Defining hypoxaemia from pulse oximeter measurements of oxygen saturation in well children at low altitude in Bangladesh: an observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background WHO defines hypoxaemia, a low peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation (SpO2), as <90%. Although hypoxaemia is an important risk factor for defining hypoxaemia is uncertain in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). We derived a SpO2 threshold for hypoxaemia from well children in Bangladesh residing at low altitude.

Methods We prospectively enrolled well, children aged 3–35 months participating in a pneumococcal vaccine evaluation in Sylhet district, Bangladesh between June and August 2017. Trained health workers conducting community surveillance measured the SpO2 of children using a Masimo Rad-5 pulse oximeter with a wrap sensor. We used standard summary statistics to evaluate the SpO2 distribution, including whether the distribution differed by age or sex. We considered the 2.5th, 5th and 10th percentiles of SpO2 as possible lower thresholds for hypoxaemia.

Results Our primary analytical sample included 1470 children (mean age 18.6±9.5 months). Median SpO2 was 98% (IQR 96%–99%), and the 2.5th, 5th and 10th percentile SpO2 was 91%, 92% and 94%. No child had a SpO2 <90%. Children 3–11 months had a lower median SpO2 (97%) than 12–23 months (98%) and 24–35 months (98%) (p=0.039). The SpO2 distribution did not differ by sex (p=0.995).

Conclusion A SpO2 threshold for hypoxaemia derived from the 2.5th, 5th or 10th percentile of well children is higher than <90%. If a higher threshold than <90% is adopted into LMIC care algorithms then decision-makers to consider before implementing higher SpO2 thresholds than currently in practice in lower altitude settings of low-income and middle-income countries.

Key messages

► The ideal peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation (SpO2) threshold for defining hypoxaemia among children in low-income and middle-income countries is unknown.

► A SpO2 threshold for hypoxaemia set at any of the 2.5th, 5th or 10th percentiles of SpO2 measurements from well children in a lower altitude setting is higher than the <90% threshold currently recommended by the WHO.

► This study is a possible model for other research seeking to establish SpO2 thresholds for hypoxaemia in children and provides evidence for health policy makers to consider before implementing higher SpO2 thresholds than currently in practice in lower altitude settings of low-income and middle-income countries.

INTRODUCTION

Lower respiratory infections (LRIs) kill more young children than any other infectious disease in the world.1 The most recent 2017 global estimates report more than 800 000 LRI deaths annually among children below 5 years of age,1 equating to 1–2 deaths every minute. The vast majority of paediatric LRI deaths occur in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs).1 Approximately 30% of all global LRI deaths take place in South Asia each year, and Bangladesh has the third highest annual paediatric LRI incidence and mortality burden among all South Asian countries.1

LRIs may be complicated by pulmonary inflammation and areas of ventilation-perfusion mismatch that cause acute hypoxaemia, or a low peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation (SpO2) as measured non-invasively by a pulse oximeter.2 Acute hypoxaemia is an important risk factor...
for mortality among children with LRIs in LMICs.\textsuperscript{3} For LMICs at lower altitude (i.e., <2500 m) the SpO\textsubscript{2} hypoxaemia threshold endorsed by WHO is <90%, a threshold associated with elevated mortality risk among children with LRIs like pneumonia.\textsuperscript{3,5} Per WHO guidelines children with caregiver reported cough and/or difficult breathing accompanied by a SpO\textsubscript{2} <90% are recommended for hospitalisation, parenteral antibiotics and oxygen administration.\textsuperscript{4,5} Recent observational studies from Malawi reveal that SpO\textsubscript{2} thresholds higher than 90% may also be associated with elevated mortality risk among children under 5 years with clinically diagnosed pneumonia.\textsuperscript{3–5} This evidence suggests the current WHO SpO\textsubscript{2} hypoxaemia threshold of <90% may be suboptimal for identifying higher risk paediatric pneumonia cases for hospitalisation in some LMICs.

Despite both the importance and uncertainty around the optimal SpO\textsubscript{2} threshold for defining hypoxaemia few studies from lower altitude settings in LMICs address this issue. One approach commonly used for deriving thresholds for diagnostic tests is to produce a reference range from a healthy population representative of the test’s intended target population.\textsuperscript{9,10} This approach has been applied to SpO\textsubscript{2} measurements in children, with most research to date focused on children residing at higher altitudes.\textsuperscript{11–15} In this study, we define hypoxaemia from the SpO\textsubscript{2} distribution of well children residing at lower altitude in rural Bangladesh who are participating in a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) effectiveness study. We also consider the potential health system implications of implementing a SpO\textsubscript{2} threshold for hypoxaemia derived from a population of well children.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

This is a prospective observational study within a PCV effectiveness evaluation.\textsuperscript{16}

**Study setting**

Between June and August 2017, the Projahnmo research group, a collaboration between Johns Hopkins University, the Government of Bangladesh’s Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, and Bangladeshi non-governmental and academic institutions, conducted this substudy in three subdistricts (upazilas) of Syhlet district, northeast Bangladesh. The parent study took place between January 2014 and June 2018.\textsuperscript{17}

The Projahnmo research group has a well-established community surveillance system.\textsuperscript{17} The three upazilas under routine surveillance, Zakiganj, Kanaighat and Beanibazar, are at an altitude between 17 and 23 m and have a total population of about 770,000 (figure 1). During routine surveillance local female residents called community health workers (CHWs) visit households within an area of about 10,000 population every 2 months. At each surveillance visit these trained CHWs provide health counselling to families regarding illness recognition and care seeking, screen women for pregnancy, and evaluate children for respiratory illnesses.

**Data collection**

Twenty-two CHWs were trained in September 2015 to use a Masimo Rad-5 pulse oximeter with an NCS Y-I wrap sensor as a part of enhanced respiratory surveillance...
activities for children during the parent PCV study. The initial training was 1 day and included theoretical sessions on pulse oximetry supplemented by practice using pulse oximeters to measure the SpO\textsubscript{2} of volunteer adults and children. During the study period, CHWs participated in refresher sessions at least every 6 months and were routinely supervised by study physicians during household participant screening with the device. Remediation was provided when needed. CHWs were trained to apply the wrap sensor to the big toe of children and gently hold the foot to mitigate movement artefact. SpO\textsubscript{2} values were considered adequate quality measurements when the CHW achieved the following three metrics: (1) the SpO\textsubscript{2} value remained stable and non-drifting for no less than three seconds, (2) the quality index signal was of consistent amplitude and displayed at least three green bars and (3) the perfusion index signal was at least three green bars in amplitude.

Between June and August 2017, CHWs enrolled well children aged 3–35 months participating in surveillance. CHW screening included an examination for acute signs of an illness and asking caregivers whether the child had any symptoms during the prior week. CHWs observed children for cough, counted the child’s respiratory rate for 1 min, measured an axillary temperature with a thermometer, and observed children for any sign of respiratory distress (ie, head nodding, nasal flaring, audible wheezing, grunting, stridor, tracheal tugging or lower chest wall indrawing). Children were excluded and referred to the study clinic if aged 3–11 months and had a respiratory rate of $\geq$50 breaths/minute, or 12–35 months old with a respiratory rate of $\geq$40 breaths/minute, or an axillary temperature $>38.8^\circ$C, any vomiting or diarrhoea, any WHO-defined general danger sign (lethargy, convulsions, not eating or drinking, severe acute malnutrition), or any sign of respiratory distress as specified above. Children with isolated nasal congestion and/or rhinorrhoea were not considered acutely ill and were enrolled.

In order to further filter potentially unwell children from our sample, post hoc we created three analytical samples from children with a recorded SpO\textsubscript{2} measurement using different reference heart rate ranges, since an abnormal heart rate may suggest unrecognised illness. Analytical sample 1 is our primary analytical sample, and applies the most conservative estimate of ‘healthy’ with relatively narrow normal heart rate reference ranges of: 120–160 beats/min for 3–5 months, 110–150 beats/min for 6–11 months, 100–140 beats/min for 12–23 months and 90–130 beats/min for 24–35 months. Analytical sample 2 is less conservative as it has less restrictive heart rate reference ranges of 100–190 beats/min for 3–23 months and 60–140 beats/min for 24–35 months as normal reference ranges. Analytical sample 3 ignores heart rate reference ranges altogether and assumes all children are healthy.

**Statistical analysis**

Normally distributed continuous variables were described using means and SD, non-normally distributed continuous variables were characterised by medians and IQRs, and bivariate or categorical variables were described using proportions. We considered the 2.5th, 5th and 10th percentile of SpO\textsubscript{2} as possible thresholds for defining hypoxaemia. We used the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test for comparisons between a dependent variable without a normal distribution. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparisons between a multilevel independent variable and a dependent variable lacking a normal distribution. We fit a linear regression model, adjusted for sex, to explore the association between SpO\textsubscript{2} and age. Using a power of 80%, significance level of 0.05, and that 25% of children will either be ill, unavailable or fail measurement, we needed to screen 700 households for each of the three child age strata of 3–11 months, 12–23 months and 24–35 months (total 2100) to estimate a mean SpO\textsubscript{2} of 96%±0.2%. Stata V.16.0 was used for all analyses.

**Patient and public involvement**

The development, design, recruitment, conduct and results of the parent PCV evaluation and this nested study were communicated to the public through local community sensitisation meetings held by the Projahmo study group consortium in Sylhet, Bangladesh.

**RESULTS**

**Participant characteristics**

From June to August 2017, the CHWs visited 2098 households and attempted SpO\textsubscript{2} measurements on 2042 children (figure 2). Overall, 20 children with low-quality SpO\textsubscript{2} measurements were excluded at the analysis stage. For primary analytical sample 1, a total of 552 children were additionally omitted due to abnormal heart rates. For analytical sample 2, 157 children were excluded based on reference heart rates. Among the 1470 children analysed for analytical sample 1, the mean age was 18.6 months (SD, 9.5) (table 1). Average age and the proportion of participants who were female were similar across the three analytical samples.

**SpO\textsubscript{2} distribution**

The median SpO\textsubscript{2} of children included in primary analytical sample 1 was 98% (IQR 96%–99%) and the 10th, 5th and 2.5th percentile SpO\textsubscript{2} was 94%, 92% and 91% (table 1 and figure 3). Analytical samples 2 and 3 revealed similar findings (table 1 and online supplemental figures 1 and 2). No child included in any of the three analytical samples had a SpO\textsubscript{2} $<90\%$.

**Effects of age and sex on SpO\textsubscript{2}**

After stratifying measurements into three age strata, 3–11 months, 12–23 months and 24–35 months, we found children 3–11 months old in primary analytical sample 1 to...
have a median SpO\(_2\) of 97\%, compared with 98\% for each of the two older age strata (p=0.038; table 1 and figure 3). We observed similar findings in analytical samples 2 and 3 (table 1 and online supplemental figures 1 and 2). When regressing SpO\(_2\) on age in months, adjusted for sex, we found that for every 1 month increase in age the SpO\(_2\) increased by 0.01\% (95\% CI 0.001\% to 0.02\%, p=0.030) in analytical sample 1 (online supplemental figure 3). We did not observe any difference in the SpO\(_2\) distribution after stratifying by child sex (p=0.959).

**Health system implications of varying SpO\(_2\) thresholds**

To examine possible consequences on the health system of a SpO\(_2\) threshold for defining hypoxaemia derived from well children we report the probability of a false positive measurement in table 2 from each analytical sample at differing thresholds. If applying a \(\leq92\%\) threshold, for example, 76/1470 (5.1\%) well children included in analytical sample 1 would be incorrectly recommended for referral or hospitalisation. SpO\(_2\) thresholds at \(\leq90\%\), \(\leq91\%\) and \(\leq93\%\) would incorrectly identify 13 (0.8\%), 40 (2.7\%) and 117 (7.9\%) of the 1470 children in analytical sample 1 for hospitalisation, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

We derived possible SpO\(_2\) thresholds for hypoxaemia from the 2.5th, 5th and 10th percentile cutoffs of well children in rural Bangladesh and estimated the probability of false positive measurements assuming a revised threshold was adopted into care. The SpO\(_2\) threshold is critical as it triggers a cascade of potentially life or death healthcare decisions and understanding the probability of a false positive SpO\(_2\) measurement for hypoxaemia permits health policy-makers to decide how best to balance mortality risk with anticipated hospitalisation volumes.

There are three key findings from this research. First, cutoffs for hypoxaemia from the 2.5th, 5th and 10th percentile are all higher than the current WHO-defined \(<90\%\) threshold and we did not find any well children below the SpO\(_2\) \(<90\%\) threshold. Thus, if any of these cutoffs for hypoxaemia are adopted then measuring the SpO\(_2\) earlier in clinical care pathways when healthier children may be over-represented could increase false positive measurements. This has important implications in health systems with limited resources and potential challenges coping with a higher volume of patient referrals. These results, coupled with findings from Malawi that children with LRI and a SpO\(_2\) between 90\% and 92\% are at elevated mortality risk, suggest that the current referral threshold of SpO\(_2\) \(<90\%\) minimises false positives at the expense of false negatives.6–8 In order to ensure minimal misclassification of well children as hypoxic, we recommend care algorithms incorporating a hypoxaemia threshold at SpO\(_2\) levels higher than \(<90\%\) also consider the child’s clinical status when deciding whether to refer and hospitalise. Second, we found that the SpO\(_2\) distribution differs by age. Age may, therefore, need to be considered when establishing a SpO\(_2\) threshold for hypoxaemia. Third, these data show that a 2.5th percentile SpO\(_2\) threshold in all analytical samples and nearly all age categories is at a 91\% cut-off. When compared with the currently recommended \(<90\%\) WHO cut-off (or \(\leq89\%\) inclusive), this \(<91\%\) threshold (or \(\leq91\%\) inclusive) is at the margin of the 2\% accuracy range for most pulse oximeters.19 As a result, if a higher SpO\(_2\) threshold were to be considered the 5th or 10th percentile threshold may be more suitable when considering inherent device accuracy limitations. Overall, future analyses that include

Figure 2  Study profile. SpO\(_2\), peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation. PI, perfusion index; QI, quality index.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Analytical sample 1 (primary)*</th>
<th>Analytical sample 2†</th>
<th>Analytical sample 3‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–11 months N=445</td>
<td>12–23 months N=567</td>
<td>24–35 months N=458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in months, mean (SD)</td>
<td>18.6 (9.5)</td>
<td>18.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>30.3 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>697 (47.4%)</td>
<td>203 (45.6%)</td>
<td>277 (48.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 95th percentile</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 75th percentile</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 50th percentile</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 25th percentile</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 10th percentile</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, fifth percentile</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, 2.5th percentile</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2, range</td>
<td>90%–100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%–100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SpO2 indicates peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation.

*Kruskal-Wallis test comparing SpO2 by age category; p=0.0387.
†Kruskal-Wallis test comparing SpO2 by age category; p=0.0095.
‡Kruskal-Wallis test comparing SpO2 by age category; p=0.0401.
unwell children should consider prioritising SpO2 thresholds outside of the device accuracy range, such as the 5th or 10th percentile in this analysis, as well as whether the statistical relationship between age and SpO2 distribution has clinical significance.

To date, there have been few attempts to establish a reference range for SpO2 measurements of children in LMICs living at lower altitudes. Since most children reside at lower altitudes, understanding the SpO2 distribution among this population has broad relevance for identifying the optimal SpO2 threshold for hypoxaemia. In one study from Chennai, India (altitude 7 m) the authors measured the SpO2 of 626 healthy children aged 1 month to 5 years.20 In contrast to our findings, the authors found no difference in the SpO2 distribution by age. The inclusive fifth percentile of participants in Chennai was a SpO2 ≤ 96%, which was 4% higher than in our study. Other studies that also included healthy children from lower altitudes reported the mean and SD of the SpO2 distribution.13 14 21 Given the SpO2 distribution of healthy children is negatively skewed we described these data using median and percentiles and are therefore unable to make meaningful comparisons.

A more recent multicountry study from the Household Air Pollution Intervention Network (HAPIN) investigators evaluated the SpO2 distribution among 1134 healthy children <24 months old from three lower altitude settings including the same region of India as the prior study (Nagapattinam (altitude 9 m) and Villupuram (altitude 44 m), and also in Guatemala (Jalapa District, altitude 1417 m) and Rwanda (Kayonza District, altitude 1354 m) and one high altitude setting in Puno, Peru (altitude 3827 m).22 The fifth percentile threshold reported by HAPIN investigators in India was notably consistent with the Chennai study at 96%. Unlike the Chennai study, however, HAPIN investigators found lower fifth percentile thresholds for age in Rwanda (92%) and Guatemala (93%), and observed a correlation between younger age and lower SpO2. None of these studies reported the 2.5th percentile cut-off. Overall, it is somewhat surprising that our data from Bangladesh aligns closer with Rwanda and Guatemala than India, another South Asian setting closer in altitude.

Methodology may largely be responsible for the variation in results across these studies. Variation may be due to a combination of device accuracy, including differences in accuracy between devices, variation inherent to measurements on children, measurement variation between healthcare workers and healthcare worker cadres with different training backgrounds, and possible
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**Figure 3** Peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation (SpO2) distribution in analytical sample 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical sample</th>
<th>Age in months</th>
<th>2.5th percentile SpO2 threshold</th>
<th>5th percentile SpO2 threshold</th>
<th>10th percentile SpO2 threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>91% 40/1470 (2.7)</td>
<td>92% 76/1470 (5.1)</td>
<td>94% 179/1470 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>92% 20/445 (4.4)</td>
<td>93% 34/445 (7.6)</td>
<td>94% 52/445 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12–23</td>
<td>91% 17/567 (2.9)</td>
<td>92% 35/567 (6.1)</td>
<td>94% 83/567 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–35</td>
<td>91% 13/458 (2.8)</td>
<td>93% 29/458 (6.3)</td>
<td>95% 72/458 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>91% 51/1865 (2.7)</td>
<td>92% 107/1865 (5.7)</td>
<td>94% 248/1865 (13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>91% 16/615 (2.6)</td>
<td>92% 33/615 (5.3)</td>
<td>94% 85/615 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12–23</td>
<td>91% 21/673 (3.1)</td>
<td>92% 44/673 (6.5)</td>
<td>93% 68/673 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–35</td>
<td>91% 14/577 (2.4)</td>
<td>92% 30/577 (5.1)</td>
<td>94% 60/577 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>91% 55/2022 (2.7)</td>
<td>92% 119/2022 (5.8)</td>
<td>94% 273/2022 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–11</td>
<td>91% 18/634 (2.8)</td>
<td>92% 35/634 (5.5)</td>
<td>94% 88/634 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12–23</td>
<td>91% 21/698 (3.0)</td>
<td>92% 48/698 (6.8)</td>
<td>93% 72/698 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–35</td>
<td>92% 36/690 (5.2)</td>
<td>92% 36/690 (5.2)</td>
<td>94% 76/690 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SpO2, peripheral arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation.
of pulse oximeter inaccuracy among children in LMICs where higher percentages of the population often have darker skin pigmentation.

In sum, our findings provide possible reference SpO₂ thresholds for hypoxaemia derived from a population of well children in Bangladesh residing at lower altitude. In fragile, overburdened health systems higher false positive measurements may limit the implementation feasibility of SpO₂ thresholds above the current <90% mark without additional strengthening of clinical assessments by healthcare providers. This research suggests that age needs to be considered in further work on establishing thresholds for hypoxaemia. Key next steps include determining the mortality risk of ill children with SpO₂ measurements at or below these thresholds in varying LMICs, including Bangladesh, as well as evaluating the performance of SpO₂ at or below these thresholds for diagnosing LRI. Such research will also shed light on the potential mortality implications of false negative measurements when applying a lower <90% SpO₂ threshold for hypoxaemia.
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