

Among respiratory symptoms, wheeze associates most strongly with impaired lung function in adults with asthma: a long-term prospective cohort study

Nicolás Bermúdez Barón,¹ Anne Lindberg ,² Caroline Stridsman,² Martin Andersson,¹ Linnea Hedman,^{1,3} Sigrid Anna Vikjord ,⁴ Hannu Kankaanranta,^{5,6} Bo Lundbäck,^{2,7} Eva Rönmark,¹ Helena Backman ¹

To cite: Bermúdez Barón N, Lindberg A, Stridsman C, *et al.* Among respiratory symptoms, wheeze associates most strongly with impaired lung function in adults with asthma: a long-term prospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Resp Res* 2021;**8**:e000981. doi:10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000981

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjresp-2021-000981>).

Received 17 May 2021
Accepted 19 June 2021

ABSTRACT

Background Asthma is a common disease and a major public health concern. Respiratory symptoms are related to its prognosis, which in turn associates with lung function. Still this association on a long-term basis is not entirely understood.

Aim To study the association of the type and number of respiratory symptoms with FEV₁ and FEV₁ decline in women and men with asthma.

Method A population-based cohort of adults with asthma was examined at study entry between 1986 and 2001 and at follow-up between 2012 and 2014, and n=977 had valid measurements of FEV₁ on both occasions. Data regarding respiratory symptoms at study entry (recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, longstanding cough and productive cough) were analysed in relation to FEV₁ and annual decline in FEV₁, both unadjusted and adjusted for other potentially associated factors by linear regression.

Results For both sexes recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea were associated with lower FEV₁ at study entry and follow-up, while productive cough was associated with lower FEV₁ only at follow-up. No associations were found between the type of symptoms and annual decline in FEV₁. In adjusted analyses, the association between recurrent wheeze and lower FEV₁ both at study entry and follow-up remained significant among women. Also, the association between a higher number of symptoms with lower FEV₁ both at study entry and follow-up were present for both sexes and remained after adjustment.

Conclusions Particularly recurrent wheeze and a higher number of respiratory symptoms may predict lower lung function also in the long run among women and men with asthma.

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a common respiratory disease and a major public health concern associated with impaired quality of life and an increased risk of both morbidity and mortality.¹⁻³ It is characterised by chronic airway inflammation, variable airflow limitation and by respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness, cough, and mucosal

Key messages

- How does the type/number of respiratory symptoms associate with lung function decline on a long-term basis among women and men with asthma?
- Among respiratory symptoms, wheeze associates most strongly with impaired lung function in women and men with asthma. For both sexes the greater number of respiratory symptoms, the lower forced expiratory volume in 1 s values over time.
- Long-term population-based studies on lung function and respiratory symptoms among adults with asthma are scarce. This study gives new knowledge opening possibilities to future studies focusing on this field. The results may be used to assess a more accurate prognosis of asthma depending on the symptom presentation.

hypersecretion; with variable presentations and intensity.⁴ Asthma is known to be associated with allergy, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking, adherence to treatment, family history, lifestyle, socioeconomic status and other environmental factors.^{5 6} Although a heterogeneous disease and today known to have different phenotypes,⁴ it is often divided into allergic and non-allergic asthma.

Both respiratory symptoms⁷⁻⁹ and lung function decline⁹⁻¹¹ are individually associated with higher mortality rates. Poor symptom control is one of the most common reasons for adults with asthma to visit the emergency department and seek medical attention.¹² In addition, decline in lung function, represented by the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), is more accelerated among adults with asthma than adults without asthma.¹³ Associations between respiratory symptoms and impaired lung function have been studied before, where cough may be of particular importance.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Still,



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Nicolás Bermúdez Barón; nicolas.bermudez@umu.se

large prospective population-based studies among adults with asthma addressing the relation between respiratory symptoms and lung function decline are lacking and it is unclear if some symptoms may have stronger associations with lung function decline than others.

Thus, our aim was to establish how the type and number of respiratory symptoms at study entry were associated with FEV₁ as well as changes in FEV₁ in a prospective cohort study including women and men with asthma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The OLIN adult asthma cohort

Study entry

The obstructive lung disease in northern Sweden (OLIN) studies is an epidemiological research programme ongoing since 1985. Within OLIN, a population-based cohort of adults with asthma living in the northernmost county of Sweden, Norrbotten, (n=2055, 55% women, aged 19–72 years) was identified from clinical examinations of five original population-based cohorts performed between 1986 and 2001 (I in 1986–1987, II in 1993–1994, III in 1994–1995, IV in 1997–2001 and V in 1995–1999). Original cohorts I–IV were sampled from the population registry,¹⁷ while original cohort V was composed exclusively by adults with recent asthma onset, yet without treatment.¹⁸ Strict predefined criteria of asthma were used in all cohorts, and subjects with physician-diagnosed asthma and subjects with asthma identified at the clinical examinations, but not by healthcare prior to the examinations, were included in this asthma cohort. The examination included spirometry and a detailed structured interview about respiratory symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of obstructive respiratory diseases, as well as demographics, potential risk factors and occupation.¹⁷

Follow-up

From study entry until the follow-up in 2012–2014, 466 subjects were deceased and 164 had moved from the county, while the remaining 1425 were invited to the follow-up. In total, 1006 (71% of invited) participated in spirometry and detailed structured interviews. The mean follow-up time was 18 years (min–max 10–28 years).¹⁷

Subjects with valid lung function measurements both at study entry and at follow-up were included in the present study (n=977).

Patient and public involvement

Beside what is described above regarding involvement in study conduct, a selection of participants was involved in pilot studies at the beginning of the follow-up where they advised on the included questions and order of the included clinical examinations. All participants will be informed of the results through a dedicated website (www.norrbottn.se/olin).

Spirometry at study entry and follow-up

At study entry, spirometry was performed with a Mijnhardt Vicatest 5 dry volume spirometer with a repeatability criterion of the two best FEV₁ measurements of ≤5%, and of ≤100 mL when the best FEV₁ and forced vital capacity (FVC), respectively, was ≤1 L.^{19 20}

At follow-up, spirometry was performed according to the 2005 ERS/ATS guidelines with a Jaeger Masterscope pneumotach spirometer with a repeatability criterion of ≤150 mL.²¹

FEV₁ before bronchodilatation (pre-BD) was used, expressed as percent of predicted (FEV₁pp) using the OLIN reference values.²² The annual decline in FEV₁ was calculated as the value at follow-up minus the value at study entry divided by the exact time interval in between, expressed in absolute terms of FEV₁ in pp.

Definitions

Respiratory symptoms at study entry

Symptoms were assessed using a questionnaire developed for the OLIN studies²³ which has been used in several studies in northern Europe and externally validated against the GA2LEN questionnaire and described in detail elsewhere.²⁴ Internal missing on symptom questions were regarded as non-affirmative and included in the reference category “No/don’t know”.

Recurrent wheeze was defined by a positive answer to “Do you usually have wheezing or whistling in your chest when breathing?”.

Dyspnoea was defined as score ≥2 on the modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale (ranging from 0 to 4) where two corresponded to “walks slower than people of the same age because of dyspnea or has to stop for breath when walking at own pace”.

Longstanding cough was defined as answering yes to “Have you had longstanding cough during the last years?”.

Productive cough was defined as bringing up phlegm when coughing on most days during periods of at least 3 months.

Four categories were defined depending on the number of symptoms listed above: 0–1, 2, 3 and 4 symptoms.

Other definitions

At study entry

Data at study entry included sex, age, asthma medication use in the last 12 months (inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) or any asthma medication), BMI and smoking habits. BMI groups were categorised as underweight (BMI <20), normal (20≤BMI<25), overweight (25≤BMI<30), obese (BMI ≥30) and BMI missing. Smoking habits were categorised as non-smoker, ex-smoker (stopped smoking more than 1 year ago) and current smoker. Allergic rhinitis was defined by affirmative answer both to the question “Are you often bothered by nasal congestion or runny nose?” and reporting hay fever.

Changes between study entry and follow-up

Change in BMI was calculated as BMI at follow-up minus BMI at study entry and those with missing BMI ($n=26$) were excluded. A BMI cut-off point at the third quartile of the study sample was used to categorise subjects with high BMI increase (>4.92 increase).

Changes in smoking habits from study entry to follow-up were categorised as: never smokers (non-smokers at both occasions), ex-smokers (from non-smokers or ex-smokers to ex-smokers), quitters (from current smokers to ex-smokers), current smokers (from non-smokers or ex-smokers or current smokers to current smokers) and inconsistent (ex-smoker to non-smoker and current smoker to non-smoker).

At follow-up

Follow-up data included ICS use in the last 12 months, as well as occupational exposure to gas, dust or fumes (GDF) which was defined as answering yes to the question “Have you been heavily exposed to dust, gases or fumes at your work (not including tobacco)?”. Data on occupational exposure to GDF were not available at study entry.

Statistical analyses

The analyses were made with the IBM SPSS Statistics V.25. Comparisons of means between two groups were performed by t-test and across more than two groups by analysis of variance (ANOVA). $P<0.05$ from two-sided tests were considered statistically significant.

Due to the long follow-up time, a substantial proportion were deceased and did not participate at follow-up. To evaluate potential selection bias, comparisons of mean FEV_1 between those with and without individual respiratory symptoms were also performed separately for the 1052 subjects with valid spirometry data at study entry that did not participate at follow-up.

For the cross-sectional analysis of the association between FEV_1 and respiratory symptoms at study entry, a linear regression model was constructed including recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, longstanding cough, productive cough, age, BMI category and smoking habits as independent variables.

To evaluate the association between FEV_1 at follow-up and FEV_1 decline, respectively, and respiratory symptoms, two linear regression models were constructed (one for each dependent variable). Both models included data at study entry on recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, longstanding cough and productive cough, and follow-up data on age and ICS use, BMI category at study entry, high BMI increase during follow-up and smoking habits from study entry to follow-up, and follow-up data on occupational GDF exposure as independent variables. Further, in attempt to adjust for potential bias due to regression to the mean, also FEV_{1pp} at study entry was included as independent variable in the model with FEV_1 decline as dependent variable.

These three models were performed separately for men and women, and for those with and without allergic rhinitis at study entry. To account for potential cohort bias, all models included adjustments for the original population cohorts (cohorts I–V).

Additional models were constructed by replacing the four included respiratory symptoms by one symptom at the time, by the number of symptoms, included as a categorical and a continuous variable respectively in separate models. Finally, additional models were constructed without including any type or number of respiratory symptoms. All models had R square values of 0.131–0.235, adjusted R square values of 0.099–0.199, ANOVA $p<0.001$, VIF <3 for all independent variables in the models (VIF <1.5 for the four included respiratory symptoms), and no signs of heteroscedasticity.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics

At study entry (1986–2001), the mean age was 40 years, the mean BMI 25.6, and the proportion of women was 55.7%. Overall, 44.5% were non-smokers, 28.4% were ex-smokers, 27.1% were current smokers, 34.7% had allergic rhinitis and 11.9% used ICS. Dyspnoea and longstanding cough were more common in women than men, and in both sexes the most frequent respiratory symptom was recurrent wheeze (table 1). Among women and men 91.6% and 86.6%, respectively, reported at least one of the four symptoms. At follow-up (2012–2014), the mean BMI change was 2.9 BMI units, 43.9% used ICS and 38% reported occupational exposure to GDF (online supplemental table 1).

Type of respiratory symptoms at study entry in association with FEV_1

Overall, men had lower mean FEV_{1pp} than women (86.6 (SD 14.8) compared with 90.0 (SD 12.6), $p<0.001$) at study entry. Recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea were associated with lower FEV_{1pp} both at study entry and follow-up, while longstanding cough was not associated with either of the two and productive cough was associated only with lower FEV_{1pp} at follow-up. At study entry, the largest discrepancy in FEV_{1pp} was found between subjects with and without dyspnoea (mean difference 4.9pp ($p<0.001$) in women and 5.7pp ($p=0.005$) in men) while at follow-up, it was between subjects with and without recurrent wheeze (mean difference 4.3pp ($p=0.009$) in women and 4.7pp ($p=0.010$) in men). Regarding the annual decline in FEV_1 , none of the symptoms associated significantly in either sex (table 2).

In the adjusted regression models, recurrent wheeze remained associated with lower FEV_{1pp} at study entry among both women and men, while at follow-up it remained significant only among women. Dyspnoea remained associated with lower FEV_{1pp} at study entry among women (figure 1). None of the respiratory symptoms were associated with the annual decline in FEV_1 .

Table 1 Characteristics and prevalence of respiratory symptoms at study entry among women, men and all participants

Characteristics	Women n=545	Men n=432	P value	All n=977
Age Mean (SD) in years	40.3 (12.0)	40.7 (11.4)	0.600	40.4 (11.7)
BMI mean (SD)	25.2 (4.5)	26.1 (3.4)	<0.001	25.6 (4.1)
BMI category			<0.001	
Underweight (BMI <20)	42 (7.7)	8 (1.9)		50 (5.1)
Normal (20≤BMI<25)	266 (48.8)	175 (40.5)		441 (45.1)
Overweight (25≤BMI<30)	145 (26.6)	183 (42.4)		328 (33.6)
Obese (BMI≥30)	78 (14.3)	55 (12.7)		133 (13.6)
BMI missing	14 (2.6)	11 (2.5)		25 (2.6)
Smoking habits			0.080	
Non-smoker	250 (45.9)	185 (42.8)		435 (44.5)
Ex-smoker	139 (25.5)	138 (31.9)		277 (28.4)
Current smoker	156 (28.6)	109 (25.2)		265 (27.1)
Any asthma medication use last 12 months	189 (34.7)	170 (39.4)	0.132	359 (36.7)
Inhaled corticosteroids use last 12 months			0.077	
As needed	13 (2.4)	22 (5.1)		35 (3.6)
Most days of the week	46 (8.4)	35 (8.1)		81 (8.3)
Allergic rhinitis	192 (35.2)	147 (34)	0.695	339 (34.7)
Cohort			0.054	
I	92 (16.9)	79 (18.3)		171 (17.5)
II	220 (40.4)	194 (44.9)		414 (42.4)
III	46 (8.4)	37 (8.6)		83 (8.5)
IV	56 (10.3)	52 (12)		108 (11.1)
V	131 (24)	70 (16.2)		201 (20.6)
Recurrent wheeze	430 (78.9)	332 (76.9)	0.443	762 (78.0)
Dyspnoea	175 (32.1)	64 (14.8)	<0.001	239 (24.5)
Longstanding cough	275 (50.5)	168 (38.9)	<0.001	443 (45.3)
Productive cough	195 (35.8)	151 (35.0)	0.789	346 (35.4)
Number of symptoms			<0.001	
None or one	206 (37.8)	216 (50.0)		422 (43.2)
Two	157 (28.8)	110 (25.5)		267 (27.3)
Three	127 (23.3)	87 (20.1)		214 (21.9)
Four	55 (10.1)	19 (4.4)		74 (7.6)

Basic characteristics presented as mean (SD) with p values from t-test and n (%) with p values from χ^2 test.

The number of symptom groups include recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, longstanding cough and productive cough.

The same adjusted model as above was reiterated, this time stratifying by those with and without allergic rhinitis (online supplemental figure 1), and once again recurrent wheeze had the strongest associations with lower FEV_{1pp} at study entry and follow-up regardless of having allergic rhinitis or not.

Number of respiratory symptoms at study entry in association with FEV₁

A linear trend (the more symptoms, the lower FEV_{1pp}) was seen both at study entry and at follow-up in both sexes (table 3). Among men, but not women, there was a

significant association between the number of respiratory symptoms and annual decline in FEV₁.

In the adjusted regression models, women having 2, 3 or 4 symptoms had significantly lower FEV_{1pp} at study entry than those with 0–1 symptom, and a similar trend was observed at follow-up. Among men, having 2 or 3 symptoms were significantly associated with lower FEV_{1pp} at study entry, while the trend was less clear at follow-up (figure 2). When the number of symptoms was added to the models as a continuous variable, significant associations were seen both at study entry and follow-up for both sexes (online supplemental figure 2).

Table 2 FEV₁ at study entry, follow-up, and annual decline, respectively, by type of respiratory symptoms among women and men

Symptom at study entry	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at study entry	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at follow-up	Annual decline in FEV ₁ pp
Women			
Recurrent wheeze			
No (n=115)	92.6 (11.6)	93.7 (14.7)	0.087 (0.602)
Yes (n=430)	89.3 (12.8)	89.4 (15.6)	0.014 (0.608)
P value	0.013	0.009	0.254
Dyspnoea			
No (n=370)	91.6 (12.2)	91.5 (15.5)	0.006 (0.623)
Yes (n=175)	86.7 (12.9)	87.9 (15.4)	0.078 (0.570)
P value	<0.001	0.012	0.194
Longstanding cough			
No (n=270)	90.5 (12.9)	91.0 (16.0)	0.042 (0.573)
Yes (n=275)	89.5 (12.4)	89.7 (15.0)	0.017 (0.638)
P value	0.358	0.340	0.637
Productive cough			
No (n=350)	90.8 (12.1)	91.5 (14.8)	0.049 (0.589)
Yes (n=195)	88.6 (13.3)	88.2 (16.6)	-0.007 (0.638)
P value	0.051	0.016	0.303
Men			
Recurrent wheeze			
No (n=100)	90.1 (12.4)	86.5 (13.6)	-0.147 (0.576)
Yes (n=332)	85.5 (15.3)	81.8 (16.8)	-0.197 (0.638)
P value	0.007	0.010	0.482
Dyspnoea			
No (n=368)	87.4 (14.5)	83.5 (15.7)	-0.193 (0.613)
Yes (n=64)	81.7 (16.0)	79.2 (18.8)	-0.144 (0.685)
P value	0.005	0.049	0.563
Longstanding cough			
No (n=264)	87.3 (14.7)	84.0 (15.6)	-0.159 (0.588)
Yes (n=168)	85.4 (14.9)	81.2 (17.1)	-0.227 (0.675)
P value	0.192	0.086	0.275
Productive cough			
No (n=281)	87.5 (14.5)	84.0 (15.1)	-0.169 (0.624)
Yes (n=151)	84.8 (15.2)	80.7 (18.0)	-0.216 (0.625)
P value	0.063	0.044	0.463
Total			
Recurrent wheeze			
No (n=215)	91.4 (12.1)	90.3 (14.6)	-0.022 (0.600)
Yes (n=762)	87.7 (14.1)	86.1 (16.6)	-0.078 (0.629)
P value	<0.001	0.001	0.244
Dyspnoea			
No (n=738)	89.5 (13.5)	87.5 (16.1)	-0.093 (0.626)
Yes (n=239)	85.4 (14.0)	85.6 (16.8)	0.019 (0.609)
P value	<0.001	0.107	0.016
Longstanding cough			
No (n=534)	88.9 (13.9)	87.5 (16.2)	-0.058 (0.589)

Continued

Table 2 Continued

Symptom at study entry	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at study entry	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at follow-up	Annual decline in FEV ₁ pp
Yes (n=443)	88.0 (13.5)	86.5 (16.3)	-0.075 (0.663)
P value	0.272	0.326	0.664
Productive cough			
No (n=631)	89.3 (13.4)	88.2 (15.4)	-0.048 (0.614)
Yes (n=346)	86.9 (14.3)	84.9 (17.6)	-0.098 (0.639)
P value	0.008	0.003	0.235

Results presented as Mean (SD) and p values from t-test, presented in bold when $p < 0.05$

Pre-BD = pre-bronchodilatation

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; Pre-BD, pre-bronchodilatation.

Non-participant analyses of the type and number of respiratory symptoms in association with FEV₁ at study entry

A non-participant analysis was performed to estimate potential selection bias. It revealed lower FEV₁pp compared with participants and confirmed that recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, and productive cough associated with lower FEV₁pp at study entry. Regarding the number of respiratory symptoms, this analysis showed an even more accentuated linear trend by number of symptoms in both sexes (online supplemental table 2).

Other factors in association with FEV₁ at study entry, follow-up, and annual decline

For all three FEV₁ outcomes, the strongest negative associations were found for current smoking. Also, those that stopped smoking in between study entry and follow-up (quitters) had lower FEV₁pp at follow-up and a more rapid annual decline, while ex-smokers had not. Male sex also associated with lower FEV₁pp at study entry and follow-up and annual decline. Being obese at study entry was significantly associated with lower FEV₁pp at study




Figure 1 Association of type of respiratory symptoms at study entry with FEV₁pp at study entry and followup after adjusting for other factors among women and men. Results expressed as B-coefficients with 95% confidence intervals from linear regression models, illustrating the mean difference in FEV₁pp among those with vs without the symptom. The model with FEV₁pp pre-BD at study entry as dependent variable included recurrent wheeze, dyspnea, longstanding cough, productive cough, age, BMI categories, smoking and original cohort as independent variables. The model with FEV₁pp pre-BD at follow-up as dependent variable included recurrent wheeze, dyspnea, longstanding cough, productive cough, age, BMI categories, high BMI increase, smoking, ICS use, occupational exposure to GDF and original cohort as independent variables. W=Women (▲). M=Men (■).

Table 3 FEV₁ at study entry, follow-up and annual decline by number of respiratory symptoms among women, men and all participants

	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at study entry	FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at follow-up	Annual decline in FEV ₁ pp
Women			
None or 1 symptoms n=206	92.3 (11.8)	92.8 (15.1)	0.040 (0.580)
2 symptoms n=157	89.5 (12.7)	90.2 (15.2)	0.048 (0.611)
3 symptoms n=127	88.8 (12.8)	87.9 (16.0)	-0.035 (0.666)
4 symptoms n=55	85.5 (13.4)	87.0 (15.8)	0.084 (0.550)
P value	0.001	0.011	0.553
Men			
None or 1 symptoms n=216	89.2 (14.1)	85.0 (14.8)	-0.207 (0.583)
2 symptoms n=110	84.6 (14.4)	83.2 (15.3)	-0.044 (0.670)
3 symptoms n=87	83.1 (16.1)	78.0 (19.0)	-0.287 (0.606)
4 symptoms n=19	83.3 (15.0)	79.0 (19.3)	-0.303 (0.772)
P value	0.002	0.005	0.031
Total			
None or 1 symptoms n=422	90.7 (13.1)	88.8 (15.4)	-0.086 (0.594)
2 symptoms n=267	87.5 (13.6)	87.3 (15.6)	0.010 (0.636)
3 symptoms n=214	86.5 (14.5)	83.8 (17.9)	-0.137 (0.652)
4 symptoms n=74	84.9 (13.7)	84.9 (17.0)	-0.016 (0.632)
P value	<0.001	0.002	0.052

Results presented as mean (SD) and p values from ANOVA in bold figures indicate p<0.05.

Included respiratory symptoms: recurrent wheeze, dyspnoea, longstanding cough, productive cough.

ANOVA, analysis of variance; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; Pre-BD, pre-bronchodilatation.

entry and annual decline, but not at follow-up. However, high BMI increase from entry to follow-up (>4.92 BMI units) was significantly associated with lower FEV₁ both at follow-up and with a more rapid annual decline in FEV₁ (online supplemental table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this population-based prospective study of an adult asthma cohort, recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea associated with lower FEV₁ at study entry, among both women and men regardless of having allergic rhinitis or not. After on average 18 years follow-up time, this association prevailed for recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea, and appeared for productive cough. Further, the higher number of respiratory symptoms at study entry, the lower the FEV₁ both at study entry and follow-up. Also, in the long-term perspective, recurrent wheeze was a predictor of low FEV₁ independently of ICS, smoking habits, BMI, and other potentially confounding factors, in contrast to dyspnoea and productive cough. Longstanding cough was neither associated with FEV₁ at study entry nor at follow-up. None of the respiratory symptoms associated with an unfavourable rate of FEV₁ decline, instead the results showed that the lower FEV₁ mainly was manifested already at study entry and that FEV₁ remained at a similarly low level also at the long-term follow-up.

To our knowledge, there are no previous prospective asthma cohort studies on associations between respiratory symptoms and changes in FEV₁. Some cross-sectional^{16 25} and prospective^{14 15} studies of population samples have been performed previously, of which most have shown that both smoking and potentially harmful occupational exposures are more common among men, and that men tend to have lower lung function than women,^{15 25 26} in line with our results. Studies on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) have however shown that respiratory symptoms (mainly chronic productive cough) predict both lower lung function levels and a more rapid lung function decline.^{27 28}

An interesting finding in our study was that participants without recurrent wheeze had the highest mean FEV₁ both at study entry and at follow-up. After adjustment, having recurrent wheeze remained associated with lower FEV₁ at study entry in both sexes. In addition, this association remained also with lower FEV₁ at follow-up after adjustment, however only significant in women, implying that recurrent wheeze is able to predict remaining low lung function also over a long time period among women with asthma independently of age, BMI, smoking, occupational exposure to GDF, ICS use, and other respiratory symptoms. If ICS at follow-up had not been included in the model, the association between




Figure 2 Association of number of respiratory symptoms at study entry with FEV_{1,pp} at study entry and follow-up after adjusting for other factors among women and men. Results expressed as B-coefficients with 95% confidence intervals from linear regression models, illustrating the mean difference in FEV_{1,pp} among those with 2, 3 or 4 symptoms vs those with 0-1 symptom. The model with FEV_{1,pp} pre-BD at study entry as dependent variable included the number of respiratory symptoms, age, BMI categories, smoking and original cohort as independent variables. Having 0-1 symptoms was used as reference category. The model with FEV_{1,pp} pre-BD at follow-up as dependent variable included the number of respiratory symptoms, age, BMI categories, high BMI increase, smoking, ICS use, occupational exposure to GDF and original cohort as independent variables. Having 0-1 symptoms was used as reference category. W=Women (▲). M=Men (■).

recurrent wheeze and lower FEV₁ at follow-up would have remained significant also in men. A possible explanation would be confounding by indication where subjects using ICS may have a worse asthma profile and more impaired lung function,²⁹ that is, ICS not being the cause of this impairment, as their use has been proven to decrease FEV₁ decline³⁰ and partially prevent asthma exacerbations which also are associated with a reduced lung function decline.³¹

Women with dyspnoea had the lowest mean FEV₁ at study entry, but still preserved, that is, equally low, FEV₁ at follow-up, possibly a consequence of several gender aspects.³² When exploring FEV₁ in relation to having both recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea in a cross-sectional setting, this group had indeed the lowest FEV₁ at study entry among all symptom combinations. The finding that women with dyspnoea at study entry had preserved instead of further decreased FEV₁ values at follow-up could potentially be related to the fourfold increase in ICS use from study entry to follow-up. One partial explanation, besides a substantial general increase in prescriptions of ICS during the study period,³³ is that our cohort includes both prevalent and incident asthmatics with and

without treatment at study entry, and that many started treatment shortly after study entry.¹⁸

In contrast to recurrent wheeze, productive cough was more related to smoking, which in turn associated with lower FEV₁. Similar results were obtained in a previous study from Northern Sweden where subjects with wheezing in combination with attacks of breathlessness had lower FEV₁ than those with chronic productive cough.²⁵ In that study, after adjusting for other factors including smoking habits, persistent wheeze yielded the strongest association with decreased lung function while smoking was strongly associated with chronic productive cough.²⁵ Results from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey have shown that smoking may be more strongly associated with FEV₁ decline among adults with than without asthma,²⁶ and the parallel Tucson and Cracow studies have in turn shown that chronic cough may be an indicator of increased effect of smoking on FEV₁, which is supported by our findings. Although Fletcher and Peto showed in the 1970s that chronic mucus hypersecretion did not associate with accelerated decline in FEV₁ in a cohort of men followed for 8 years,¹⁴ our study showed that after following both women and

men with asthma over a long time period, this association was indeed observed for productive cough, and it was related to smoking.

Asthma is a heterogenous disease, and one could speculate that both lung function³⁴ and symptom profiles could differ between phenotypes. Such indications have been found in other studies, where for instance the obese asthma phenotype has been more commonly associated with wheeze³⁵ and dyspnoea.³⁶ Productive cough, on the other hand presents more often in smokers,²⁵ and both smoking and accelerated lung function decline are related to the neutrophilic asthma phenotype as well as COPD.²⁹ From a treatment perspective, prognosis may differ between asthma phenotypes, for example allergic and non-allergic asthma,⁴ why this distinction may be of particular interest. We explored this scenario by comparing among those with and without allergic rhinitis but could not find different symptom patterns with regards to associations to FEV₁. Instead, recurrent wheeze was strongly associated with lower FEV₁ regardless of having allergic rhinitis or not.

Regarding the number of symptoms at study entry, we found that the more symptoms, the lower the FEV₁. Our non-participant analysis showed stronger associations between the number of symptoms and lower FEV₁, along with markedly lower FEV₁ level as previously shown¹⁷ among non-participants than participants. A Norwegian population-based prospective cohort study found increasing mortality by number of symptoms,⁸ and low FEV₁ has also repeatedly been associated with mortality.^{10 17} The adjusted analyses on groups having at least 2, 3 or 4 symptoms respectively, that is, not treating the number of symptoms as a continuous variable, did not reveal a completely linear trend, especially among men, implying that each symptom may not have equal effect on FEV₁. Instead, some specific symptoms may have a stronger effect, particularly recurrent wheeze.

Weaknesses of our study include the long time between examinations causing a potential healthy survivor bias. A previous study of this cohort assessing factors related to all-cause mortality and non-participation on follow-up concluded that deceased subjects were predominantly male, current smokers, with low socioeconomic status, ischaemic heart disease and lower FEV₁. Regarding non-participants at follow-up, besides having more ischaemic heart disease and lower socioeconomic status they were also more frequently obese at study entry, compared with those participating at follow-up.¹⁷ A way to estimate potential selection bias of healthy subjects for our study was by performing the non-participant analysis, which showed stronger associations between symptoms and low lung function compared with among participants. Nevertheless, our study revealed important associations, but the magnitude of our findings is probably underestimated. Other weaknesses include the different time points at study entry, that treatment options and guidelines changed between 1986 and 2001, and the lack of information on asthma medication use in-between examinations. In

attempt to account for this, all regression analyses were adjusted for the original cohorts. Analyses on FEV₁ decline may be subject to bias due to regression to the mean, but this was addressed by including FEV_{1pp} at study entry in these models. Different types of spirometers at study entry and follow-up is a potential weakness because this may alter the comparability of the lung function values between study entry and follow-up and thus affect estimates of FEV₁ decline. However, as this was the case for all subjects, no systematic bias was introduced in the comparisons between those with and without different symptoms.

This study has several strong points such as the high participation and retention rate, strong statistical power and the real-life study design that may mirror the everyday reality. There are several factors known to be related to lung function decline among adults with asthma such as obesity,^{37 38} smoking habits^{39 40} and treatment,³¹ which are important to take into account to establish if respiratory symptoms are associated to lung function independently of these factors. The large sample and rich data of this cohort allowed for adjustment for multiple such important possibly confounding factors, where particularly smoking and obesity were identified as strongly associated with lower FEV₁. Several different regression models were evaluated, and those including only one symptom at the time in the model confirmed the main findings of strongest associations especially with recurrent wheeze and also with dyspnoea (online supplemental table 4). The long follow-up time can also be a strength by enabling evaluation of long-term prognosis. Our study is unique due to the limited number of prospective studies of asthma cohorts addressing the association between symptoms and lung function over time, also having the sample size able to allow for stratification, which is valuable to illustrate these associations among women and men separately.

In conclusion, recurrent wheeze and dyspnoea at study entry associated with lower lung function both at study entry and follow-up among women and men with asthma. Importantly, recurrent wheeze remained a predictor for lower lung function in the long run also after adjusting for several potential confounders, especially among women. Also the number of symptoms can predict lower lung function in a long-term perspective.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Section of Sustainable Health, The OLIN Unit, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

²Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Section of Medicine, The OLIN Unit, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

³Department of Health Sciences, Division of Nursing, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

⁴HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

⁵Department of Respiratory Medicine, Seinäjoki Central Hospital, Seinäjoki, Finland

⁶Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

⁷Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition, Krefling Research Centre, University of Gothenburg Institute of Medicine, Goteborg, Sweden

Acknowledgements The participants in the OLIN-studies and all the OLIN research staff that have been collecting data throughout the years are acknowledged for their contributions.

Contributors BL and ER designed the methodology for the longitudinal asthma cohort. HB and NBB designed the current study. All authors contributed substantially to the interpretation of data, manuscript drafting, critical revision and approving before submission.

Funding Financial support was received from the Swedish Heart & Lung Foundation, the Swedish Research Council, the Nordic council, ALF – a regional agreement between Umeå University and Norrbotten County Council, Norrbotten County Council, the Swedish Asthma-Allergy Foundation, and Visare Norr.

Disclaimer None of the funding bodies had involvement in the study design; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Competing interests All authors have completed the ICMJE COI disclosure form. NBB has nothing to disclose. AL reports personal fees from Boehringer-Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Novartis and GlaxoSmithKline outside the submitted work. CS reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim and Novartis outside the submitted work. MA has nothing to disclose. LH has nothing to disclose. SAV reports personal fees from AstraZeneca and Boehringer-Ingelheim outside the submitted work. HK reports personal fees and non-financial support from AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim and Orion Pharma; personal fees from Chiesi Pharma AB, Novartis, Mundipharma, SanofiGenzyme and GlaxoSmithKline; outside of the submitted work. BL reports personal fees from Novartis and Sanofi; grants from AstraZeneca; outside the submitted work. ER has nothing to disclose. HB reports personal fees from AstraZeneca and Boehringer Ingelheim outside the submitted work.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The research project has been approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board at Umeå University and all participants have provided a written informed consent. All corresponding researchers will be working with anonymous data (Dnr 2011–106.31M).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Anne Lindberg <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3292-7471>

Sigrig Anna Vikjord <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7202-4517>

Helena Backman <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0553-8067>


REFERENCES

- Soriano JB, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, *et al*. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *Lancet Respir Med* 2017;5:691–706.
- Jansson S-A, Rönmark E, Forsberg B, *et al*. The economic consequences of asthma among adults in Sweden. *Respir Med* 2007;101:2263–70.
- Putcha N, Hansel NN. All-cause mortality in asthma. The importance of age, comorbidity, and socioeconomic status. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014;11:1252–3.
- Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention, 2018. Available: www.ginasthma.org
- Gold DR, Wright R. Population disparities in asthma. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2005;26:89–113.
- Anees W, Moore VC, Burge PS. FEV1 decline in occupational asthma. *Thorax* 2006;61:751–5.
- Gulsvik A, Bakke PS, Brøgger J, *et al*. Respiratory symptoms and mortality in four general population cohorts over 45 years. *Respir Med* 2020;170:106060.
- Frostad A, Soyseth V, Andersen A, *et al*. Respiratory symptoms as predictors of all-cause mortality in an urban community: a 30-year follow-up. *J Intern Med* 2006;259:520–9.
- Leivseth L, Nilsen TIL, Mai X-M, *et al*. Lung function and respiratory symptoms in association with mortality: the HUNT study. *COPD* 2014;11:59–80.
- Ryan G, Knuiman MW, Divitini ML, *et al*. Decline in lung function and mortality: the Busselton health study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1999;53:230–4.
- Knuiman MW, James AL, Divitini ML, *et al*. Lung function, respiratory symptoms, and mortality: results from the Busselton health study. *Ann Epidemiol* 1999;9:10.
- Al-Jahdali H, Ahmed A, Al-Harbi A, *et al*. Improper inhaler technique is associated with poor asthma control and frequent emergency department visits. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol* 2013;9:8.
- Lange P, Parner J, Vestbo J, *et al*. A 15-year follow-up study of ventilatory function in adults with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1194–200.
- Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction. *Br Med J* 1977;1:1645–8.
- Krzyzanowski M, Camilli AE, Lebowitz MD. Relationships between pulmonary function and changes in chronic respiratory symptoms. *Chest* 1990;98:62–70.
- Çolak Y, Afzal S, Lange P, *et al*. Role and impact of chronic cough in individuals with asthma from the general population. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019;7:1783–92.
- Backman H, Hedman L, Stridsman C, *et al*. A population-based cohort of adults with asthma: mortality and participation in a long-term follow-up. *Eur Clin Respir J* 2017;4:1334508.
- Rönmark E, Andersson C, Nyström L, *et al*. Obesity increases the risk of incident asthma among adults. *Eur Respir J* 2005;25:282–8.
- Standardization of Spirometry—1987 update. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987;136:1285–98.
- Standardization of spirometry, 1994 update. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;152:1107–36.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, *et al*. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–38.
- Backman H, Lindberg A, Odén A, *et al*. Reference values for spirometry – report from the obstructive lung disease in northern Sweden studies. *Eur Clin Respir J* 2015;2:26375.
- Lundbäck B, Nyström L, Rosenhall L, Stjernberg N, *et al*. Obstructive lung disease in northern Sweden: respiratory symptoms assessed in a postal survey. *Eur Respir J* 1991;4:257–66.
- Ekerljung L, Rönmark E, Lötval J, *et al*. Questionnaire layout and wording influence prevalence and risk estimates of respiratory symptoms in a population cohort. *Clin Respir J* 2013;7:53–63.
- Lundbäck B, Stjernberg N, Nyström L, *et al*. Epidemiology of respiratory symptoms, lung function and important determinants. *Tuber Lung Dis* 1994;75:116–26.
- Aanerud M, Carsin A-E, Sunyer J, *et al*. Interaction between asthma and smoking increases the risk of adult airway obstruction. *Eur Respir J* 2015;45:635–43.
- Lindberg A, Larsson L-G, Rönmark E, *et al*. Decline in FEV1 in relation to incident chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a cohort with respiratory symptoms. *COPD* 2007;4:5–13.
- Albers M, Schermer T, Heijdra Y, *et al*. Predictive value of lung function below the normal range and respiratory symptoms for progression of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 2008;63:201–7.
- Backman H, Lindberg A, Hedman L, *et al*. FEV1 decline in relation to blood eosinophils and neutrophils in a population-based asthma cohort. *World Allergy Organ J* 2020;13:100110.
- Dijkstra A, Vonk JM, Jongepier H, *et al*. Lung function decline in asthma: association with inhaled corticosteroids, smoking and sex. *Thorax* 2006;61:105–10.
- O'Byrne P, Fabbri LM, Pavord ID, *et al*. Asthma progression and mortality: the role of inhaled corticosteroids. *Eur Respir J* 2019;38.
- Becklake MR, Kauffmann F. Gender differences in airway behaviour over the human life span. *Thorax* 1999;54:1119–38.

- 33 Ekerljung L, Bjerg A, Bossios A, *et al*. Five-fold increase in use of inhaled corticosteroids over 18 years in the general adult population in West Sweden. *Respir Med* 2014;108:685–93.
- 34 Ilmarinen P, Tuomisto LE, Niemelä O, *et al*. Cluster analysis on longitudinal data of patients with adult-onset asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017;5:967–78.
- 35 Lang JE, Hossain MJ, Lima JJ. Overweight children report qualitatively distinct asthma symptoms: analysis of validated symptom measures. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015;135:886–93.
- 36 Schachter LM, Salome CM, Peat JK, *et al*. Obesity is a risk for asthma and wheeze but not airway hyperresponsiveness. *Thorax* 2001;56:4–8.
- 37 King GG, Brown NJ, Diba C, *et al*. The effects of body weight on airway calibre. *Eur Respir J* 2005;25:896–901.
- 38 Stenius-Aarniala B, Poussa T, Kvarnström J, *et al*. Immediate and long term effects of weight reduction in obese people with asthma: randomised controlled study. *BMJ* 2000;320:827–32.
- 39 James AL, Palmer LJ, Kicic E, *et al*. Decline in lung function in the Busselton health study: the effects of asthma and cigarette smoking. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:109–14.
- 40 Tommola M, Ilmarinen P, Tuomisto LE, *et al*. The effect of smoking on lung function: a clinical study of adult-onset asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2016;48:1298–306.


SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Table 1 Characteristics at follow-up among women, men and all participants			
Characteristics	Women N=545	Men N=432	All N=977
Age Mean (SD) in years	58.7 (12.8)	59.4 (12.0)	59.0 (12.4)
BMI Mean (SD)	28.2 (5.5)	28.9 (4.5)	28.5 (5.1)
BMI group			
Underweight (BMI<20)	17 (3.1)	2 (0.5)	19 (1.9)
Normal (20<=BMI<25)	144 (26.4)	72 (16.7)	216 (22.1)
Overweight (25<=BMI<30)	211 (38.7)	207 (47.9)	418 (42.8)
Obese (BMI=>30)	173 (31.7)	150 (34.7)	323 (33.1)
BMI missing	0 (0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)
BMI change Mean (SD)	3.0 (4.0)	2.8 (3.1)	2.9 (3.6)
High BMI increase (>4.92)	143 (26.2)	94 (21.2)	237 (24.2)
Smoking habits			
Non-smoker	268 (49.2)	199 (46.1)	467 (47.8)
Ex-smoker	207 (38.0)	189 (43.8)	396 (40.5)
Current smoker	70 (12.8)	44 (10.2)	114 (11.7)
Inhaled corticosteroid use	265 (48.6)	164 (38.0)	429 (43.9)
Work GDF exposure	112 (20.6)	259 (60.0)	371 (38.0)
ER visits last 12 months	50 (9.2)	25 (5.8)	75 (7.7)
Hospitalizations las 12 months	10 (1.8)	10 (2.3)	20 (2)
Oral corticosteroid use			
Maintenance treatment	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.3)
Only with exacerbations	11 (2)	3 (0.7)	14 (1.4)
BMI missing at follow-up = 1 man			
BMI change was calculated for 531 women and 420 men.			



Supplemental Figure 1 Association of type of respiratory symptoms at study entry with FEV₁pp at study entry and follow-up after adjusting for other factors among those with and without allergic rhinitis. Results expressed as B-coefficients with 95% confidence intervals from linear regression models, illustrating the mean difference in FEV₁pp among those with vs without allergic rhinitis.

The model with FEV₁pp pre-BD at study entry as dependent variable included recurrent wheeze, dyspnea, longstanding cough, productive cough, age, BMI category, smoking and original cohort as independent variables.
 The model with FEV₁pp pre-BD at follow-up as dependent variable included recurrent wheeze, dyspnea, longstanding cough, productive cough, age, BMI category, high BMI increase smoking, ICS use, occupational exposure to GDF and original cohort as independent variables.
 NAR=No allergic rhinitis (♦). AR=Allergic rhinitis (●).



Supplemental Figure 2 Association of number of respiratory symptoms at study entry as a continuous variable with FEV₁pp at study entry and follow-up after adjusting for other factors among women and men. Results expressed as B-coefficients with 95% confidence intervals from linear regression models, illustrating the mean difference in FEV₁pp among those with 1 vs 2, 2 vs 3 and 3 vs 4 symptoms.

The model with FEV₁pp pre-BD at study entry as dependent variable included the number of respiratory symptoms, age, BMI category, smoking and original cohort as independent variables.
 The model with follow-up FEV₁pp pre-BD as dependent variable included the number of respiratory symptoms, age, BMI category, high BMI increase, smoking, ICS use, occupational exposure to GDF and original cohort as independent variables.
 W=Women (▲). M=Men (■). Those reporting 0 symptoms were merged with those reporting 1 symptom in this analysis.

Supplemental Table 2 FEV ₁ pp pre-BD at study entry by type and number of respiratory symptoms among non-participants at follow-up									
Women N=574			Men N=478			All N=1052			
Recurrent wheeze	No	82.7 (21.0)	Recurrent wheeze	No	81.8 (20.3)	Recurrent wheeze	No	82.3 (20.7)	
	Yes	79.5 (20.3)		Yes	76.4 (18.7)		Yes	78.1 (19.7)	
	P-value	0.119		P-value	0.012		P-value	0.004	
Dyspnea	No	85.1 (17.3)	Dyspnea	No	82.9 (16.4)	Dyspnea	No	84.0 (16.9)	
	Yes	73.6 (22.7)		Yes	66.5 (19.8)		Yes	70.8 (21.8)	
	P-value	<0.001		P-value	<0.001		P-value	<0.001	
Longstanding cough	No	80.7 (20.2)	Longstanding cough	No	78.6 (18.5)	Longstanding cough	No	79.7 (19.4)	
	Yes	79.8 (20.9)		Yes	76.2 (19.9)		Yes	78.2 (20.5)	
	P-value	0.591		P-value	0.174		P-value	0.224	
Productive cough	No	83.0 (18.5)	Productive cough	No	83.6 (16.1)	Productive cough	No	83.3 (17.5)	
	Yes	77.1 (22.2)		Yes	72.1 (20.1)		Yes	74.7 (21.3)	
	P-value	0.001		P-value	<0.001		P-value	<0.001	
0-1 symptoms		85.8 (17.0)	0-1 symptoms		84.2 (16.9)	0-1 symptoms		85.0 (16.9)	
2 symptoms		79.8 (21.0)	2 symptoms		77.3 (17.0)	2 symptoms		78.7 (19.3)	
3 symptoms		78.8 (20.3)	3 symptoms		76.2 (18.6)	3 symptoms		77.6 (19.6)	
4 symptoms		71.9 (23.4)	4 symptoms		64.8 (21.5)	4 symptoms		68.7 (22.8)	
P-value		<0.001	P-value		<0.001	P-value		<0.001	

Results for the type of symptoms presented as n (%) and p-values from T-test in bold figures indicate p<0.05

Results for the number of symptoms presented as Mean (Standard deviation) and p-values from ANOVA in bold figures indicate p<0.05

pre-BD = pre-bronchodilatation. Non-participants at follow-up (n=1078). Missing FEV₁pp at study entry (n=26)

Supplemental Table 3 Analysis of potential confounders by linear regression with results expressed as B-coefficients with corresponding 95% confidence intervals and p-values

	Total N=977		
	B	95% Confidence Interval	P-value
FEV1pp pre-BD at study entry			
Sex (Male)	-3.55	(-5.22 - -1.88)	<0.001
Age at study entry	-0.32	(-0.39 - -0.24)	<0.001
Underweight	-2.95	(-6.76 - 0.86)	0.129
Overweight	-0.78	(-2.69 - 1.13)	0.423
Obese	-3.34	(-5.88 - -0.79)	0.010
BMI missing	-2.30	(-7.63 - 3.02)	0.397
Ex-smokers at study entry	-0.83	(-2.82 - 1.16)	0.412
Current smokers at study entry	-2.96	(-4.95 - -0.97)	0.004
FEV1pp pre-BD at follow-up			
Sex (Male)	-8.78	(-10.88 - -6.68)	<0.001
Age at follow-up	-0.27	(-0.36 - -0.19)	<0.001
Underweight at study entry	-4.47	(-8.84 - -0.11)	0.045
Overweight at study entry	-0.04	(-2.23 - 2.14)	0.968
Obese at study entry	-0.73	(-3.64 - 2.19)	0.624
BMI missing at study entry	0.55	(-5.46 - 6.57)	0.857
High BMI increase	-2.89	(-5.13 - -0.65)	0.012
Ex-smokers at follow-up	-1.68	(-4.03 - 0.68)	0.162
Quitters at follow-up	-7.40	(-10.24 - -4.56)	<0.001
Current smokers at follow-up	-12.44	(-15.54 - -9.33)	<0.001
ICS use at follow-up	-5.93	(-7.86 - -4.00)	<0.001
Occupational GDF exposure at follow-up	0.66	(-1.44 - 2.76)	0.539
Annual decline in FEV1pp			
Sex (Male)	-0.23	(-0.31 - -0.14)	<0.001
Age at follow-up	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)	0.485
Underweight at study entry	-0.10	(-0.28 - 0.08)	0.266
Overweight at study entry	0.06	(-0.03 - 0.15)	0.197
Obese at study entry	0.13	(0.02 - 0.25)	0.027
BMI missing at study entry	0.05	(-0.19 - 0.30)	0.667
High BMI increase	-0.16	(-0.25 - -0.07)	<0.001
Ex-smokers at follow-up	-0.06	(-0.16 - 0.03)	0.197
Quitters at follow-up	-0.16	(-0.28 - -0.05)	0.005
Current smokers at follow-up	-0.37	(-0.50 - -0.25)	<0.001
ICS use at follow-up	-0.03	(-0.11 - 0.05)	0.457
Occupational GDF exposure at follow-up	-0.03	(-0.11 - 0.06)	0.519

B = Beta-coefficient from linear regression models. Bold values indicate p<0.05

Normal weight at study entry (reference category) (n=441)
Underweight (n=50), Overweight (n=328), Obese (n=133), BMI missing (n=75) (not shown)
BMI increase < 4.92 (reference category) (n=714), BMI increase > 4.92 (n=237)
Never smokers at study entry (reference category) (n=435)
Ex-smokers (n=277), Current Smokers (n=265)
Cohort I (reference category) (n=171)
Cohort II (n=414), Cohort III (n=83), Cohort IV (n=108), Cohort V (n=201). Results not shown.
Constantly non-smokers (reference category) (n=418)
Ex-Smokers at follow-up = Non-smokers or ex-smokers to ex-smokers (n=253)
Quitters = Smokers to ex-smokers (n=143)
Current smokers at follow-up = Non, ex, or current smokers to smokers (n=114)
Follow-up smoking residual group (n=49) (not shown)
ICS use at follow-up = Yes (n=429) No (n=548)
Occupational GDF exposure at follow-up = Yes (n=371) No (n=606)
Cohort I (reference category) (n=171)
Cohort II (n=414) Cohort III (n=83) Cohort IV (n=108) Cohort V (n=201). Results not shown

Supplemental Table 4 Regression analyses for FEV1pp at study entry and follow-up and each type of symptom both unadjusted and adjusted with results expressed as B-coefficients with corresponding 95% confidence intervals

		Unadjusted		Adjusted	
		B	95% Confidence interval	B	95% Confidence interval
FEV1pp pre-BD at study entry					
Recurrent wheeze	Women	-3.30	(-5.88 - -0.71)	-4.49	(-7.08 - -1.91)
	Men	-4.55	(-7.85 - -1.25)	-5.38	(-8.61 - -2.15)
Dyspnea	Women	-4.82	(-7.06 - -2.59)	-3.84	(-6.03 - -1.65)
	Men	-5.68	(-9.59 - -1.77)	-4.35	(-8.17 - -0.53)
Longstanding cough	Women	-1.00	(-3.12 - 1.13)	-0.85	(-2.93 - 1.23)
	Men	-1.91	(-4.78 - 0.96)	-1.05	(-3.96 - 1.86)
Productive cough	Women	-2.20	(-4.41 - 0.01)	-1.28	(-3.41 - 0.86)
	Men	-2.78	(-5.71 - 0.15)	-0.99	(-3.89 - 1.92)
FEV1pp pre-BD at follow-up					
Recurrent wheeze	Women	-4.24	(-7.42 - -1.05)	-4.50	(-7.72 - -1.28)
	Men	-4.73	(-8.35 - -1.12)	-3.74	(-7.23 - -0.26)
Dyspnea	Women	-3.59	(-6.37 - -0.80)	-2.77	(-5.50 - -0.04)
	Men	-4.33	(-8.64 - -0.02)	-2.97	(-7.02 - 1.07)
Longstanding cough	Women	-1.27	(-3.89 - 1.34)	-1.14	(-3.71 - 1.44)
	Men	-2.75	(-5.89 - 0.39)	-1.83	(-4.89 - 1.24)
Productive cough	Women	-3.33	(-6.04 - -0.61)	-1.38	(-4.03 - 1.28)
	Men	-3.30	(-6.50 - -0.09)	-0.82	(-3.90 - 2.26)
Unadjusted models include the respective symptom only					
Unadjusted models had R square values of 0.002-0.032 and adjusted R square values of 0.001-0.030.					
Adjusted models with FEV1pp pre-BD at study entry include the respective symptom, age BMI categories, smoking and original cohort as independent variables					
Adjusted models with FEV1pp pre-BD at follow-up include the respective symptom, age BMI categories, high BMI increase, smoking, ICS use, occupational exposure to GDF and original cohort as independent variables					
Adjusted models had R square values of 0.127-0.230 and adjusted R square values 0.103-0.199.					