Developing an intrasalivary gland botox service for patients receiving long-term non-invasive ventilation at home: a single-centre experience
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Sialorrhoea is a debilitating symptom in neurological disease and there is a growing literature for the use of intrasalivary gland Botulinum Toxin (botox) injections in its management. However, provision of intrasalivary gland botox remains inconsistent and sialorrhoea is often poorly controlled in motor neuron disease (MND). Sialorrhoea in association with bulbar dysfunction can cause intolerance of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) and respiratory infection, so its treatment is critical within a home ventilation service (HVS). This treatment can also be used to enable tracheostomy cuff deflation to facilitate weaning from ventilation. We report on the outcomes of intrasalivary gland botox in our HVS.

Methods In 2015, we set up an intrasalivary gland botox service for patients under our HVS. Under ultrasound guidance, we injected submandibular gland (SMG), parotid gland (PG) or both.

Results 109 intrasalivary gland botox procedures were performed in 72 patients. Diagnostic groups included MND 32, cerebral palsy 8, and weaning 14. Glands injected were, SMG (6%), PG (47%) and both (47%). The majority (84%) received the Dysport preparation with mean dose 273 units. 94% were ultrasound guided. 81% of injections resulted in a positive treatment effect, with 47% patients requesting repeat injections. Complications were angioedema (0.9%) and worsening dysphagia (3.7% following SMG injection). Mean survival following treatment was 40 months with 53% patients still alive.

Conclusions Intrasalivary gland botox appears effective across a range of neurological conditions requiring long-term NIV with few complications. Dysphagia may be an important complication of SMG injection. A randomised controlled trial may help establish the evidence base.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Sialorrhoea is an excessive accumulation of saliva in the mouth and is often accompanied by drooling. Saliva is produced by submandibular, parotid and sublingual glands with minor salivary glands found in the oral cavity, for example, the labial and lingual mucosa, as well as the palate and floor of the mouth.1 Up to 1.5 L of saliva are produced each day with the submandibular glands contributing 60% and the parotids contributing 20%–25%.1 Sialorrhoea is a common symptom in neurological diseases in which it arises secondary to dysphagia (reduced swallowing of saliva) although other mechanisms may contribute such as autonomic dysfunction (increased saliva production). Sialorrhoea is a debilitating and distressing symptom for patients and caregivers.3–4 Patients who require treatment with non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or who are weaning from mechanical ventilation frequently encounter sialorrhoea. For example, in a UK
survey of motor neuron disease (MND), the incidence of sialorrhea was 42%, and it is a recognised risk factor for aspiration pneumonia. Sialorrhea, drooling and aspiration pneumonia are common in children with cerebral palsy (CP) and sialorrhea has also been reported in patients with genetic muscle disease. Finally, sialorrhea and aspiration pneumonia are common in patients with brain injuries and are associated with worse outcomes such as delayed weaning from invasive ventilation. Common features across these conditions are significant healthcare costs associated with the effects of sialorrhea in terms of morbidity, increased hospitalisation risks and prolongation of stay.

Our home ventilation service (HVS) delivers NIV and cares for more than 1000 patients and approximately a third of these have neuromuscular diseases including MND. NIV improves survival and quality of life outcomes in patients with MND and respiratory failure; however, these benefits are reduced in patients with severe bulbar dysfunction. Sialorrhea in MND can impact on the ability to deliver NIV. In addition, tolerance of NIV is improved in patients with lower secretion burden in the upper airway and it is, therefore, an important symptom to address. Almost half of patients with sialorrhea associated with MND have uncontrolled symptoms. Currently, there is evidence of variation in treatment between centres.

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends glycopyrronium as the first-line treatment for sialorrhea. However, antimuscarinic drugs are limited by treatment side effects (54%) and poor efficacy (39%). Side effects include blurred vision, constipation and confusion. These are all challenging side effects in populations suffering with sialorrhea where cognitive impairment, sensory loss, constipation and urinary tract infections are problematic.

There is a growing literature for the use of intrasalivary gland Botulinum Toxin (botox) injections in neurological conditions including Parkinson’s disease (PD), CP and MND. The Xeomin preparation of botox has recently been approved by NICE for the treatment of chronic sialorrhea caused by neurological conditions. NICE management guidelines for MND, published in 2016 and updated in 2019, recommend further research into additional treatments for sialorrhea in MND is needed. Notably, a Cochrane review of treatment of sialorrhea in MND identified only one randomised controlled trial of 20 patients published in 2009.

The Sialorrhea in Adults Xeomin Investigation (SIAXI) trial showed a significant improvement in sialorrhea and unstimulated salivary flow rate with intrasalivary gland incobotulinumtoxinA in patients with PD and following traumatic brain injury. In children with CP, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated improvements in drooling following intrasalivary gland botox injections.

UK MND surveys have highlighted inconsistent access to intrasalivary gland botox injections as a treatment for sialorrhea and have shown that it is not used as a first-line treatment. The evidence for the efficacy of intrasalivary gland botox in MND is derived from case series and Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) with small numbers of patients, which often enrol patients with other neurological diseases. A systematic review undertaken in 2014 found a mean of only 12.5 patients in each study.

In 2015, we set up an intrasalivary gland botox service, initially to benefit patients with MND and other neuromuscular conditions under the HVS. We report on the development of our service and present a retrospective review of the practice and outcomes of the first 109 intrasalivary gland botox treatments we have administered.

METHODS

Two consultants (TP-D and BM) trained in ultrasound-guided intrasalivary gland botox injections in the following ways: practical mentorship from radiology colleagues and an existing consultant within the HVS, attendance at the cadaveric lab at Newcastle University to study salivary gland anatomy and attendance in theatre to observe salivary gland surgery. Both consultants are intensivists, regularly using ultrasound in critical care settings for intravenous access.

Initially, the service was set up for patients under the HVS only, attending as day cases. The service subsequently expanded to include all patients with secretion management problems related to neurodisability in the North East of England and Cumbria. More recently, the service has been offered to regional critical care units to facilitate weaning from ventilation by enabling deflation of cuffed tracheostomies. The growth of the service is detailed in figure 1.

Patients were identified by reviewing all botox prescriptions requested by the regional HVS. The relevant patient records and home ventilation database were examined for any postprocedure assessments. A positive treatment outcome was defined as a subjective benefit reported by the patient in postprocedure consultations, or in the case of intubated critical care patients, successful tracheostomy cuff deflation, extubation or decannulation following intubation.
injection. In those patients where effectiveness was not documented, but they elected to have further injections, it was assumed that their first treatment was effective. Sialometry was not undertaken due to the patient cohort being highly disabled and repeated hospital attendances, therefore being inappropriate.

Initially, both submandibular gland and parotid gland were offered as standard but following complications of submandibular gland injection (see below), parotid glands only were injected in patients with preserved swallow.

RESULTS

We have carried out 109 intrasalivary gland botox injections in 72 patients with a range of diagnoses (table 1).

Pre-botox management

Many of the patients had previously tried one or more treatments for sialorrhoea. The most commonly used treatments were glycopyrronium (74%) and hyoscine patches (33%) and atropine (7%).

Procedures

Fourty-seven per cent of procedures were both submandibular and parotid gland injection, 47% were parotid gland injection alone and 6% were submandibular gland injection alone. The majority of injections used the Dysport preparation (84%) and the remainder used the Xeomin preparation. The mean dose of Dysport used was 272.9 units with a range of 150–500 units. The concentration used was 100 U/mL. The mean dose of Xeomin used was 63.2 units with a range of 15–100 units. The concentration used was 20 U/mL. Ultrasound guidance was used in 93.6% of injections.

Seventy-two per cent of treatments resulted in a positive treatment outcome, increasing to 81% when patients with no outcome data are excluded. Twenty-eight per cent of patients requested repeat injections after a mean of 3.9 months (the effect of botox typically lasts 3–6 months). However, this figure may not represent the true value of this procedure for patients. After excluding patients injected within the last 6 months (within the potential effective window of the botox), those who died within 6 months of their initial injection and those who were injected in Intensive Care Unit (ICU) as a one-off procedure to facilitate extubation, this figure increased to 47%.

Serious complications were rare and included angioedema (0.9%) and dysphagia (2.8%—only following submandibular injection). The doses of botox were similar in cases of dysphagia to those without this complication. Other mild adverse effects included pain (1.8%) and dry mouth (1.8%). One patient received salivary radiotherapy due to pain on injection.

Mean survival from first injection is greater than 40 months from first injection, with 53% patients still alive at the time of writing (figure 2).

DISCUSSION

From the literature, it is clear that many of the conditions requiring long-term ventilation are associated with a high incidence of sialorrhoea.5–9 Our HVS cares for more than 1000 patients and approximately a third of these have neuromuscular disease, with 60 patients with MND. Sialorrhoea is distressing for patients and can be associated with aspiration pneumonia and other poor clinical outcomes including difficulty with delivery of NIV.10 11 12 Both the published literature13 and our own experience suggest that incomplete symptom control and/or intolerance are common with antimuscarinic therapy in these populations. In contrast to PD, where there are emerging data on the efficacy of intrasalivary gland botox injection, there are few data across the broader range of neuromuscular conditions requiring home ventilation.

This is to our knowledge the largest cohort study of intrasalivary gland botox and the first to report on its use in a home ventilation and weaning setting. Our data demonstrate that the use of intrasalivary gland botox in a diverse range of conditions is associated with good outcomes and few complications.

In a large proportion of procedures where outcome data were available (81%), a positive effect was achieved. Additionally, after excluding patients who would not be expected to require a second injection (patients who died within 6 months of initial treatment, patients who were still within the expected duration of efficacy from their first injection, and patients who underwent intrasalivary gland botox to facilitate weaning); the percentage of patients opting for repeat treatment was 47%.

The majority of the patients in this cohort had either a progressive neurodegenerative condition or a critical illness. Despite this, mean survival is greater than 40 months and, as shown in the Kaplan-Meier curve, 53% of patients remain alive (figure 2). The treatment is not expected to alter life expectancy, but it can significantly improve a distressing symptom of neurological disease and potentially improve quality of life for some.

Serious adverse events were rare (3.7% of procedures). One patient suffered from angioedema following
administration of botox. This was a repeat procedure after previous uncomplicated treatments. Due to the risk of idiosyncratic reactions to intrasalivary gland botox, all patients receiving the treatment are counselled on the risk and all injections are carried out in a clinical environment where emergency drugs and equipment are accessible. Three patients suffered worsening dysphagia following submandibular gland injection, two of which persisted beyond the duration of action of the administered botox. Both these patients had MND with severe bulbar dysfunction. The third patient’s dysphagia improved within a few days. Following these cases, submandibular gland injections are no longer offered to any patient who still has any level of oral intake (including patients who get their primary nutrition through a gastrostomy but continue some oral intake). Understanding the causes of dysphagia after the administration of intrasalivary gland botox can be challenging. There is the potential for diffusion of botox into muscles controlling swallowing following injection, however, worsening dysphagia in MND is also an expected feature of the primary disease progression.

Given the prevalence of sialorrhoea in neuromuscular disease and, in particular, MND,3 this is a highly relevant skill to have within a HVS. The existing skills and experience of consultants within the HVS lent themselves to the development of this service particularly with regards to the use of ultrasound for identification of salivary anatomy. Ultrasound has been shown to improve the success of intrasalivary gland botox.25 Further training was also undertaken using the University cadaveric surgical skills lab and with surgical and radiology colleagues.

The majority of injections were with the Dysport preparation, but this has changed to the Xeomin preparation over time to reflect NICE guidance.16 The dose of Dysport varied between 150 and 500 units, depending on the glands injected. The dose of Xeomin followed NICE guidance when both parotid and submandibular glands were injected (100 units in total) but was reduced (60 units in total) when only the parotid glands were injected.

Limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, due to its retrospective nature, and also the diverse indications for intrasalivary gland botox, the definition of a positive treatment outcome was necessarily broad. Objective tests of sialorrhoea such as sialometry are not appropriate in this cohort and existing scoring systems focus on salivary volume and frequency rather than its effect on quality of life, which are less useful in this context. The Sialorrhoea Scoring Scale has been developed specifically for PD, so was not used herein.26 For MND, the revised Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale includes only one domain, which evaluates sialorrhoea.27

The Oral Secretion Scale (OSS) has been designed for the evaluation of sialorrhoea in MND but not for the response to therapy.28 The OSS has recently been shown to link to survival, with those with a zero score (severely impaired swallow) dying earlier than those with preserved swallow. Notably, OSS scores of 0 or 1 at initiation of NIV predicted a failure rate of NIV of over 80%.29 Therefore, high symptom burden of sialorrhoea in MND is associated with an inability to comply with long-term home ventilation. There are, however, no data on the minimal clinically important difference for the OSS in terms of treatment effects. Arguably, a global quality of life score may be better placed to capture the treatment benefits of interventions for sialorrhoea and should be considered in future trial designs.

Finally, a key limitation is our inability to report any benefit on mortality. Our design was observational and a formal randomised controlled trial would be needed to define this. Although our survival appears to be good, this likely reflects the heterogeneous group included. The ALS/MND cohort reported in a case series had a median survival of 1–11 months dependent on their OSS scores.29 In our series, we treated patients with many other conditions. In addition, in our cohort, the decision to try intrasalivary gland botox was taken at varying stages of both sialorrhoea and primary disease severity and would likely be influenced by patient and clinician factors. One factor to consider is that the ‘in team’ access to this treatment may mean patients had early access to intrasalivary gland botox.

A randomised controlled trial comparing intrasalivary gland botox and standard care as first-line treatments for sialorrhoea using objective outcome measures would further advance the evidence base and provide a case for improving the availability of intrasalivary gland botox to patients living with neurodisability.

**CONCLUSION**

This is the largest reported cohort study of intrasalivary gland botox therapy and the first in a home NIV and weaning setting. Our first 109 intrasalivary gland botox treatments were well tolerated and showed benefit across a range of different neurological conditions. Complications were rare. There are clear advantages to patients in having expertise in intrasalivary gland botox injection within a HVS.
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Figure 2 Survival following intrasalivary gland botox injection.
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