\text{pCO}_2v$ instead of ABG is likely to lead to unnecessary ventilator adjustment in intubated patients.\(^1\) One study looking at trending suggested that...
the agreement for absolute change was reasonable for venous pH, but not for pCO₂v due to the wide LOAs.12

Recently, a method has been developed that allows the estimation of ABG values from venous samples based on mathematical modelling of oxygen utilisation and thus carbon dioxide production in the tissues based on haemoglobin, peripheral oxygen saturations and venous oxygen saturations.13 Using an estimate of the respiratory quotient and oxygen extraction, the pCO₂a is then estimated from the pCO₂v. Mathematically arterialised or calculated VBG can be calculated to within clinically acceptable criteria of the arterial blood gas values for pH and pCO₂.14–19 The remaining variability is similar to that seen within serial arterial blood sampling and within laboratory acceptable performance criteria.15 17 18 Calculated arterial values from peripheral venous blood have been found to be more accurate than those taken from central venous blood16 and caution is recommended when transforming central venous blood samples.20

This study aims to answer the questions: does mathematical arterialised pCO₂v (pCO₂ca) trend pCO₂ differently to pCO₂v alone, and if so, which follows pCO₂a more accurately?

**METHOD**

**Data set 1**

We retrospectively collected venous blood gases to compare trends between pCO₂v and pCO₂ca. Adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit between July 2018 and June 2020 at Hawke’s Bay Hospital with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or respiratory failure were eligible for admission. Hawke’s Bay Hospital is a regional New Zealand hospital serving a population of 165 000. Approval for audit was obtained and as these results were retrospectively collected then de-identified prior to analysis, patient consent was not required. Data collection included age, sex, presence of cardiac failure and concern for shock. In the event of repeat presentations for a single patient during the admission period for the study, only data from the first admission were extracted. Patients were excluded if there were less than two VBG samples available (no trend) or peripheral SpO₂ near the time of VBG collection was not available. Twenty patients were recorded as being on non-invasive ventilation (NIV), two were intubated and data were unavailable regarding NIV use for 12. Our laboratory uses an ABL800 FLEX blood gas machine (Radiometer Medical ApS, Brønshøj, Denmark).

Venous to arterial conversion of pCO₂ was performed using vTAC software (OBI Medical, Jacob Møllers Gade 4, Hadsund, Denmark). In the absence of literature defining an acceptable limit for the difference in ΔpCO₂ between measurement methods, we defined the acceptable ΔpCO₂ as 5 mm Hg. One small study suggested a difference of 6.6 mm Hg being the upper limit of clinical acceptability for difference in point estimate of pCO₂.11

The primary outcome of interest was 95% LOAs between ΔpCO₂v and ΔpCO₂ca, displayed graphically on Bland-Altman plots.

**Data set 2**

Data were provided by the authors of a previous study in which multiple data points in individual patients were measured. These data were further analysed for ΔpCO₂a, ΔpCO₂v and ΔpCO₂ca.18 Patients with previously diagnosed COPD admitted to the Department of Respiratory Diseases at Aalborg Hospital, Denmark due to exacerbation, were included in the study. Informed oral and written consent was obtained from patients in all cases. Patients were only studied on weekdays over a single week and were therefore recruited on Monday–Wednesday to maximise the number of study days, making this a convenience sample. On each day during this period, if an arterial blood sample was ordered as part of routine clinical practice on the ward round in a recruited patient, the on-duty biotechnician responsible for taking the arterial sample would then take an additional peripheral venous sample. The corresponding venous and arterial samples were taken within a few minutes of each other. Pulse oximetry SpO₂ was performed as part of the usual routine by the biotechnician and noted. These samples were then evaluated for ΔpCO₂ comparison between ΔpCO₂a, ΔpCO₂v and ΔpCO₂ca. This data set used an ABL835 FLEX blood gas machine (Radiometer Medical ApS, Bronshøj, Denmark).

As we used previously collected data for this, there was no power calculation made for this arm of the study.

**Statistical analysis**

Bland-Altman plots of mean versus difference with 95% LOA for any two of ΔpCO₂a, ΔpCO₂v and ΔpCO₂ca are presented to compare agreement for ΔpCO₂ (figure 1). Adjustment for multiple comparisons was not made after finding essentially identical SDs for all data sets using the first observation only and all observations as discrete data points.

The number of patients in whom the difference between any two measures of ΔpCO₂ between ΔpCO₂a, ΔpCO₂v and ΔpCO₂ca was greater than 5, 7.5 and 10 mm Hg, respectively, is presented in table 1.

The analysis for the first data set was powered for a 95% CI around the upper and lower bounds of the LOA of 1.5 mm Hg with 100 changepoints analysed.21 Baseline descriptive statistics are presented as mean and 95% CIs unless otherwise indicated.

**Patient and public involvement**

Ours is a retrospective cohort study involving the mining of de-identified laboratory data. Neither patients nor the public were involved in the recruitment or conduct of the study, nor are there plans to disseminate results to patients.
Figure 1  Bland-Altman plots for the change (delta) in pCO₂ between compared measurements. pCO₂, carbon dioxide partial pressure.

Table 1  Proportion of samples where difference in ΔpCO₂ was greater than 5, 7.5 and 10 mm Hg, respectively, for two different sampling methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Difference in ΔpCO₂ values</th>
<th>Calculated arterial/venous ΔpCO₂</th>
<th>Calculated arterial/venous ΔpCO₂</th>
<th>Arterial/venous ΔpCO₂</th>
<th>Arterial/calculated arterial ΔpCO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data set</td>
<td>1st data set</td>
<td>2nd data set</td>
<td>2nd data set</td>
<td>2nd data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 mm Hg</td>
<td>34/156 (21.8%)</td>
<td>17/82 (20.7%)</td>
<td>22/82 (26.8%)</td>
<td>2/82 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7.5 mm Hg</td>
<td>14/156 (9.0%)</td>
<td>10/82 (12.2%)</td>
<td>12/82 (14.6%)</td>
<td>0/82 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 mm Hg</td>
<td>5/156 (3.2%)</td>
<td>4/82 (4.9%)</td>
<td>4/82 (4.9%)</td>
<td>0/82 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pCO₂, carbon dioxide partial pressure.
RESULTS
Baseline variables for parameters on the first blood gases taken as well as bias for $\Delta pCO_2$ between groups are shown in table 2.

Data set 1
In our retrospective data set, 46 patients were eligible for study admission with a total of 203 VBG samples collected resulting in 157 trend points ($\Delta pCO_2$) being available for analysis. For example, a patient with three VBG samples had two trend points available for analysis with values calculated between the first and second sample and the second trend point between the second and third sample. This data set included patients on high-flow nasal cannula and NIV. The most common diagnoses were COPD, right heart failure, obesity-related hypoventilation and pneumonia. Only three patients had any potential concern for shock—defined as blood pressure <90 mm Hg systolic or vasopressor requirement at time of sampling. The change in $pCO_2$ between two consecutive samples for $pCO_2$ was compared with the change in calculated $pCO_2$ values.

The 95% LOAs for $\Delta pCO_2$ were $-9.28$ to $+11.12$ mm Hg. In this data set, there were 34 out of 156 samples that were outside our designated 5 mm Hg limit, 14 samples had >7.5 mm Hg difference, and 5 samples had >10 mm Hg difference (table 1).

Data set 2
In this data set, 54 patients were studied over the period June 2010–June 2011 in normal working hours (08:00–15:00). Forty-four patients received nasal oxygen, in 20 patients this was delivered by a high-flow humidification device (Optiflow, Fisher and Paykel). The remaining 10 patients received NIV support including oxygen delivery (Vivo30, Breas). Two patients switched between oxygen delivery methods during the study. As the protocol was such that each patient was studied over a single week then a varying number of days were studied for each patient with 13 patients studied on a single occasion, 13 on 2 days, 17 on 3 days, 9 on 4 days, and a single patient on both 5 and 6 days.

From these data, 136 paired samples resulting in 82 trend points ($\Delta pCO_2$) were available for analysis for change in $pCO_2$ for $pCO_2$, $pCO_2$ and $pCO_2$. The 95% LOAs were $-4.54$ to $4.91$ mm Hg for $\Delta pCO_2$, $\Delta pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$, $-9.46$ to $9.48$ mm Hg for $\Delta pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$, and $-8.94$ to $8.58$ mm Hg for $\Delta pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$. The $\Delta pCO_2$ had a strong linear correlation with both $\Delta pCO_2$ and $\Delta pCO_2$ (R²=0.946 and 0.823, respectively).

When compared with the change in $pCO_2$ of arterial samples, two of the mathematically calculated ($\Delta pCO_2$) samples were greater than 5 mm Hg different to the arterial samples vs 22 of the venous $pCO_2$ samples. Similarly, when comparing $\Delta pCO_2$ with $\Delta pCO_2$, there were 17 samples in the venous group that were outside the 5 mm Hg range (table 1).

DISCUSSION
While $pCO_2$ is known to have unacceptably wide LOAs for the estimation of $pCO_2$ levels in patients with respiratory failure, it has been suggested that $pCO_2$ could be used for trending. This suggestion is not supported by either our data or the limited available literature.12 In both data sets, $pCO_2$ and $pCO_2$ measured $\Delta pCO_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Data set 1</th>
<th>Data set 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (median range)</td>
<td>69 (35–87)</td>
<td>67 (62–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Initial pH |  |
| Venous | 7.26 (7.23–7.29) | 7.4 (7.39–9.42) |
| Calculated arterial | 7.28 (7.25–7.31) | 7.43 (7.41–7.44) |

| Arterial |  |
| pCO₂v (mm Hg) | 72 (66–78) | 57 (53–61) |
| pCO₂ca (mm Hg) | 66 (60–71) | 52 (48–56) |
| pCO₂a (mm Hg) | – | 51 (49–53) |
| pO₂ arterial (mm Hg) | 70 (65–74) |  |

| Bias* |  |
| ΔpCO₂v/ΔpCO₂ca (mm Hg) | 0.9 | –0.2 |
| ΔpCO₂v/ΔpCO₂a (mm Hg) | – | 0 |
| ΔpCO₂a/ΔpCO₂ca (mm Hg) | – | 0.2 |

*Bias=difference between the means of the two variables.

$pCO_2$, carbon dioxide partial pressure; $pCO_2$, arterial $pCO_2$; $pCO_2$, mathematically arterialised $pCO_2$; $pCO_2$, venous $pCO_2$; $pO_2$, oxygen partial pressure.
differently with moderately wide LOA. Similarly, wide LOAs between ΔpCO₂a and ΔpCO₂v were seen in the second data set. In this second data set, pCO₂ca trended pCO₂a within limits that may be clinically acceptable. It has been suggested that pH may be used as a surrogate marker to trend respiratory function in patients with respiratory failure combined with clinical assessment.⁴⁻⁹ We have chosen not to analyse pH in this study for two reasons. The 95% CIs for pH when used in assessing respiratory function have been reported as ±0.1⁴⁻⁹ Via the Henderson-Hasselbach equation (pH=6.1+log (HCO₃⁻/ (0.03×pCO₂))), a change in pCO₂ in the range of ±10 mm Hg from a pCO₂ of 40 mm Hg (without metabolic abnormality) would be required to cause this change in pH without concurrent metabolic abnormality. Additionally, the logarithmic basis of pH calculation and greater acute proportional change in pCO₂ than bicarbonate¹⁰ make acute change in pH in hypercapnic respiratory failure driven largely by proportional change in pCO₂ (online supplemental appendix 1). Given the choice to define adequacy of agreement in terms of absolute rather than relative change in pCO₂, we have not further analysed pH.

This work along with current evidence suggests that the change in pCO₂ca is a more accurate marker of the trend in pCO₂a than venous pH or pCO₂v. It is of interest that analysis incorporating the peripheral pCO₂ may potentially be a more accurate representation of the patient’s ‘true’ physiology than arterial samples in the setting of acute change in ventilation. Transient changes in ventilation as might occur with sampling anxiety-related hyperventilation appear to be reflected within seconds in pCO₂a, while this effect is mitigated in venous blood.¹⁰ As a method where this mitigating effect is maintained and which also corrects for variability in tissue oxygen metabolism, pCO₂ ca via VTAC holds theoretical advantages.

There are limitations to this study. The two groups analysed were different, data set 1 appearing to have more acute and severe respiratory failure with higher baseline pCO₂ and lower pH. This may reflect the different time of sampling with samples in data set 1 being taken in the emergency department and intensive care unit versus patients established in the ward in data set 2. Without arterial samples, the LOA for ΔpCO₂ from data set 1 can only demonstrate that in this population pCO₂ ca and pCO₂v gas tracked CO₂ differently. Data set 2, with concurrent VBG and ABG samples, allowed direct comparison of all three values - arterial, venous and calculated. The narrower LOAs between ΔpCO₂ ca and ΔpCO₂a suggest that ΔpCO₂ ca tracks change in pCO₂a better than ΔpCO₂v in this population. Correlation of ΔpCO₂ for VBG/ABG and VTAC (calculated arterial) values in the most acute phase of respiratory failure represents an opportunity for future research. Of note, other studies of critically ill patients with wide ranges of diagnoses admitted to intensive care, pulmonary medicine or emergency departments retain small LOAs <5 mm Hg when comparing single timepoint pCO₂ a with pCO₂ a.¹³⁻¹⁹

Peripheral saturations obtained were as close to the time of documented sampling as possible. Given the first set of data is retrospective, it is possible the actual saturation at the time of sampling may be different to that recorded. Previous assessments of an adequately ‘well perfused limb’ for the measurement of peripheral saturations for the purpose of calculating arterialised values from venous samples required a clearly recognisable pulse and a normal capillary response.¹⁵ We cannot confirm this retrospectively, but few patients had any concern for shock described in their clinical notes. Another study of pCO₂ca using routinely available blood samples found results in line with experimentally controlled conditions, despite no attempt to ensure reasonable peripheral perfusion beyond routine clinical practice.¹⁶

We did not correct for the use of multiple data points from individual patients but do not believe there was any difference in the within and between patient variance that altered the LOA. Data using the first observation from each patient only had essentially identical SDs to those used for the presented LOA, and there was no significant within/between group difference in variance on one-way analysis of variance. A non-parametric presentation of results is also included in table 1.

Central venous saturations were unlikely to have featured significantly in this patient population. Delay in time from VBG sampling to blood gas analysis may well have occurred, but up to 15 min delay has shown no significant change in calculated values.¹⁷ While bubbles in VBG sampling tubes can affect values, there is no reason to believe our sample does not represent everyday clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that trending pCO₂v is not an accurate way to trend pCO₂a in patients with respiratory failure. ΔpCO₂ ca via VTAC trended differently to ΔpCO₂v. Our data suggest pCO₂ ca more accurately trends pCO₂a. Further research on this aspect of mathematical artefactualisation of VBGs is warranted.
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